

## Chapter 17

### The Awful (and Wonderful) Truth

It is now 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2007, four years to the day since the first revelation. Which may be yet another indication of God's perfect timing, because I cannot tell you how many times I have resolved to finalise this book and get it published since finishing what I thought would be the last chapter, early in 2006, only to find a continual string of the most amazing events that made it clear that yet another chapter was needed.

The significant thing about this is that I have sat down at the computer several times in the last few weeks, quite determined to make a start, but all sorts of things have cropped up or distracted me until today, when perhaps this chapter is finally ready to be written, with the awful truth confirmed, but in a way that lets me insert (and wonderful).

So, what is "The Awful Truth"? Aliens come into it, but although what I have discovered about them will come as quite a shock to many, and will shake both science and theology to their roots, this is more to do with the wonderful truth. Regrettably it is the failings of the human race that lead me to conclude that even my fifth prediction was not adequately pessimistic

Appendix 4 tells of how my theory was developing in early 2006, and how an email from a fellow signatory of the Open Letter on Cosmology, New Zealander David Caulder Hardy, played a significant role in developing my ideas to explain larger scale aspects of creation: the solar system and galaxies. I liked his ideas, that also seemed to stem from some sort of revelation, and Appendix 4 also explains how we seem to think the same way, and have much in common, in addition to the details of my developing explanations.

This was the start of a period in which I had a very strong sense of God guiding me through contact with others. As I thought

about David's idea of planets forming from material ejected from the Sun and slowly spiralling out, in the context of my own theory, I realised that the gravitational fields of planets and moons must reduce as well as that of the Sun, as David had realised. So not only should planets spiral away from stars, as a logical explanation for the spacing of planets in the Titius/Bode progression, the same should apply to moons. And some research confirmed that this was indeed the case as far as the larger, outer moons were concerned. And I could see that the large number of smaller inner moons more recently discovered, mostly in close or shared orbits, may well coalesce and ultimately conform to the same principle of spacing.

It then suddenly dawned on me that there was now a much more sensible alternative to plate tectonics: the Earth must expand as it moves out. So I put "expanding earth" into Google and sure enough, there were quite a few, some with well-developed theories, all agreeing on the fact of Earth expansion, if not always the precise cause.

One of the founders of the group I joined to discuss this was a member of the NPA (Natural Philosophy Alliance), who held annual conferences in the USA. He urged me to join and submit papers, which could be "in absentia", because relativity was one of the principal topics for discussion. The closing date for submission of abstracts for the 2006 conference had passed, and it was only a few weeks before the conference itself in April, but as I thought I could put a paper together very quickly from my book, I hastily wrote an abstract in case it appeared of sufficient interest to be accepted as a late submission.

I was delighted when it was, not least because having checked out their (now old) website I was very attracted to their standpoint. But it meant working flat out in the next few days to allow some time for me to email copies to others before the conference, which seemed essential as there was no way that I could either afford to attend personally or cope with the stress of it all, especially air travel.

My hopeful, initial expectations were actually soon exceeded,

because not only were some quick to offer helpful and sometimes complimentary comments on my paper, I also received helpful advice and information on my health problems.

But what I was most encouraged to find, was that quite a few people had ideas similar to mine in various respects. Several had ring models of particles. What had been denied to me for so long, discussion with those whose knowledge of physics was greater than my own, has been extremely helpful. And I have learned much in being privy to debate amongst others.

These were people of many nationalities, and being able to share ideas freely with them reminded me of the most joyful aspects of my student days at Brooklands and West Ham. Intellectually, this was perhaps now becoming the happiest time of my life, especially now having such confidence in what God had shown me that I could argue points with those whose knowledge and experience would otherwise have left me in awe.

One such man was Russian Professor Kanarev, whose space-time-matter unity axiom appeared close to what God had first showed me, and he too, like a handful of others, thought that electrons were comprised of rings, demonstrating the possibility in pages of maths. And this encompassed verification of something that I too had just concluded, but not with enough confidence to put into my paper because it was probably more radical than anything I had said. There is no electron orbit!

I was fairly sure that my explanation of exclusion principle implied this, and the more I learnt of accepted ideas of the way the energy of the photon had been linked to the orbital and spin energy of a supposedly indivisible point particle, the more naïve the whole idea seemed, with what Kanarev and I (and a few others) were saying eminently more sensible. Did others think that the photon was somehow attached to the electron, to be thrown off like a hapless child from a fairground ride?

It seemed to me that maths had so dominated thinking in physics in the 20<sup>th</sup> century that nobody had really pondered what the precise mechanism for radiation release might be, or if they had pondered it, they must have been exceedingly uninventive.

But then this seems obvious if they prefer to believe that the photon is also indivisible and thus capable of being in two places at the same time. Like other things in twentieth century physics, I believe that this will ultimately be the subject of considerable hilarity. Though with what I have learned this year, maybe not? I will soon pose the question about those in more recent times, that perhaps humour will be made difficult by disgust, though I hasten to add collectively, with individuals having been placed in a difficult position.

I will justify these exceptionally harsh remarks later, but to return to someone who is rather to be much praised for his efforts in very difficult circumstances, it is a pity that Professor Kanarev and I started off on the wrong foot. Because having expressed agreement with his ideas on space, time and matter, I said that I hoped we could also agree on the question of energy, meaning that I hoped he agreed that energy was no more than motion, not being aware of his work in water electrolysis, that left him doubting the principle of conservation of energy, upon which my theory depended.

I have since tried to make it clear that I have no reason to doubt his results but believe that the apparently free energy that he and others are finding can be explained by motion at a level that mainstream physics has not yet appreciated. There is, however, a language problem and I think that he does depend upon an interpreter. I have problems understanding some of the phraseology and quirks of presentation in his documents, and of course, aspects of science that I am less familiar with.

Much of his website is, however, more clearly stated in English and I am very impressed with the scope and thoroughness of his work, but disappointed at the lack of funding and encouragement that he receives. I thought that my theory offered many answers, but I simply do not have anywhere near enough knowledge to match his amazing list of 500. Though I still have to say that what God has shown me seems to offer explanations of greater individual significance, such as quantum general relativity and a mechanism for radiation release that explains quanta. His

torridal model, common in Russians in the NPA, does not seem to me to explain either the precise nature of radiation nor how it is released in distinct amounts. And the only answer to my question of what his rings are comprised of, i.e. "aether", leaves me disappointed that he seems not to have delved deeper into what "aether" might logically consist of.

I hoped that he might give serious thought to my suggestion that this may be neutrinos, but like a few others in the NPA his calculations of energy suggest no need for the neutrino as originally postulated by Wolfgang Pauli. Other members, however, cite the observational evidence for its existence.

This debate is, however, quite recent, and I must get back to the April 2006 conference and the comments of others on my paper. These were mostly brief comments, indicating generally that my ideas were worthy of further consideration, and I will not mention them all here as they are contained in a report on my website. One comment was, however, particularly helpful, so I wish to quote most of the email as follows:

"Everything you say relates intelligently to something deserving of (I think) generally favourable and lengthy comments; but I'll just mention a few:

I've read that Heisenberg's last years were spent formulating a concept of "Grainy, quantized" space, itself; and I believe a key term is "Granular" which will likely be the correct conclusion of a wide diversity of approaches to "space" or "aether". And that, I think, would even apply to a "combinatorics approach" to the universe-----in the spirit of Descartes' statement, ""Give me (only) matter and motion and I'll give you (entire) universe"".

((I say that even though Descartes, I think, made some errors; and concocted a rather smooth aether, entirely filling up even infinitesimal Voids in the universe, i.e. which I think he was wrong--on.))

Now, on the subject of **water, rotations, rings, spacings,**

**extension**, etc; there was recently a PBS special dealing with Leonardo Da Vinci's writing and his diagrams relating all **that** -- to the human heart-----which Da Vinci dissected, studied, and drew pictures of the heart and tricuspid? actions, etc. Da Vinci claimed that the nature of water (watery blood) and its rotational-whirlpool actions promoted the closing action of the heart valves and the successful, optimum circulation. And then (in the PBS special), a few top heart surgeons and heart researchers were interviewed, One specifically mentioned that.. "all that is a rather new aspect being explored regarding circulation--only being written about in the last 10 or 20 years", but that he, himself, was now diving into Da Vinci's work to study its various relevancies and clues to the future.

Best regards,

Carl L"  
(Carl Littmann)

As I had previously not given too much thought to the "ether" or "aether" (same thing), because rather than seeing light as waves in some sort of unseen medium, my theory explained how the motion of particles could mimic the action of a wave, I now started to consider how the ideas of others about the aether (the word I prefer) might concur in some respects to my obviously "grainy" based solutions. And I have to say that my recent discoveries in this respect have been most edifying.

I will come on to that, but must now mention perhaps the most significant comment. The person whose unenviable task it was to read and summarise all 82 papers, who also had recently read volumes on what both Newton and Einstein had to say about gravity, sent me a lengthy email on July 18<sup>th</sup> 2006, which included the following:

"We have similar ideas but we are coming at them from different directions.

The key assumption that was prevalent when Einstein derived the field equations was that gravity could only be coupled to matter through energy. On the other hand, Newton assumed that gravity was coupled to matter through spin, rather a center-seeking centripetal force. Book I of the Principia is entirely about centripetal force. Book III has a Scholium at the beginning that states that "The force which retains the celestial bodies in their orbits has been hitherto called centripetal force, but it being now made plain that it can be no other than a gravitating force, we shall hereafter call it gravity." If gravity depends upon a centripetal force, then mass which is affected by gravity can certainly be based upon spin. You are on the right track.

I enjoyed reading your paper.

Regards,

Bob Heaston"

In my theory, energy and spin are synonymous, with spin at all levels, from rotating Universe down to the smallest particle, being the key to a simple, logical understanding of relativity. But I would emphasise Bob Heaston's comment, "mass...can certainly be based on spin", and ask the reader to remember that these are the words of a very experienced physicist. And he is not alone in agreeing with me in this respect. Further demonstrating the joy of communication with other nationalities via the NPA, in January 2007 my paper came to the attention of a Dr. Bakhom of the University of West Florida via his friend and NPA member in Syria, Dr. Nizar Hamdan. I was delighted to receive Dr. Bakhom's comments as follows:

“Hello Mr. Beck,

Your paper is full of good ideas! I really like it. I also agree with you that mass is related to spin.

All the best,

Ezzat G. Bakhoun”

The reasons why these comments are particularly significant will soon become apparent to the reader. But the question of mass and spin were little more than my opinion when presented to the conference in Tulsa. What I needed was experimental evidence in support of this view.

I did not have long to wait. Just after the conference someone posted just the information I needed to the Expanding Earth group, advising us as follows:

“Neal's post about 'spin' made me look up an old experiment about spinning balls and inertia. According to the researchers:

**(a) a spinning ball falls faster than a non-spinning ball,**

**(b) a spinning ball also rises faster than a non-spinning ball (when projected upwards),**

**(c) a spinning flywheel seems to 'affect time' according to a watch located near its polar axis.**

**(d) when a spinning ball collides with a non-spinning ball of equal mass and velocity the spinning ball rebounds less and the non-spinning ball rebounds more.”**

Unfortunately the writer seemed to stop communicating to the

group at that point and did not reply to my request for more information. But putting “spinning balls” into Google suggested that he was referring to the experiments of the late Dr. Bruce E. DePalma (brother of film maker Brian).

I was able to find enough information to conclude that DePalma’s experiments, and other experiments he mentioned, clearly verified what I was saying, but that with the exception of his gyro drop experiment, it was hard to find adequate descriptions of his apparatus and methodology. And I discovered that he died young in 1997, in what may have been suspicious circumstances. Recently, I have found his open letter of 7<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1992 confirming that his life had been threatened, that he had also been threatened with kidnapping and had some of his inventions confiscated.

Presumably this is also why I have been unable to find papers that he must surely have written with full descriptions of his work, and with adequate reference to the experiments of others. There was, however, certainly enough documentation to be found, including DePalma’s analysis of his findings, for me to claim this as strong support for the polarity of mass (operating in a directional way) dependent on spin, that was a fundamental element of my theory.

I had been disappointed that the Rev. Dr. John Polkinghorne, who had appeared in a BBC documentary about Einstein in the centenary year of special relativity, 2005, seemed disinterested in my claim of Divine revelation on this subject. So, armed now with strong supporting experimental evidence I wrote again, only to be brushed aside again in a very brief reply with the comment:

“...to a physicist to say that 'mass is spin' is simply unintelligible”

This is, of course, why I asked the reader to take note of what two open-minded physicists had said in agreement with me.

Wondering what on Earth Dr. Polkinghorne thought gyroscopes were all about, and what mass/energy equivalence meant, I nevertheless wrote another very polite, long letter on 18<sup>th</sup> May

2006, enclosing a copy of my book, and expanding on the relevance of my ideas and their significance to the potential suffering of a great many, as set out in a letter I had written to the Archbishop of Canterbury (again disappointed by the lack of interest) and amplifying on my revelation. I also made the following point:

“I think that it is reasonable to say that many ideas in Physics are “unintelligible”. Indivisible particles being in several places at the same time (Feynman and Hawking) is unintelligible. The big bang is unintelligible. How a point particle can have alignment is unintelligible. The idea of energy as an entity is unintelligible when it is clearly relative. Curved space is unintelligible. I could go on and on. My three simple postulates, and where they lead, can bring sense to all this nonsense, if only physicists will open their minds and consider the logic and the evidence, and the possibility of error. But you have to remember that it took God to explain it; it is bound to seem unintelligible without following the God-given arguments.”

I had prayed earnestly about this letter, redrafting it several times, because it seemed that the only way to get the attention of the Archbishop of Canterbury as opposed to just his office, was if a cleric, like the Rev. Dr. Polkinghorne, with also some standing in physics, would be willing to point out that experimental evidence did exist that might suggest the validity of my claim of Divine revelation, and warrant further investigation. How could any man of God, who was also a scientist, not want to see experiments repeated that were potentially of considerable significance, not only to science and theology, but also to the alleviation and prevention of suffering on a scale unprecedented in human history?

His again brief reply on 25<sup>th</sup> May 2006, left this question still to be pondered, with only an indication that he was either unwilling or unable to apply my ideas to some basic problems, as excuse for terminating the correspondence. If he had just opened my book

and only looked at my diagrams of electrons and protons, he might have given some real thought to spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and how internal spins might explain difference in mass when two particles are classified in the same way by what is known as J spin, which is different to the simple concept of rotation.

I had to accept that, for whatever reason, possibly to do with his age, Dr. Polkinghorne was either unable or unwilling to apply his mind to something that is an unresolved problem in physics, for which huge sums are now being spent in seeking an answer: the origin of mass. What I was to discover a few weeks later was to suggest one possible reason that was much more disturbing.

With my concerns about climate change growing, I had also written to the Queen, Prime Minister and many others, explaining that simple experiments may well lead to technology that could make a huge difference. I received only standard replies, of course, but something was about to happen that would cause me to write again later in 2006 expressing much greater urgency.

My scientific work had been so important that our annual one-week holiday had gone by the board since our last visit to Sandford Park in 2002. We had not gone away in 2003, before the first revelation, because of my health and because of great uncertainty in our financial situation. For various reasons, however, we decided on a return to Gurnard Pines in the summer of 2006, where we had spent a week in this holiday park near Cowes in 1998. It had not come quite up to the standards of Sandford Park or Woolacombe, but there was much on the Island that we had enjoyed, and of course, I had happy memories of a few childhood days there.

The weather was very good for the first few days. In fact, it was almost too hot. We had to buy another sun umbrella to supplement the one we usually take on holiday, there rarely being enough at any holiday park around the pools. The Sun actually felt so strong that, although I was sure about mankind's contribution to climate change, I began to think that the Sun may have a greater role than most seemed to think; that is apart from those who simply wanted to dismiss the now widely accepted

anthropogenic (man made) causes because it did not suit them.

The incident in question happened on one of these very hot days. We had taken some frozen steak out of the freezer in the morning, so that it would have all day in our chalet to thaw out. This chalet, being of wooden construction with a low roof, did get very hot, especially if shut up for most of the day, as it had been on this occasion. When I came to start cooking the steak at about 7pm, instead of a satisfying sizzling sound, the chalet was filled with my Victor Meldrew expletives of, "I don't belieeeeve it!" How the steak could still be frozen puzzled me for several minutes as I struggled with unfamiliar microwave, and despaired rather than drooled as the meat broiled in blood instead of searing when I finally managed to get it into a pan. Memories of the frozen chop in my student days came flooding back.

But when my frustration subsided, I tried to think why Newton's law of cooling had not seemed to work in reverse. Why was the rate of increase in temperature not proportional to the excess temperature of the surroundings, or at least close, even without "conditions of forced convection"? The steak was not all that deeply frozen, so it only had to increase in temperature a few degrees to reach zero? Why hadn't it, in temperatures of 30 degrees or more for many hours? And then I remembered. My excuse was that it was probably over 40 years since I learnt about it, and had hardly thought about it since, but the answer was latent heat.

To be honest, I am still not sure if this, or anything scientific, could explain what happened. It seemed plenty of time in my experience for relatively thin cut meat to thaw out in such conditions. Which led me to think that all this had the sole purpose of reminding me about latent heat, because before the steak was served out, I was thinking just how significant latent heat must be to the question of melting ice sheets.

You see, the point is that the temperature of the steak, or rather the frozen water in the blood of the steak, would have risen at a fairly steady rate (dependent on the temperature in the chalet) until it reached zero degrees, but it would remain frozen until enough

heat energy (called latent heat) was pumped into it to change the ice to water, still at zero degrees. I knew that the figures involved were large. For some reason the figure of 540 calories per gram for changing water to steam had remained in my memory. Remember that normally it only takes one calorie to raise the temperature of one gram of liquid water by one degree centigrade. I knew that the figure for changing ice to water was lower than 540 but I could not recall the exact figure.

But as far as climate change is concerned, once the ice actually starts to melt, it means that lots of heat energy has already been pumped into it, without increasing the temperature above zero, and then the water is free to increase in temperature. So clearly, when assessing past temperature increases and projecting them forwards in these areas, understanding of latent heat is vital.

A few weeks after the holiday I had good reason to look up the figure, when an article appeared in *New Scientist* about the thawing of the vast areas of permafrost. The article on page 8 of the 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2006 edition entitled *Boreal Meltdown*, had the headline, 'One degree and we're done for', and my thoughts on latent heat helped me to see why.

The warning came from Dr. Jim Hansen, head of NASA's climate team. I knew about the thawing of the permafrost, having seen documentaries showing cabins in some areas like Alaska sinking into the thawing ground. But seeing the global temperature increases, and how much higher than the rest of the World they had been over the last 30 years in these extreme northern latitudes, bearing in mind the question of latent heat, caused alarm bells to start ringing in my mind.

What all this told me was that it was almost certainly mankind's activities, primarily, over a much longer period than 30 years, that had been pumping heat into these frozen areas, where emissions had been far higher than in the southern hemisphere, that could only be increasing in temperature faster than completely unfrozen areas if the thawing out were already well under way, with significant new areas of complete melt (at the surface at least) that were not constrained in temperature increase by latent heat. Some

research soon confirmed that NASA considered latent heat to be the most significant aspect of physics in the subject of climate change.

Soon after that, I was to find amazing confirmation of what I had been saying about anti-gravity. Someone in the NPA drew attention to a video interview with an elderly man called Ralph Ring, who claimed to be involved in the production of a levitating craft with Otis T. Carr and others in 1950's California. Carr, apparently, derived his ideas from a close association with the great inventor Nicola Tesla.

I watched the video with avid interest, exclaiming, "Yes!" several times as my own ideas were confirmed, and strange phenomena were as I had seen elsewhere and thought that I could probably explain. Carr had even said to Ring, "Time does not exist." But most remarkably, what I had guessed about the human nervous system being able to establish the appropriate anti-gravity frequency and pass it on to other matter, was clearly demonstrated, and reflected in the title of the video, "Aquamarine Dreams".

A full analysis of the interview is on the report near the start of my website, so I shall not go through it with the same thoroughness here. But I need to mention that my suspicions that anti-gravity technology had become a taboo subject were confirmed, and that the same applied to the development of other new energy technologies. The atrocious treatment of Tesla was mentioned, as well as that of Carr; and my own researches into Tesla's history and that of other prominent figures in new energy and related ideas, revealed a sorry tale of man's greed and innate nastiness getting in the way of the very progress that could have prevented the suffering that is to come, a foretaste of which is now apparent across the Globe.

I had written the report, which also set out my new fears about climate change, to send to my MP, the Rt. Hon. Humfrey Malins CBE, MP, as soon as I realised that the Stern Report hardly mentioned the areas of permafrost, and certainly did not recognise the significance of what the NASA team were saying. I had first

written to him on the subject of climate change about two years before, when I prepared a summary for him of the BBC Horizon documentary on “Global Dimming”, adding my own view that rather than just reflection of energy back out to space, there would be both absorption and scattering that would mean more energy going into the atmosphere, and that CO<sub>2</sub> levels were likely to rise as a result of decreased photosynthesis. Recent studies appear to suggest that I was right, but it seems that governments try to restrict what scientists can say publicly because of the implications for aircraft flights. If I were to write down my unrestrained thoughts on this they would be unprintable.

But I suspected that my own MP did not have adequate knowledge of science, which he soon confirmed, to understand all that I was saying. Even so, I thought that this was unnecessary to realise how important it was to draw the attention of the House to what Stern appeared to have missed, and that one of his constituents was claiming to have found evidence that technologies that could have made a huge difference had been actively discouraged by governments and others throughout the last century, and that this seemed to be happening even now.

A great many emails later, to large numbers of MPs, even when I had demonstrated that the Oxford climate team did not understand the significance of latent heat as NASA did, brought no action, other than an invitation from David Cameron’s office to submit my report to the website of his Quality of Life Policy Group.

I did make a submission to that website, though also including strong evidence I had just found that cold fusion had been achieved, but mentioning the murder of a leading figure in cold fusion research, and omitting the lengthy appendices. The fact that the many Conservative MPs that I eventually decided to concentrate on, including Mr. Cameron and other leading figures in the party, chose to ignore the evidence I provided about cold fusion, suggested why my submission did not appear on the website.

Many of my emails included the press and media as cc recipients. And not a glimmer of interest came from any of them.

I also sent or emailed them copies of letters and emails to the Prime Minister, government departments, the American and Canadian governments, the UN, the Queen and Royal family, the Pope, Archbishop of Canterbury (several times), top scientific institutions, leading scientists, and later the European Commission.

Despite the fact that all my appeals to the press and media over the last few years had fallen on deaf ears, presumably because of my claim of Divine revelation, I could not understand why they were all ignoring clear evidence that cold fusion had been achieved and was being suppressed by governments, and that there was evidence that the same applied to anti-gravity. Even my own local newspaper, that had sent a reporter to interview me about my book when it was published, and printed the story, was now ignoring all this new evidence. Why?

That local newspaper report had omitted everything I said in the interview about Divine revelation, and this was also edited out of my follow up letter, which was needed to correct and clarify the report in general.

Was all this evidence of huge, widespread determination to avoid the possibility that God reveals truth, even in the Church? Was this determination so strong that all these people could ignore the possibility of avoiding unprecedented suffering? Had all these people been brainwashed by aliens set on taking over the planet? Had governments been able to do a deal with the press and media to avoid mention of certain subjects? These were all thoughts I was starting to entertain.

But I have not mentioned something, which to me as now a man of science and a man of faith, was as abhorrent as any of these. My report had also mentioned something that I found hard to believe and did not want to believe. A fellow NPA member, who had made a particular study of the history of unified field theories, had posted the following on his website:

“There has been a great number of scientists working on UFT, and academia responds by ostracising them whenever possible. All of

the scientific issues raised by this theoretical development touch upon issues such as the paranormal and ETs that Academia wishes to be in a state of denial about.

I have now been in contact with various people that have been working on these theoretical ideas either directly or indirectly, and was amazed to be informed that Scientific Academia had made a deal a long time ago with the Religious Priesthood that “they” have agreed censorship between them. This agreed censorship seems to be another reason why UFT is not allowed to be talked about too much; because it would impinge on religious beliefs.”

Although this did seem hard to believe, it provided a possible answer to the extreme difficulty I had found in getting any comment from large numbers in mainstream science, and especially the Rev. Dr. Polkinghorne’s briefness and reluctance to consider experimental evidence, and the clear determination on the part of the Archbishop of Canterbury’s office to avoid comment, and possibly to keep from Dr. Williams the existence of my claims. The complete lack of response from the Vatican office was also thus possibly explained, though all are complete nonsense in the context of the current state of the Planet.

But there was one more issue that might make more sense of all of this. At the end of 2006 and the start of 2007 God was providing me with very strong evidence that UFOs were real phenomena (which I had long suspected). As with evidence supporting my theory from TV programmes that I stumbled on in such a way that the timing and significance seemed too amazing to be coincidence, I just turned on the TV at random times to either Discovery Science or History Channels, to find programme after programme with ever more compelling information. And at the same time I was getting emails from Project Camelot (responsible for the Ralph Ring interview) with equally impressive evidence in the form of interviews and reports of people coming forward who were ex military, CIA or anonymous Pentagon sources, all verifying the reports of government cover-ups contained in the TV programmes.

Before Christmas 2006 I was convinced, and told my relatives so during the festivities, but the History Channel programme early in 2007 dealing with USOs (unidentified submerged objects) was the icing on the cake as far as I was concerned, because the only alternative to the truth of so many in the military coming forward, i.e. having been told or paid to as a cover for black ops., I could rule out with the knowledge that I am sure God also helped me find. In the *World Atlas of Mysteries*, that had appeared so conveniently at just the right time in a charity shop, which had proved to be the source of all sorts of helpful information, were impressive reports of both UFOs and USOs in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, i.e. before the Wright brothers.

If I could verify these from another source, then surely it would be established beyond all reasonable doubt that aliens were not only on the planet now under the oceans, but had been for some considerable period of time.

So I started research in earnest on the Internet. It did not take long to find what I was looking for and much more. I found extensive sources of information, with reports not only confirming most of those in Francis Hitching's book, but going back much further, and containing even more impressive reports.

The awful truth was now dawning on me, and it was not the presence of aliens. Democracy and freedom of speech was a complete and utter sham! And the way that Governments were dealing with the greatest realisation in human history seemed likely to be as lunatic as the denial of the only technologies likely to save us from ourselves.

I did consider the possibility that the aliens themselves required the silence about their presence, and even required cessation of the development of technologies that might threaten them, perhaps under threat of our destruction, which might be hastened without even revealing themselves in confrontation, simply by warming the oceans, or by taking out our communications and control systems.

But I had not agreed with Hawking's suggestion that we should "keep our heads low" on the question of trying to contact aliens

elsewhere in the Universe, on the evidence of what has happened on this planet. Once I knew beyond doubt that God existed, it seemed reasonable to suppose that species would not be allowed to explore the Universe, until they learned the lessons from the exploration of their home planet, which in our case are all too obvious. It seemed to me that species like us either never learn lessons from the past, and self destruct, or those who do learn in time have passed the theory driving test for starships.

I have suggested that the choice of good or evil is ultimately a question of intelligence, not implying that the simple minded cannot discern such matters, because I am very much with Christ on this. It is rather that adequate intelligence, which seems to rule out many humans generally regarded as such, has in the end to see the value of good over bad.

In my view, therefore, those with the intelligence to have survived on their own planets and reached ours, are far more likely to be good than bad. As I write this now, I have evidence that this is true. I even have evidence that may lead some to suppose that they, or some of them, may even be God, just as I had pondered. But God has since revealed to me what I can tell you is “the wonderful truth”, by guiding me through contact with others, sometimes in completely unexpected ways, to knowledge that allows me to suggest the nature of our very souls, and even of God.

But first, I must provide confirmation of “the awful truth”. I now suspected that if I mentioned UFOs and aliens, no matter how impressive the evidence I had, or claimed to have, I would find the same apparent, across the board silence or disinterest. I tried the water first with a letter to one conservative MP who had stated great concerns about climate change and had at least some idea about science, setting out the best of the evidence. No response. I then emailed my selected list of Conservatives, and one Liberal who had great concerns over aircraft flights, without actually mentioning UFOs or aliens but hinting strongly that I had evidence of huge significance (obviously about something like this) if anyone cared to email me for details. None did.

So on 16<sup>th</sup> January 2007 I emailed the same people, setting out the most impressive of the evidence in the text and attached documents. Again, no response, except that on the 19<sup>th</sup>, Mr. Malins wrote a letter to me, with no mention of aliens, but trying to make the case for him doing nothing, because he could not understand the science, suggesting (in effect) that I go away until I have convinced mainstream science of my theories. Interestingly, he said, “If you cannot summarise what you are saying on one side of A4 in language that an intelligent teenager could understand, then I fear that I am not going to understand your argument..”, because that is exactly what God had just guided me to do. And so I sent my A4 summary to Mr. Malins followed by many other people that I had contacted before.

Mr. Malins had said that his area of expertise was the criminal justice system. Apart from my A4 summary, that focused on climate change, I had just sent him documents demonstrating in simple English the extent to which many witnesses corroborated each others evidence on a matter of not just one life, as in a murder case, but the entire human race!

I emailed my summary, but did not expect it to make any difference, but I demonstrated why his area of expertise was relevant as follows:

“Now these matters do not necessarily require any understanding of science to evaluate the probability of their validity or significance. It might help, but whether in Parliament or the Courts, it is more a case of noting the extent of confirmation or agreement in judging the veracity of evidence that involves specialist knowledge.

So this is what I am asking you to do in the first instance because this is exactly the nature of the criminal justice system, and I need someone with reason to give me some initial credibility, to examine, not so much what I am saying, but the extent to which this is confirmed by others. This is why I have backed up everything I have said with the opinions of those who are experts in their fields or substantial other evidence.

Perhaps in doubting that you would understand the details, you may not have read down to the point that I ask everybody to examine the latest evidence (attached to my last email) as they would in a murder inquiry. If you are doubtful about taking the time, consider how much time you might devote to saving one innocent life, and put this in perspective that the entire future of humanity hangs in the balance and how much of what I have said so far has been confirmed by others.

The extent to which this might relate to climate change can only be understood after realising that the evidence of alien presence now goes way, way beyond the criteria of reasonable doubt that would apply in a criminal court. This is essential before I mention things that current science deems impossible, because the fact of alien presence deems current mainstream science to be inadequate.”

The way that I had put this in my previous email to Mr. Malins and many others was an even simpler reference to his area of expertise:

“So I will try a new tack, based on the fact that many of you I think understand the legal process better than science, certainly as readily admitted by my source of help in the past, the Rt. Hon. Member for Woking, and I shall therefore put the vital information that you have to realise in the context of the criminal justice system, of which I know that Mr. Malins, amongst others is much more familiar.

What if two independent witnesses to a murder, average citizens not linked in any way, gave virtually the same full description of the murderer. Would the police be justified in proceeding on the basis that these could be reliable witnesses and look for someone of that description and other evidence?

Simple answer: absolutely!

But what if both said the murderer had purple hair and very short legs? I say that the police must proceed as above, but consider also the possibility of a hoax or other reason to doubt.

But what if one of the witnesses was a scientist, and then others reporting witnessing the very same murder and unusual perpetrator, turned out to be a police officer, several other scientists, a pilot, two soldiers, and a judge. Should the police disregard the evidence on the basis that a murderer with purple hair and very short legs is preposterous?

Simple answer: Absolutely not!

Unfortunately most people do not consider evidence as dispassionately as the police and the courts, and believe what they prefer to believe. I ask you to neither believe nor disbelieve, but just to consider the evidence dispassionately and fully, and particularly the number of highly credible witnesses now involved.

Consider first the attached cross-referenced documents containing reports analysed by myself in terms of veracity of witnesses, physical evidence, and significance of spinning wheels. On the basis of all this evidence before the days of manned flight, apart from balloons which may explain perhaps one or two, can 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> century sightings of UFOs and USOs be explained solely by black projects and natural phenomena?

Then see the second page of my letter to Nick Hurd of 2<sup>nd</sup> January attached, and then the following extract near the foot of the third page:

“Recent reports from many military personnel, especially who were on the US Carrier FDR, corroborated by no fewer than 6 UK Aircraft in Operation Mainbrace, 1952, and similar incidents since, including reports of Russian sub-mariners who died in attempts to intercept huge USOs, suggest that the aliens have been observing our warlike intentions and capabilities.”

The British airmen reported a UFO that was “A QUARTER OF A

MILE ACROSS"! Do we doubt these witnesses, or do we move on and consider further evidence?"

I made all this public by writing again to the Prime Minister, with copies to many others including selected press and media, on 25<sup>th</sup> January 2007. I still have no reply from the Prime Minister, and no interest from anyone else.

I had sent this letter to one new person, Professor Sir Ghilleen Prance FRS VMH, in his capacity as scientific director of the Eden Project, because my main reason for writing to the Prime Minister on this occasion was to set out an idea that came to me after praying in tongues, based on dome technology.

It had become clear to me that the most urgent thing, that was absolutely vital to achieve, was stopping the vast quantities of carbon dioxide and especially methane from the thawing permafrost getting into the atmosphere. It may be getting too late for even new, vastly more efficient energy technologies to achieve this unless we can find a way to buy time for them to be phased in.

The idea, that may well again have been implanted in my mind by God, was explained to the Prime Minister as follows:

“On the basis that we have to stop at least the methane getting into the atmosphere, it has to be trapped. But if we burn it in conventional ways it still produces CO<sub>2</sub> and water vapour (the worst greenhouse gas in total). If, however, we burn the methane in an enclosed, controlled environment, e.g. a bio-dome, the water vapour can be condensed and used with the CO<sub>2</sub> to grow plants (which grow better in enhanced levels of CO<sub>2</sub> up to a point - 1200 ppm).

Additionally and preferably, and perhaps financed by the sale of surplus methane, the latter can be converted to either hydrogen or methanol to be used in fuel cells, whose sole outputs are electricity and pure water. Some of the electricity can then be used in heat pumps to cool other areas of water to inhibit the release of methane and CO<sub>2</sub>.

With huge international investment to start the process, it could well become both self-financing and exponentially growing, as more and more domes are built, encouraging the controlled release of ever more useable methane, each with a hinterland they serve with food and water to maintain workers, who can move on to other areas as ground is gradually refrozen, leaving some to monitor conditions, with the minimum number of heat pumps needed to prevent re-melting.

The expertise of Professor Sir Ghillean Prance gained in connection with the Eden Project, and of others involved in that scheme, would be invaluable in dealing with tricky matters such as water, gas and temperature management, the latter of which would be particularly challenging in these areas. Those involved in schemes such as Woking town centre, that have incorporated fuel cells, could also advise. But the sheer scale of the exercise, and difficult ground conditions and temperature variation, would need a mixture of good planning, clever engineering, local knowledge, early implementation, and management of human and other resources on a scale not seen since the building of the pyramids.”

I was under no illusion about the scale of the operation I was proposing. But it made infinitely more sense than putting mirrors in space, as had just been suggested. That would add to global dimming in inhibiting the planet’s own best defence mechanism – photosynthesis – and also be more expensive, and almost certainly far less practicable than deploying reflective membranes exactly where they are needed, over the most vulnerable of the areas of permafrost as part of my scheme to also trap and use the methane.

I have to hope that although the Prime Minister, or his office, chose not to reply, perhaps to avoid any comment on the question of aliens, that the idea was noted in some way. I did receive a reply from the office of the Canadian Prime Minister dated 9<sup>th</sup> February 2007, saying that a copy of my letter would be passed to

the Honourable John Baird, Minister of the Environment, and assuring me that the statements I had made would be carefully reviewed.

My letter mentioned Gordon Novel, another interviewee on the Project Camelot site. He is someone with a mixed record that some may choose to doubt, but his intimate involvement with the CIA and some of his comments lead me to think that what he was saying about alien technology could well be true, especially as later interviews with others that I have seen provide even greater evidence of government cover ups.

I believe that very few people in the World apart from myself would understand what he said about time and energy being the same thing. He could have read this in DePalma's work, but I doubt that he would have understood it without adequate explanation by others. And it would make sense that those others also understood anti-gravity.

What he says about time travel is wrong, but understandable, because I may also be one of a very small number of people in the World who understands how the illusion of time travel can come from variable, directional mass, and this is what confused Ralph Ring. But what I had to tell the Prime Minister and all the cc recipients that Novel was right about was this:

“Novel is absolutely right that new energy technologies can create wealth and spread it more evenly, but this is actually a huge opportunity for governments, banks and industry, and exactly the same applies to anti-gravity. Just as aircraft and electricity did not bring anarchy to the 20th century, anti-gravity and cold fusion will not bring anarchy to the 21st. They will, in fact, do the same and more: bring wonderful progress and benefits, together with the same opportunities for gross miss-use, unless mankind finally learns the lessons of the past.”

What we have seen recently happening to western banks and building societies is partly the result of greed, but it is also because their approach has been based on building on the “sand”

of credit instead of the “rock” of progress. New technologies giving cheap energy will increase the spending potential of the many instead of the few, and thus give a sound basis for sustainable growth.

I should not, however, embark on much more discourse on the subject of economics because, although my town planning studies gave me some basic understanding of this difficult subject, I have not given it any where near as much thought as physics or faith.

Just before my letter to the Prime Minister, I had felt very strongly guided to the discovery of a group of scientists that surely had to be interested in what God had been revealing to me. The following first few paragraphs of the email I sent as a result tells the story:

“Dear Dr. Berry and cc recipients,

I am almost too overcome with emotion to write this email. Despite knowing the incredible extent of God's guiding hand in my life and work since October 2003, the realisation of the significance of being clearly guided to your organisation is overwhelming in the context of the immense frustrations I have encountered recently.

When I tell you that a few days ago, after the almost daily praying in tongues that has revealed astonishing things in recent years, I concluded that God had given me an idea for tackling the now desperate climate change situation involving something based on the Eden Project, I think that you will realise my reaction on finding that your President is no less than its scientific director, and that amongst your vice presidents and others, are Sir John Houghton and many with exactly the knowledge to help in my recent, ever more desperate attempts to convince the Prime Minister and many others that drastic action is now needed.

Yesterday my Wife, an avid listener to Premier Christian Radio, tuned in just in time to catch the end of your Professor Sam Berry's comments on climate change that convinced me that I must contact your organisation.”

I went on to describe how the incident with the steak had led me realise the significance of latent heat, and to describe the idea about domes in rather more detail than I had for those less scientifically minded, and concluded as follows:

“I have in fact already advised Dr. Steve Howard CEO of The Climate Group who are based where I live in Woking, where fuel cells help to heat the town, that I am working on such an idea, which he might help me develop and publicise. May I suggest (request) that you copy this email to Sir Ghillean, Sir John Houghton and anyone else who you, they or others may think appropriate, perhaps including Dr. Howard, to discuss both this, the validity of my point about latent heat (see also the scanned in, annotated New Scientist article), and vexed new energy questions viz-a-viz economics and politics. I can provide considerable evidence that cold fusion has been achieved and significant evidence that anti-gravity has, together with evidence that both have been positively discouraged or falsely discredited.

Whether or not we can still avoid disaster, I think that it may be part of God's plan to test the willingness of many to have more concern for their fellow creatures than themselves and to acknowledge the God that was willing to suffer much more for them. And I think that this is all tied up with whether we are ready to face the reality of not being alone in the universe with appropriate humility. And humility in both science and religion is important in this process and in their reconciliation.

May God continue blessing your organisation, which I hope to both learn from and share with in the future. Yours in Christ,

Would you believe that I received not one reply to this impassioned email, and Dr. Steve Howard also chose to ignore me completely, as did the local press again, and even former colleagues at the Town Hall. And the only reply from any follow up emails was a refusal of contact information from the secretary of Christians in Science, who even suggested that my involvement in science was just a hobby.

Of course, she was technically right to refuse contact information, but my thinking on this sort of thing is always “rules are made for the guidance of wise men and the blind obedience of fools”. What really disappointed me was her reluctance to just give some thought to what I was saying, and the ease with which she, as a Christian in science, dismissed the possibility that God reveals truth to the humble. I have pondered many times since if I was too hard on her in my reply, and thought hard now if I should include it here. In view of the suffering that has already started, that looks set to be the worst in human history, I can come to no other conclusion than that the following is justified:

“Dear Ruth,

As a gentleman and "nice person" my first inclination is to say that of course I understand.

As a Christian and philosopher, however, I am more inclined to righteous indignation. Both these roles make truth and concern for our fellow humans seem far, far more important than the niceties of elitism or exclusivity that can easily be circumvented with inventive tact, and though I certainly would not wish to be responsible for putting your livelihood in jeopardy, I thought that you might devote a little private time to a matter that concerns the suffering of hundreds of millions.

It is desperately sad that Christians rule out the possibility of Divine revelation and almost as sad that scientists have no interest in putting the possibility to the same scrutiny as their own arrogant ideas.

It seems that God guided me two years ago to write this about scientists at the end of the introduction to my autobiography:

“The fate of Humanity rests in your hands. I leave them to ponder the following quotes from the two-part dramatisation of Gulliver’s Travels, starring Ted Danson :-

As Gulliver explored “The Academy” he observed :

“Hundreds of professors, tackling every problem known to man; but no ideas were finished, and in the meantime, the whole country lays in ruins.”

“They are mad, all mad; so obsessed with their own worlds that they have forgotten reality.””

I actually said that I would not go so far as the second quote, but I have to tell you that I am beginning to wonder.

What you arrogantly call "hobby" has driven me to slave long hours, pushing myself to the limits of my physical and mental endurance, doing the WORK that God prepared me for since the day I was born (or before), slaving that others may be saved terrible suffering, and verifying over and over again the truth that could bring peace and joy instead of suffering and despair. And when I say verifying I mean in finding repeatable experiments that are not being repeated because profit is more important than people.

This work has less to do with anti-gravity and cold fusion than demonstrating that mankind's lack of open-minded humility will be the cause of its downfall.

The history of science (and the Church) is a sorry tale of this problem that demonstrates that humans have less ability to learn from the lessons of the past than the simple robots they can create.

See <http://www.alternativescience.com/skeptics.htm>. See that cold fusion HAS been achieved. See that Laithwaite was treated disgracefully (and I promise he will be proved right).

Wake up you foolish lemming! God is giving mankind one last chance to demonstrate adequate humility to trust Him, and even so called Christians are failing.

Evil is winning and your wonderful scientific institutions do not even have the perception to see it or the will to fight it. So many reject me as they did Christ, because they have no real desire for truth.

Well this "inconvenient truth" will not go away and WILL demonstrate the value of genuinely trusting in God.

Take comfort in the fact that Christ requires me to forgive your stupidity. But you have no reason to take comfort in a future in which humans think themselves wiser than God."  
(quoted with spelling corrected)

Anyone who has followed all I have said in this book should realise that this was not an emotional outburst of petulant indignation. It was not hurt pride. It was realisation of the "Awful truth", that mankind's arrogance was going to lead to its downfall.

Whether it was denial of the existence of beings more intelligent than us, or simply not wanting to be seen to be wrong about anything, or just misplaced belief that somehow we must be able to muddle through, it was all stupid arrogance.

Ruth was unfortunate in being the first to receive what had been on my mind to say to many before, but people in her position had (logically) to be the most likely of all to consider the possibility that God is a continual revealer of truth in science. Logic, however, seemed to be constantly overridden by the very emotions that Christianity should overcome: vanity, pride, jealousy, envy, and greed, or maybe in some cases by just plain stupidity.

But still I was not about to give up trying. Two avenues remained. I had still to bring all this to the attention of the local Church at St. Johns, Woking, where although I was not a member, I was known through my Wife Sue and Daughter Jo, who hopefully were seen as some reflection of myself in being what would surely be recognised as two very humble and devout Christian ladies indeed.

And amongst all my frantic efforts, God had quietly provided

even more impressive experimental evidence that I could put into a second paper for submission the next, rapidly approaching NPA conference.

Amongst the information on Ralph Ring and Otis Carr on the Project Camelot site, was a link to information about the late Professor Eric Laithwaite. Via this I found videos of his Christmas lecture at the Royal Institution concerning gyroscopes. I soon recognised these amazing demonstrations as what I marvelled at many years ago, but had thought then I would have great difficulty trying to explain. As I watched them again, I was overjoyed by the extent that they now made sense to me, and provided even clearer verification of my explanations of things from neutrinos to planets.

But this source, science writer Richard Milton, also told me just how badly treated Professor Laithwaite had been. He told of the previous, more formal occasion at the Royal Institution, neatly summarised in one of his paragraphs as follows:

“The resounding silence of his audience continued long after that fateful evening. There was to be no Fellowship of the Royal Society, no gold medal, no 'Arise, Sir Eric'. And, for the first time in two hundred years, there was to be no published 'proceedings' recording Laithwaite's astonishing lecture. In an unprecedented act of academic Stalinism, the Royal Institution simply banished the memory of Professor Laithwaite, his gyroscopes that became lighter, his lecture, even his existence.”

This may partly explain why the Royal Society provided me with an email address, only to close it down as soon as they heard what I had to say, and the Royal Institution told me that no one could reply to me because the whole place was closed for redecoration.

Also, Roland Jackson, Chief Executive of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, first responded to me by emphasising the importance of experimental evidence, and then showed no interest in the experimental evidence I was able to mention. He suggested that a pact between the Church and

academia on unified field theories was “patent nonsense”. I replied by mentioning the following extract from my then current letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury:

“Now I cannot tell you how much I would prefer to concur with Roland Jackson, Chief Executive of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, in saying that a long-standing pact between the Church and Academia to discourage the discussion of unified field theories is “patent nonsense”. But I simply cannot get my head round the logic of why Mr. Jackson, whose first observation included the importance of experimentation, followed vast numbers of academics and apparently yourself and the Rev. Dr. Polkinghorne in showing no interest whatsoever in experiments for which I provide documentation.

So regrettably I find it difficult to dismiss such a repulsive possibility until you, the Pope and respected academics stand firm and united in saying that truth and understanding can never be compromised in science and religion. So I hope that the historic validity of any such pact ever applying will be clarified and denounced as particularly inappropriate now, when truth may hold the key to the entire future of mankind, on this planet and beyond, and the prevention of suffering on an unprecedented scale.”

The fact that Roland Jackson had nothing more to say, and that the Archbishop of Canterbury’s office made no mention of it, and said, “Whilst it is not possible for the Archbishop or his staff to continue to correspond with you...”, leaves me little option than to suspect that this vile possibility may be true. And this is also indicated by the fact not one person from the following long list of cc recipients of my letter to the Archbishop had anything to say either:

Cc recipients of my letter to Dr. Williams dated 5/12/2006:

Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II  
The Pope  
The Rt. Hon. David Cameron MP  
The Rt. Hon. Humfrey Malins CBE MP  
The Rt. Hon. Norman Baker MP  
Selected MPs in British Parliament (subject  
to electronic contact)  
Lord Rees of Ludlow, President of the  
Royal Society  
Rowland Jackson, Chief Executive  
British Association for the Advancement of Science  
Baroness Susan Greenfield, Director  
The Royal Institution of Great Britain  
Robert Kirby-Harris  
Chief Executive, Institute of Physics  
Professor Sir Roger Penrose KCB FRS  
Editors of:  
The Times, The Guardian, Daily Telegraph,  
The Independent, Daily Express, Daily Mail  
Evening Standard, Daily Mirror, The Sun,  
The People,  
New Scientist,  
Sir Nicholas Stern, The Stern Review  
The Director General BBC TV  
BBC Breakfast TV  
John Lynch, Head of BBC Science & History  
David Shukman, BBC TV  
ITN News  
Channel 4 News

What has to be remembered, however, is that all of these, may well have had another reason for remaining silent that was more to do with my final paragraph:

“I also hope that you will agree with what I say in my final paragraph to the Prime Minister about respecting alien life forms, who may well also be part of God’s creation, and with what I say about weapons in space. I doubt that you will have had time to watch the many programmes that cover this subject, the most

recent of which provide considerable and varied evidence that is very hard to dismiss.”

But I wanted to approach this subject carefully when it came to the Vicar at my local Church, and I believe that God provided an opportunity to test the water. The very day that God gave me the idea related to the Eden project, the BBC announced a competition (called “Ice Edge”) for young people to suggest ideas for combating climate change. So I wrote to my local school and the vicar asking if they knew of any young people that might be interested in developing my germ of an idea into a competition entry, on the basis that they may have to forgo the prize in admitting that they had some help, but may just help save the planet in the process.

Having mentioned briefly the ways that the Holy Spirit had been working in my life I cautiously introduced the alien question as follows:

“I will be writing to you soon specifically about the need for the Church to consider the things that I have been shown that will shake science and theology to their roots, and about the strange determination of the Archbishop of Canterbury’s office to apparently resist all comment on the question of climate change and, as far as I can tell, keep what I am saying from Dr. Williams, for whom I continue to have great respect.”

I was hoping, of course, that any reply, or lack of any, might guide me in how best to be more specific. The lack of it meant that I had to wait, and eventually I felt very strongly guided about this. But there was much more for me to learn before God’s perfect timing came to pass.

First, I had to write my second paper. And although my first intention was to avoid the mistake of the previous year, in the knowledge that so many papers were submitted that something far shorter would be better, focusing purely on the subject of mass, the question of anti-gravity seemed to make mention of alien

technology unavoidable.

I compromised by putting as much information as possible on this subject into appendices, leaving it up to the reader how much of this to read, whilst relating everything coherently in the main text, the main point of which was to establish beyond reasonable doubt that anti-gravity had long been achieved on this planet.

I also wanted to avoid another mistake of the previous year by this time making it very clear that I would like feedback from others after the conference. I received one comment before the conference, that mine was the most impressive list of UFO sightings that he had seen. But that was it, and I was one of only three that somehow were accidentally missed from the list of authors requesting feedback.

So even amongst what I hoped were some of the most open-minded scientists in the World, mention of aliens still seemed to be taboo. There seemed to have been no discussion (that anybody wanted recorded), about the most significant discovery in the entire history of not only science, but all human activity.

Oh how I seem to have been guided to start this book with that quote of Gulliver's. All these people seemed incapable of seeing beyond their own ideas. And how Gulliveresque it seemed to me when those speaking on behalf of the Royal family suggested that something that might be of huge significance to the entire future of humanity "is not a matter in which it would be appropriate for ----- to become involved."

There is either a monumental "burying of heads in sand", or the few have taken it upon themselves to speak for the masses without mandate, and worse still without their knowledge. And at the same time, some of these have been sacrificing lives on the altar of "democracy".

While researching the incredible volume of evidence of UFOs etc. now on the Internet, I found more than enough, especially when added to the TV and video evidence, to make a case that no reasonable person could dispute. And by the time this book is published it may well be that the cat is completely out of the bag,

because witnesses are constantly coming forward whose veracity is also increasingly hard to deny. And the message that is coming out of all this appears to me to reflect what God has given me to say about forgiveness and humility.

Some people may think that it was a huge mistake on my part to mention aliens at all, especially in my second paper, where some excellent experimental verifications may have been missed by many choosing to ignore my paper. What I have learned since tells me that it was essential to have recorded the evidence that I did, because God needed me to be able to put that into the context of other amazing realisations to counter something of even greater consequence to humanity than climate change.

If this chapter so far seems long enough and with more than enough to take in, brace yourselves for things that will astonish, and start to throw light on the unexplainable. I am about to explain, “The Wonderful Truth”, and it is quite a story in itself.

I know now that God was again guiding my path in unusual ways, but after the conference in May, when warm sunny days turn most men's thoughts even more to sex, I found it dominating my thoughts, but not for the usual reasons. My early experience left me with perhaps a greater sense of warmth and understanding towards prostitutes, so news reports about sex slavery appalled me. It seemed to me to be a terrible failure of our society that this was still happening and apparently growing, albeit largely fed by conditions in other countries. What I had said about a more logical approach, turning this force for bad into an actual benefit, was very much on my mind again. But if I were to put my ideas forward, I felt that I needed to give them more thought, and to try to ensure that they were not naïve. I needed to familiarise myself more with the sex industry and quite importantly I thought, get some opinions of those working in it.

This research is more fully explained in Appendix 5, *Further Thoughts on Sex*, but it eventually lead to the realisation that what I have already proposed in the book is not new at all, and by following up on it I was to be clearly guided to further amazing confirmations of my work in physics that were to lead to scientific

explanations of the spiritual realm, even including the very nature of God.

I am not going to pretend that I wasn't having a lot of fun doing this research. My faith only reinforced the passion I have felt all my life for beauty either in nature or creation, depending on my state of faith before God took away any doubt. Enjoyment of the female form goes way beyond lust for me, and the joy also goes beyond the image itself. There is also joy in knowing that the person involved is also pleased with her beauty and happy to share it. For me this sharing of joy takes on a spiritual quality, and becomes a sincere form of worship.

I joked that, "Having to plough through all these websites was quite a job, but somebody has to do it!" And, of course, although this was very much tongue in cheek, it was true in that I was seeking to find those who might be willing to offer opinion and to expand my knowledge of the whole subject.

What I was doing was praying earnestly for guidance and for some indication that this was really what God wanted me to do. What eventually came out of all this left absolutely no doubt in my mind. But various things were happening to give me encouragement. While I had been just vaguely thinking that God may be guiding me to say more on the subject back in March, I was in the garden contemplating a news item on sex slavery and my mind drifted to all things in nature that I find beautiful. But as for some strange reason, I remembered that at one time I was very much drawn to the elegant beauty of Silver Birch trees, my attention was drawn to a particularly not so elegant old specimen in a nearby garden (since removed). Remembering that the Grand National was about to start, I went in to watch the race. Horse racing was one sport that I took little interest in. I had no idea of the form of any of the horses, and it was simply the significance of the event that made it tradition for each in the family to pick a runner, not to put money on, but just to add some fun.

Sue had picked the winner on a couple of occasions if I remembered correctly, but then she tended to choose from one of the favourites, as I had sometimes in the past, but the remarkable

thing was that for the last two years I had picked the winner with no regard to form. The chance of doing so three years running seemed very remote, but when I saw that one of the horses was “Silver Birch”, I thought, “That’ll do”, thinking only that coincidence had quickly solved the problem of which horse to choose. As the race went on, however, I started to entertain the possibility that maybe I had actually been guided to pick this horse. When it won, I was stunned. I had known absolutely nothing about the horse or jockey, and the odds against picking a winner completely at random three years running were very high, even taking account of the nature of the very demanding course.

The question was: why would God do this? There was no way that I would use this to try to win money because I was very much opposed to this in principle and as a matter of common sense, and surely God would know this. Was I just being tested? Was the Devil trying to tempt me?

The answer seemed clear enough later in the year. I had been praying particularly earnestly for some indication that I was correct to assume guidance in my research of the sex industry. It was now Royal Ascot week, and the only reason Sue or I watched that occasionally was the ladies fashions. We had never taken any interest in the races and had no knowledge of form. As I sat down to take my afternoon break, just after praying in the bedroom, I turned on the TV just before a race was due to start. “Why not pick a horse?” was the thought that came to me. “Maybe God wants to show his power to guide you.” Strongly suspecting that this was just my idea, I thought, “I’ll, just scan down the list of runners and see if any stand out.” The only one that vaguely did was “Indian Ink”, simply because I had used this long ago. Not only did it win, in this very short race it romped home by six lengths, a margin that surprised the commentators.

Now some may well be thinking that this could still be the Devil trying to mislead me. I considered that possibility, but does it make sense that God would leave a prayer to be answered only by the Devil? However we ponder that, the net result of the research was to guide me to what seemed precisely in accordance with

everything that God has been showing me. And God's guidance seemed definitely in the various events that followed.

I eventually identified a few escorts that seemed probably both intelligent enough and maybe with the inclination and time to take some interest. I emailed these explaining that I was doing research for my book, part of which was examining ways to bring more logic to society's attitudes to sex that might also improve the lot of sex workers and help stop the real evils such as sex slavery.

One (as also mentioned in Appendix 5) did express interest, but was so busy in her main job that she would try to reply fully later. She eventually proved to be a helpful source of information and opinion, and I have to say a very interesting and lovely person in many ways. But lack of reply from others, or half expected brief replies that they did not do interviews, or implication that I would have to pay for their time, meant that I had to cast my net a little wider.

I discovered that there were websites, membership of which allowed safer advertisement of services, contact, and feed back of information about both the services and bad experiences with clients. There were clearly benefits in this, but I could also see mixed blessings.

Very doubtful at first about "getting on the inside", I eventually decided to join one of these with the anonymity of a nickname. I was ultimately convinced to take this doubtful, and perhaps even risky step, because although this area of the industry seemed to be doing more than most to look after those involved reasonably, I still needed to find more people willing to consider something even better, and I was beginning to understand some abbreviations and slang expressions that were a source of concern to me.

I was pleased in general to find (and really expected to find) safe sex promoted on the vast majority of sites I had visited, but when I learned that "OWO" and "bareback" meant without a condom, I felt that it was important to try to understand why any would choose to risk their health, and the health of others in this way, and just how common this practise was.

It proved to be the right choice. What I discovered was that, just

in my local area, those who advertised in the local press were just the tip of an iceberg. Now this is not necessarily a bad thing. Part of my own idea is to generate enough supply in an acceptable way (see Appendix 5) to make the importation of unwilling girls uneconomic. The problem is that uncontrolled supply can result in saturation, by which I mean that to compete in the market place, those involved are bound to be tempted to offer more.

To some extent my fears were alleviated in finding that OWO and bareback were mostly “subject to discretion”, and some were explicit in saying that this meant the client having a doctor’s certificate of freedom from infection. The problem is, not all said this, and ever increasing competition is bound to eventually tempt many to waive such safeguards.

It also means that those poor, unfortunate girls who are trapped into the abomination of sex slavery are also likely to be forced to “compete better in the market place”. So I simply have to be right in calling for some real thought to be applied to what needs to happen to make the inevitable acceptable.

What my research was about to show me was that, far from being my original idea, counselling as part of educational sensual experience was something that had been practised for millennia. It was called Tantra. I had heard of tantric sex, but I was unaware of the cultural background of Tantra, or that it was still practised now in a way that was very similar to what I was proposing. The problem, however, was that genuine practitioners seemed very thin on the ground in this country. But there were places to learn. Surely, what was needed was to make this widely known amongst those in the sex industry and those that might be thinking of joining it, so that more and more teachers can be taught.

I now had an immediately available, positive suggestion to make as I sought comments, and was more confident that, just as with science and faith, God had been preparing me for much of my life and bringing it all together now.

But I was still to find the most remarkable way that God was using all this to guide me in a totally unexpected way. As I further investigated the sites related to Tantra, I found an American one

called, “The Heartache Helper” that attracted me straight away because it was introduced by some good quotes, one of which was Einstein’s:

“There are two ways to live your life. One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as though everything is a miracle.”

As I read on I was very impressed with the lady who called herself Nayka. My email to her of the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2007 started as follows (spellings corrected):

“Dear Nayka,

It is interesting that the introduction to your site contains a quote of Einstein's, because I am quite convinced that God has given me an understanding of relativity that leads to what I believe to be a much clearer view of energy than exists in mainstream science, that can explain things that you and others mention, from homeopathy to chakras and healing energies.

My recent paper, submitted to a conference of the NPA at the University of Connecticut in May, included brief mention of how homeopathy works and several references to how frequencies of sub-atomic vibration can be passed on, including from both human and alien nervous systems, with considerable evidence in support (aliens are on the planet - there is no question - I provide overwhelming evidence in my paper, and how their anti-gravity technology is linked to the nervous system as I had predicted in my book).

Many of the mysteries of physics and even paranormal phenomena may be explained as a result of my understanding of energy. I am especially able to explain "healing vortex energy"; and most forces, including gravity, can also be understood in a similar way.”

I went on to explain that we also appeared to have similar missions to try to change the way people think, quoting from her

site as follows:

"As long as we do not address our soul, our core (the heart) as well as the mind, we will always be doomed to repeat the same behaviors or thought processes."

And I compared that with what I had said in chapter 16:

"Like Dickens I shall continue to try to make people think, to try to help them to realise that all of us can think more clearly with the right attitudes and with God's help."

I explained how similar my ideas were to Tantra and went on:

"It seems that God is now guiding me to say more on these matters and to share my ideas with others. I consider myself to be a born again Christian, genuinely filled and guided by the Holy Spirit, and yet I have problems with some Church teaching and believe that I am guided to seek inspiration and understanding in other cultures and religions. My investigations in connection with anti-gravity led me to realise that there was an ancient civilisation in India even more advanced than ours that had anti-gravity technology, but eventually destroyed itself in nuclear war as we may do.

Tantra seems to be wisdom that may have been passed down from this civilisation. And I think that our ability to avoid repeating the disaster of nuclear conflict may depend on female influence. It appears that the aliens may be androgynous but more female than male in nature. Perhaps they succeeded in an evolutionary process that we may fail to achieve, and may be interested to observe or guide us. They most definitely have an interest in our development of nuclear technology."

I could see that in Tantra, with adequate passing down of wisdom and knowledge, if the male submitted to this wisdom in females, it was possible to reach a higher level of sensuous joy that was also more in harmony with the needs of the female. In the same way,

there could be more harmony amongst cultures if males allowed themselves to be guided by the female influence in seeking to avoid conflicts. Mankind can reach a higher level of existence if males can find the humility to see that their greater tendency towards aggression has been counter-productive.

This is all analysed in greater depth in Appendix 5 (Further Thoughts on Sex) where I repeat the following from Chapter 13, p.140:

“If we are concerned only about ourselves and our own desires we hurt ourselves and others. Gorging ourselves with food while others starve is an example. More common perhaps is dominating others for gain or personal satisfaction or apparent esteem. In any form of human interaction “I want” is the greatest danger. We can do more harm to ourselves and others because of this than V.D.. In Sodom and Gomorrah the pit that people had fallen into was obsession with their own desires; and in the depths of that pit they had lost sight of real joy. I think God was more concerned with this than the nature of the acts committed, though one tends to go with the other.”

“I want” can be manifest at national level. George Bush, and the majority of Americans that I have spoken to (via email) are reluctant to accept responsibility for climate change, and the need to take appropriate action, because of the national “I want” to maintain the American way of life and superiority over other nations. This is also the fundamental reason behind US post World War 2 military aggression. The extent to which this has been counter-productive is plain to see from Vietnam and Iraq, where again, the “I want” is oil and control over others. And now Iran and North Korea are threatened by the American “I want” nuclear power and weapons, while denying others the same right.

This is about as Christian as a punch on the nose and a “V” sign! The US (and other governments) have to know that the American/western standard of life can not only be maintained, but considerably enhanced with technologies that can save others

from terrible suffering without nuclear fission, but the cost would be forgoing political and economic superiority. The West's "I want" has transformed it from missionary to murderer, from philanthropist to philanderer, from worldwide offerer of hope to deliverer of doom. "I want" is the subtle deceiver whispering, "I am entitled."

Tantra is all about self-control, to enable the male to replace the urgent "I want" with receiving, sharing and giving. And to a lesser extent this can apply in females also, especially to counteract latter 20<sup>th</sup> century/ early 21<sup>st</sup> century tendencies for females to adopt ever more masculine attitudes. It can thus engender and re-establish the best values of Christianity and other religions without the repressive, inequitable tendencies of the past.

The worst side of democracy, where a cycle of "I want to be re-elected" and "I want what politicians promise", becomes the national "I want", can then be replaced by genuine, international receiving, sharing and giving, with less "God bless America" and more "God bless all humanity", as the vital step in being able to say "God bless all creation."

In the email correspondence that followed, Nayka expressed great interest in what I had to say, and suggested that she and her friend, Frank Lane would like to meet me during their next trip to London. The trip had to be postponed, but Frank Lane, a highly successful brand entrepreneur, had wondered if I was familiar with Patrick Flannigan's Vortex Ether theory of atomic structure developed in the early 70's, and he referred me to a site that mentioned Dr. Flannigan in connection with pyramid power: <http://www.subtleenergies.com/ormus/tw/pyramids.htm>

That was extremely interesting from the outset, because the first thing shown was a Kirlian photo of a double helix being emitted from the apex of a copper tipped pyramid containing a Tesla coil. A Kirlian photo uses electrical charge rather than light to create an image. I had found some written accounts of the detection of spirals of energy, but this was the clearest evidence yet. The site went on to describe some amazing things about pyramids that brought to mind something intriguing from the past.

I replied that I was not familiar with Flannigan, but mentioned some theories I was aware of that might be similar. And I mentioned that I actually did one experiment with pyramid power many years before my renewed interest in physics, when a freemason in the Town Planning office told me some things I then found hard to believe. I was astonished to find that the sharpness of a razor blade did appear to be restored inside a cardboard replica of the Cheops pyramid. Apparently the decay of objects such as fruit is also inhibited within such replicas.

I was sure that there had been mention of other theories that might interest Frank in the mass of email correspondence over the last year or so, that I had not had time to look at in any detail, so I had a look back. One person who sent me regular very interesting and helpful information was an NPA member born in Bavaria but resident in Rome for many years, called Sepp Hasslberger. The following extract from his site shows that we also have similar missions:

“It is my belief that mankind must get ready for its transit into a new space age. We are not alone in this universe, but before we can become part of what I call 'the galactic community of sentient beings', we must put barbarism behind us and show that we can take care of ourselves and our planet.”

Sepp had been very kind in taking time to read my work and offer some advice as well as the regular information. But on looking back I found a couple of emails that I remembered looking very briefly at and thinking I must come back to, but had then forgotten. And when I looked in depth I found even more confirmations of spirals.

In the first, Sepp had provided a link to a paper by Dr. Frederick David Tombe, called *The Double Helix Theory of Magnetic Fields*. In that, Dr. Tombe, although not applying the principle of spirals as universally as I have, used exactly the same analogy that I had in comparing magnetism to two interlocking helical springs.

But his paper also told me that what he and I had both concluded was just clarification of ideas that had been around for centuries. What I had learned at school and college suggested that Maxwell, and those since, had no real idea of what their “fields” were or how they worked. I now know through Tombe's paper that Maxwell's idea was in fact very similar to mine and that he, in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, was further developing the ideas of Beroulli in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, who in turn was developing the ideas of Descartes in the early 17<sup>th</sup> century, all of whom envisaged whirlpools or vortices in the aether. Descartes, of course, also claimed as I do that God had revealed to him that the frequency of light depends on rotation.

This time God had even guided me to research in a completely different field to make sure that I did not miss the amazing realisation that these great men of science and I were all part of the same chain of reasoning and revelation.

But for mainstream physics, the chain was broken because relativity had not been understood correctly and because experiments to detect the aether were based on an inadequate notion of it. An illogical view of “space-time” led most to think that there was now no need for the aether to explain forces. They all seem to have missed, or chose to ignore the fact that in 1920 Einstein had said:

“According to the General Theory of Relativity  
space without Aether is unthinkable”

(Address delivered on May 5th, 1920, at the University of Leyden, Germany)

My God-given interpretation of relativity showed that space-time was the motion of matter, from the largest scale observed to the smallest, with the latter – neutrinos (probably) – being the aether.

What Tombe realised was that there needed to be sources and sinks for the aethereal whirlpools, and this is exactly what I am proposing by saying that the rings of neutrinos that comprise larger particles are exchanged as helical spirals.

I also remembered Sepp mentioning another reference to spirals,

and on looking back I found that I had commented briefly to another NPA member that Sepp's mail of 6<sup>th</sup> May 2007 demonstrated similarities between the work of renowned (in Russia) Russian astrophysicist Dr Nikolai A. Kozyrev (1908-83) and what I was saying. That was just before the NPA conference, so I only had time to read that mail quickly, and again had made a mental note to come back to it, and again forgot.

Reading the whole story about Kozyrev was very edifying. He was an exceptional scientist, well respected in Russia but largely unknown in the west. Sepp's mail was based on the writings of David Wilcock, who said this of Kozyrev:

“The awesome implications of his work, and of the work of all those who followed him, were almost entirely concealed by the former Soviet Union, but with the fall of the Iron Curtain and the advent of the Internet we are finally gaining access to "Russia's best-kept secret". Two generations of remarkable research by thousands of PhD level specialists has emerged from Kozyrev's seed findings, which completely change our understanding of the Universe.”

But Wilcock went on to describe a dramatic event and realisation as follows:

“Kozyrev's abundant life took a most unfortunate and difficult turn in 1936, when he was arrested under the repressive laws of Josef Stalin, and in 1937 he began 11 torturous years enduring all the known horrors of a concentration camp. In this state, he mused deeply upon the mysteries of the Universe, paying attention to all the patterns that exist in life, wherein so many different organisms show signs of asymmetry and/or spiralling growth. From his illuminated observations, Kozyrev considered that all life-forms might be drawing off an unseen, spiralling source of energy, in addition to their normal properties of gaining energy through eating, drinking, breathing and photosynthesis.”

Kozyrev carried out many experiments that verified the existence of this spiralling source of energy, and these were later verified by many other people. They are too many to mention here but can be found on David Wilcock's site as follows:

[http://www.divinecosmos.com/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=95&Itemid=36](http://www.divinecosmos.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=95&Itemid=36)

These did, however, include some very similar to those of Bruce DePalma, but they went further, showing that weight can be affected by many things as well as spin. Some, such as vibration, heat, electricity and magnetism are dependent on spin in my theory, so Kozyrev's work goes further than DePalma's in verifying my theory. And Kozyrev also concluded, as I do, that time has no meaning without motion. He described spiralling motion as the "flow of time", and although I prefer to avoid this expression, because it is easily misconstrued to mean that time is some "thing" that can "flow", what he said was logical because the comparisons we call time depend on rotation, and spiralling motion is something that adds further motion to rotation. So rotation is time, and thus rotation in motion is the flow of time. This is how David Wilcock described Kozyrev's realisation:

"As we said, the spiralling energy patterns in nature unveiled themselves to the initiated eyes of Dr. Kozyrev while in the concentration camp. His "direct knowledge" informed him that this spiralling energy was in fact the true nature and manifestation of "time." Obviously, he felt that "time" as we now know it is much more than just a simple function for counting duration."

So it seems that just like me, Kozyrev had some sort of revelation about time. Perhaps this is also why we both realised the huge significance of spirals. And it seems that we both say things that satisfy Tombe's identified need for sources and sinks, because Kozyrev compared physical bodies to sponges, being able to soak up or release the aethereal "fluid".

We also have found explanations for things that may seem unconnected. In my second paper I was able to offer explanations

for both water circles (similar to crop circles) and other anti-gravity effects that also explained why homeopathy works. The anti-gravity frequency, that can be passed on from the nervous system, can be passed on and “memorised” by the water. I cited Ted Gagnon’s 30 years of research into homeopathy to show that this was possible. It turns out that Kozyrev, and later Dankachov and Beneviste, demonstrated the same thing, as Wilcock explains:

“Kozyrev also discovered that a physical substance can become “structured” in the same way. As he wrote on page 217 of his 1977 paper,

... A body placed for a certain time near a process [that generates torsion waves] and then brought to a torsion balance [would] produce the same effect [on the torsion balance] as the [original torsion-generating] process [produced by] itself. [The] memorizing [of] the action of processes is a feature of [all] different substances, except aluminum.

In 1984, Dankachov showed that the “memory” or “structuring” effect could occur with water as well, and this is one experiment that does find its way into Western alternative scientific thinking from time to time. The “memory of water” experiments begin by utilizing one of the basic torsion-wave creating processes to cause the measured viscosity or thickness of water to decrease.

Then, the treated water is placed next to another container of water, and the new water’s viscosity will then decrease just like the original treated water. Other experiments, such as those of Jacques Beneviste, show that this “memory of water” effect is able to carry over into chemical effects as well, where torsion-wave generators are used to excite water with a certain chemical compound in it.

Then, that compound can be energetically transferred to a sealed container of pure water, and the sealed water will acquire the same chemical characteristics as the original.” (Quoted with some apparent errors corrected)

I also need to mention that on 26/5/07 fellow NPA member, Roger Munday wrote:

“Madeleine Ennis said that ‘the whole of physics and chemistry would need to be rewritten’, as a result of her experiments that showed that ultra-diluted water retained a ‘memory’ of an initial dilution of histamine.”

So I must call on the medical profession to take homeopathy seriously. I hope that my call for mainstream science (or anybody) to take seriously my claim of Divine revelation, will also no longer fall on deaf ears. It has to be remembered that all these people that confirm what I have been saying, are or were trained scientists. I was just a retired town planner who at the time of the revelations was just a carpenter and tool sharpener. And I was hardly at my best mentally, recovering from a serious breakdown and medication that left me so tired it was serious effort to do anything.

But for those who remain unconvinced that Divine revelation is possible, I was soon to be reminded of someone else, who together with more amazing information from David Wilcock, was to bring me to the realization that we can now contemplate the very nature of the spiritual realm, and consider the reality of miracles even greater than walking on water. On 13<sup>th</sup> September 2007, out of the blue came an email from someone else that Sepp had introduced me to. It started:

“BOB, THANKS FOR YOUR COMMENT ON SEPP'S WEB SITE - YIKES BACK IN APRIL.”

It was from someone with another amazing story and information, an American now living not too far from me, called Mike Emery. He said that more of his writings were now on Sepp's site, so I took another look and reminded myself of why I had commented back in April. After a life that makes mine seem positively pedestrian, he was now, like me, a man of faith and of science. In Sepp's words:

“He was a cowboy having fun on Montana ranches and in rodeos, then became a champion skier and got into all manner of trouble in his college days. At one time he was into commercial fishing - tough business - and later, during the heyday of oil exploration, owned several business enterprises in Alaska. His subsequent search led him through free energy, healing, meditation, anti-aging and complementary medicine to the edges of physics and back.”

I was later to discover that he even owned a gold mine, and knew all about the “white powder of gold” with healing properties, that I had also just been guided to find in the context of pyramid power; and he had actually provided funding for the later experiments of Bruce DePalma in connection with his “N machine”.

About the same time, Project Camelot released their interview with David Wilcock. This was even more interesting than I thought, and it was soon apparent that all the people I had been guided to recently were connected in some way in a process that not only confirmed what God had already shown me, it was leading to even more significant understanding. The combined knowledge of Wilcock and Emery turned out to be extremely helpful.

Mike Emery and I were soon exchanging many emails, and Mike was enjoying our exchanges so much that he suggested we get together. Apart from physics, he was keen to see my garden. In a way as tempted as I had been to meet Nayka and Frank Lane, I was well aware that I communicate far less well verbally, and anxious to finish this book, I declined. It may also be my Asperger’s nature that leads me to be less comfortable in verbal exchanges. But I am so grateful that I overcame the technophobia of my post town planning days, because the Internet has proved to be immensely valuable in terms of information, and liberating in terms of communication.

In one of our early exchanges I mentioned that David Wilcock had said in his interview that the universe was, as I had said, rotating. Wilcock had mentioned a Russian he said had proved this. I could not easily find this proof, but in looking I discovered

exactly what I had felt intuitively since late 2003. In 1949, working at Princeton at the same time as Einstein, Gödel demonstrated that the field equations of general relativity were satisfied by a rotating universe. Mike was even more positive, saying without question that the universe is rotating.

I had found further evidence in support of this in *New Scientist* 14 April 2007, p.10. A study of large numbers of galaxies show their axis of spin to be aligned with the "Axis of Evil", which is exactly what my theory suggests (see page 184 and Appendix 4). And this axis also appears to be confirmed by a study of quasars. And more recently, on visiting Richard Hoagland's Enterprise Mission site, I found that earlier evidence of an axis had been discovered in 1997.

This, however, encouraging as it was for me, was not the most helpful thing that Wilcock was to say that was confirmed by Mike. Amongst much other very interesting information, he mentioned experiments that were stunning in their confirmation of what I had said about light. If you have seen the excellent science fiction film, *K-PAX* you will know that the human looking alien character, Prot, claimed to have travelled in a beam of light, and said, "You would be surprised just how much energy there is in a beam of light." Suspecting that this may have been something very insightful on the part of the author, I emailed Gene Brewer (on 2/2/06), saying just how insightful this was, and that my theory suggested that, "much more information can be encoded in light and similar unknown emissions than even twisted light suggests."

What the experiments demonstrated was that frog DNA could be changed to salamander DNA simply by passing modulated laser light through the latter and on to the former. Those who know just how much information is involved should be even more staggered than I was. And yet I was not surprised, because Wilcock also said that the light itself took on a spiral form (phantom DNA), which I knew from other experiments I had cited in support of my own theory of light was the same as the "twisted light" I had mentioned to Gene Brewer. Twisted light, where the photon itself

follows a spiral path, had been shown to depend on orbital angular momentum within the photon, which is a scientific way of confirming that something within the photon moves in a spiral, as I had said.

Mike Emery had posted documents that also mentioned these experiments. But amongst a mass of interesting information that he had accumulated over the years and from contact with some very knowledgeable people, that I still need to find time to fully assimilate, were some further unexpected links and confirmations.

He talked much of scalar waves, which seemed to stem from Tesla's ideas, and although different ideas have developed as to what these might be exactly, some, including myself, equate them to the aethereal vortices, or as some put it, torsion fields. And this all became very interesting when he said that a Professor Konstantin Meyl had demonstrated the existence of scalar waves in clearly verifying Tesla's claim of the wireless transmission of electrical power (probably the main reason for Tesla's incarceration).

The name Meyl was familiar to me, but for a few days, for the life of me, I could not remember why. When I found his website the answer was clear, and very interesting indeed. After videos showing his experiments, was a trailer for a TV documentary he had taken part in. The trailer showed the Earth – expanding! And then I remembered. Several months before, the Expanding Earth Group had caught wind of this documentary, which was only to be shown in parts of Europe, so it was hard to discover exactly what was being said. But what I was able to find then was that Professor Meyl was saying the very thing that I had just concluded from my discussions in the group. It had taken a while for me to seriously consider that new matter may constantly be forming within the planet, but eventually I was the only one saying that neutrinos were the most likely source. And that was exactly what Meyl was saying.

The formation of new matter helped me resolve the dinosaur problem of otherwise higher gravity in the past, and it could explain why no reduction in the strength of the Earth's field has

been found over the last 30 years of reasonably accurate measurement. Though this is a miniscule period in the Earth's likely history, and the researchers accept that the measurements are still not accurate enough to detect the very subtle changes involved in my type of theory, which incidentally Dirac and others have proposed.

And I could see now that Meyl too was equating scalar waves to spirals of neutrinos. But what Mike did was provide me with other remarkable evidence that scalar waves, or certainly something similar, have to be able to form matter in a way that most scientists have so far missed. He told me about experiments that show, for instance, that the quantity of minerals in plant life is greater than that taken out of the soil. And the same has been shown to apply to calcium in milk and chicken's eggs.

And he had direct experience of cures that are now being offered in which tissue regeneration is achieved using light and other "waves". And, of course, this tied in with the Russian work with laser light and DNA, and with what Kozyrev had deduced. Mike provided masses of information on all this, including accounts and photographs of equipment and patients. This included cures for cancer, and I can back that up from other sources.

One such source (<http://www.gunestanakdogan.com/tech.htm>) includes:

"Whereas western science uses complicated bio chemical processes to cut and paste DNA triplets in the DNA molecule, Russian scientists use modulated laser light to do exactly the same thing. The Russians have proven to be very successful in repairing damaged DNA material in vivo!

Laser light therapies based on Garjajev's findings are already applied in some European academic hospitals with success on various sorts of skin cancer. The cancer is cured without any remaining scars."

Dr. Peter Gariaev (or Pjotr Garjajev) was the leader of a group of Russian scientists of the Russian Academy of Sciences, formed to

study the complete human genome in 1990. The Russian research was taking a more open-minded view than western scientists. The research team included biophysicists, molecular biologists, embryologists and even linguistic experts. Their research revealed that the supposed junk DNA that has been completely neglected and forgotten by western mainstream science, was no redundant leftover of evolution at all. Linguistic studies revealed that the sequencing follows the rules of some basic syntax. It showed that the codons actually form words and sentences just like our ordinary human language follows grammar rules.

So it seems that the source of language may lie in DNA, and since I was right to conclude that light, and thus other unknown “waves”, can store and transmit incredible amounts of information, I am almost certainly right to say that radio is a far less efficient and primitive means of communication. Scalar waves can penetrate barriers that radio waves cannot. Kozyrev demonstrated this by using a Faraday cage. If Meyl and I are right, they can penetrate deep into the Earth. Neutrinos are thought to be able to go right through the whole planet. Experiments to detect them are sited very deep underground.

This is why telepathy is possible. It is how aliens communicate and probably how God communicated ideas to me. And there is now someone claiming that the American government trained him to communicate this way with aliens, which he did. And I tend to believe him because unlike others who have misunderstood the aliens’ apparent ability to travel through time, his account of what the aliens actually say is very similar to what I am saying. He also confirms “The Wonderful Truth”, which I shall soon reveal.

Before I can do so, I have to tell you about further remarkable confirmation of something I concluded within a few weeks of God’s revelations in 2003. This also came out of the blue in an unexpected email. I had been invited to subscribe to a new, on-line magazine called World Science. One of the first articles was about computer simulation of plasma. Readers may remember that I said in Chapter 12, p. 134: “I had read in New Scientist that it had been discovered that blobs of plasma gas appear to be able

to “communicate, replicate and grow”. Of course they can! It is clearly possible by the interchange of encoded helices.”

Guess what the computer simulation showed? Right, exactly what I had suggested almost four years ago. There were helical structures that not only seemed to be communicating information, they were interacting in the way I had suggested to explain forces.

The ubiquitous helix cannot be denied as nature’s most useful tool. My second paper had examined relativity and mass in more depth than my first paper and demonstrated exactly why the spiral is natural, with the help of Laithwaite’s demonstrations with gyroscopes, that also confirm my theory of how planets and moons spiral outwards and why neutrinos move as they do.

But rather than just being an explanation for everything we consciously see and experience, it is now apparent that it may also hold the key to a realm that up to now has been thought of as something apart and beyond our ability to comprehend. The spiritual realm may be just the continuation beyond our limited (undeveloped) perception of those physical manifestations that are easily experienced by us. What has been called supernatural is just natural on a smaller scale.

It is probably just coincidence, but if you take “spiral” and add “itu” (intelligence that’s ultimate?) you get “spiritual”. The spiral can contain the essence of ultimate intelligence. Now I can explain why this is “The Wonderful Truth”.

On 15<sup>th</sup> September 2007, Project Camelot emailed their video interview with Bob Dean, the most impressive and convincing of all I have seen. His sincerity and likely veracity stood out for me. In the US military, from which he is long retired, he had clearance to view secret information at the highest level. Here was a man profoundly affected by the implications of the documentary evidence confirming alien presence and government cover-ups and denials. The conflict within this man was so apparent as he wrestled with, “the public has a right to know” against “is the public ready to know?”, and poured out emotion as his love of the human race grated with their stupidity in a way that has my fullest empathy.

What I know now is that God has provided me with the means to prepare mankind for the truth. Bob Dean seemed almost close to sweating Christ's drops of blood as he wondered how you tell fundamentalist and creationist Christians that Christ was part of the same programme of intervention in our affairs as the alteration of our DNA that introduced Homo-sapiens; "How you tell everyone that they are in a zoo?" he asked.

Well, on 30<sup>th</sup> August 2007, I had seen another Project Camelot interview that might help. Jim Sparks, a multiple abductee, had been saying very similar things to Bob Dean, but his testimony should be seen as very significant for Christians. The message that he was given related to the state the planet was in. What we had to do was forgive the crimes of governments and others to create an amnesty, so that those who would come clean but for implicating others, can admit the existence of the technologies that are now vital to our future.

But what I now knew was that there was more to God than just a higher form of life than us, as I had once pondered. I had two further, unexpected confirmations of this. Mike Emery had lots to say about out of body and near death experiences, and the latter confirmed what I had heard from other sources, that light was invariably involved. David Wilcock had an out of body experience as a young boy. But the most significant instance of near death experience came while I was pondering all this in a more unexpected way.

Sue drew to my attention that Jo had been given a video by a friend at Church, of a talk that she was unable to go and hear in person, so we all watched the video. Jim had recently qualified as a lifeguard, so it was interesting to hear the story of New Zealand lifeguard Ian McCormack's miraculous survival of being proclaimed clinically dead for fifteen minutes, having been badly stung by the World's most venomous jelly fish. It was the story of a man trained to know the signs of impending death, and pleading for help from those who were to callously reject his pleas, apart from one who finally managed to get him to hospital but too late to save him, it seemed. Ian had been an atheist, but as he knew he

was dying he prayed the only prayer he knew, encouraged by the thought of his believing mother, who had sensed that her son was in great danger and was praying for him at the time.

When Ian got to the great need for all his sins to be forgiven, and prayed, “as we forgive them that trespass against us”, he heard the voice of God asking Ian if he was even willing to forgive the people who would not help save his life, including the taxi driver who had pushed him out of his cab when he thought that receiving the fare was in doubt. “You must be joking!” was Ian’s thought, but he was to realise that to be able to go back and tell his mother that he had not gone to hell, he must forgive everything.

When he agreed, his reward was a glimpse of the glory of God. God was light (or appeared as such to the soul). That is what a man who rightly should have been brain damaged and paralysed by the period of clinical death is now telling in perfect health! Christ’s message of forgiveness for even our enemies, proclaimed throughout this book, apparently even endorsed by aliens, came again, this time from a transformed atheist confirming the spiritual significance of light, just after I had been guided to many other confirmations of my early conclusion that light was much more than most realised.

The other confirmation came in a book that Sepp had recommended as explaining why I had compulsively repeated the words, “I am me” as a child. The author of *The Power of Now*, Eckhart Tolle, had been through periods of anxiety and depression as I had, though unlike me the depression was severe enough to contemplate suicide. But earlier than me, in his thirtieth year, he had a similar, life changing experience. In his darkest hour, wondering how he could live with himself any longer, the thought of which of “I” and “myself” were real, triggered a mental shut-down, followed by the experience he described as follows:

“Then I felt drawn into what seemed like a vortex of energy. It was a slow movement at first and then accelerated. I was gripped with an intense fear, and my body started to shake. I heard the words “resist nothing,” as if spoken inside my chest. I could feel

myself being sucked into the void. It felt as if the void was inside myself rather than outside. Suddenly, there was no more fear, and I let myself fall into that void. I have no recollection of what happened after that.

I was awakened by the chirping of a bird outside the window. I had never heard such a sound before. My eyes were still closed, and I saw the image of a precious diamond. Yes, if a diamond could make a sound, this is what it would be like. I opened my eyes. The first light of dawn was filtering through the curtains. Without any thought, I felt, I knew **that there is infinitely more to light than we realise**. That soft luminosity filtering through the curtains was love itself.” (My emphasis)

We clearly have strong evidence now that light can contain a huge amount of information. But if God is light, why are we not aware of it? Why cant we see the overwhelming glory of God that Ian McCormack saw? Why do we not all feel the love that Eckhart Tolle felt?

What has to be remembered is that light photons do not penetrate everything, and that people in near death experiences are not receiving information through their eyes. The answer to this is that something similar to light, but different to radio and TV signals, can carry information invisibly to us (by “us” I mean either our minds or our souls). This is not just my wild idea. Again there is experimental evidence in support of it, quite apart from as mentioned above. Things like remote viewing, ESP and telepathy have been seriously examined, and although mainstream science chooses to avoid this area on the whole, denying funding to those who try to investigate it, governments have taken it seriously and employed people with above average ability to consciously receive the information as spies, sometimes very successfully. The US learned of the Russians building giant submarines in this way. And the person who was trained by the US government to communicate with aliens in this way, Dan Sherman, asked them about creation. The answer was to confirm what I had already concluded and am saying here. The aliens

apparently confirmed that both they and us are God's creation.

What God has specifically shown me is that every particle in our body is made of the same thing as light and all other radiations and forces. And it makes sense that our souls can similarly be explained. The soul is thus something that encodes at lower level all the information in our physical bodies. The fact that heart transplant patients are observed to take on some characteristics and memories of the donor strongly suggest a mechanism of this nature.

The boy genius, Patrick Flannigan showed that we can "hear" with different parts of the body by inventing a device that proved it. I have now heard that some claim to be able to "see" with other parts of the body. This is not magic, witchcraft or anything beyond the (correctly understood) laws of physics. Everything, "natural" or "supernatural" (words that now merge) can be explained by the ability of one incredibly tiny particle to follow a curved path as it spins. Einstein was right! God is the universe!

The aliens and us are in God and God is in us all. The mystery of Christ's own words in this respect can now be understood (John 14 v 10). Like the aliens, we are part of God's ongoing, continuous creation. We exist by reason of every "word" that emanates (proceedeth) from the ultimate and ubiquitous intelligence that is "God".

So, rather than being "zoo keepers", the aliens are our "brothers and sisters" in creation, guiding us to better things like caring elder siblings, themselves guided more closely by the "parents" than the rebellious, under-developed youngsters. So the wonderful truth is that the realisation that we are definitely not alone in the Universe should not leave us in fear for either our safety or our faith. And this is not just me saying so; even the aliens (angels?) proclaim creation, are part of creation, and it would seem, assist in the evolving creative process. Note that on page 27 of *Angels – God's Secret Agents*, in Chapter 2, "Angels Are for Real", Billy Graham says, "The Bible states that Angels, like men, were created by God." And in Chapter 1 he devotes 3 pages to the similarity of UFOs (and thus presumably aliens) to

Angels, not as certainty, but one possibility, saying “UFOs are astonishingly angel-like in some of their reported appearances.”

I suspected throughout the year that God was guiding me to the appropriate time to write again to the Vicar of the local Church, Giles Williams. I needed first to understand the above, and then wait for God’s leading. The opportunity came through Sue’s involvement in Christian Aid and their initiative on climate change in the form of the “Cut the carbon march”.

The local organiser of annual collections for Christian Aid that Sue assists in, had come round personally to give us details about the march. I had been meaning for some time to advise Christian Aid of my findings on climate change, so now seemed a particularly appropriate time. I thus emailed the local organiser and the south-east branch, as the best means of setting out or providing links to the most significant evidence about the real dangers of climate change, the technologies that exist, and government cover ups. And I was now able to reassure regarding the implications of alien presence on the question of faith, explaining why Christ’s message was so significant to the whole situation.

I could then copy this email, not only to Giles, but to as many other Christians as I could quickly find that were most likely to be able to cope with the enormity of the situation, including those most active in the St. Johns congregation. Sue helped me find email addresses from the membership list, which I realised may bring objections from some including Giles, but this in itself was a useful test, and it made it difficult to just ignore the email, as he had done my previous letter.

Of course, my greatest wish was for Giles to affirm the importance and significance of Christ’s message of forgiveness, and to advise members that, in light of the possibility of saving untold millions from terrible suffering, they should perhaps forgive the unsolicited contact via the membership list. But experience had taught me instead to expect the petty, the illogical, the arrogant exclusivity that was so against the teachings of Christ, but had been characteristic of Christianity in power throughout the

ages.

There was no praise of my decision to trust God completely, no thanks for the years of effort since, no recognition of the amazing confirmations of everything that God had shown me, no agreement that the whole situation screamed support for the teachings of Christ. What seemed to concern Giles more than the suffering of hundreds of millions was my misuse of the list of members, and presumably the age old abhorrence of any challenge to Church teaching.

Of course Giles had to comment on the technical misuse of the list, and thankfully I can say that we have exchanged emails since bringing some degree of mutual understanding. But were his initial and subsequent comments a means of avoiding the real issue? Regrettably he has not followed up with any attempt to discern the truth of my claims of Divine revelation, nor to take any action, that I am aware of, to call for the forgiveness that is completely in accordance with Christ's teachings and now potentially of huge significance.

And, of course, I have to forgive all, including Giles, who seem so determined to ignore the possible validity of my claims. But neither Giles nor any member contacted have actually expressed forgiveness to me, as I have done to Giles, and thus shown willing to consider the much greater forgiveness that God may be calling for through me.

So it seems that Bob Dean was right to worry about humanity being ready for the most significant event in its history. And if Christians cannot forgive an errant email in the interests of all humanity, how on Earth can they call for everybody to forgive murder, to forgive the greatest ever crime against humanity? I almost share in Bob Dean's love/hate relationship with the human race. It is just that for me (as I think he really means), the relationship is love/despair.

I was soon able to demonstrate how slow humanity, and especially some in the Christian Church are able to learn. Apart from one other objection to my use of the membership list, one Church member did contact me in what seemed at first to be a

more positive way. He was willing to watch the videos of Bob Dean etc. if I would watch a DVD he had. As soon as I had some idea of what it was about, I knew that I had to watch it, because apparently the view expressed was that UFOs were not real but some manifestation of evil. As soon as I put the phone down I slapped my forehead in despair that here we were in the 21<sup>st</sup> century with people behaving as if it were still the 16<sup>th</sup> century.

I watched the DVD twice, the second time making notes, because it was apparent that God had put me in a unique position to write a full analysis of *Aliens, UFO's and the Bible*, the DVD produced by *Answers in Genesis* in 2004 featuring Gary Bates. I shall not quote the whole document here because it extends to 11 A4 pages. Suffice it to say that I was able to say a great deal about mainstream scientific views that Gary Bates relied heavily upon, and about his literal (errant creationist) interpretation of the Bible. But I will quote the third and final analysis of the type of questions that I had asked in similar manner to the four statements analysed by Gary (this long quote ends with mention of *Star Trek*):

“3. Is there any evidence that God reveals truth that clarifies or amplifies the truth in the Bible?”

In the preface to *Unnatural Enemies*, Kirsten Birkett begins as follows:

“Perhaps the strangest thing about university courses on the history of science is how much time they spend discussing Christianity. It was certainly so in the classes I attended, and for one important reason: many of the scientists of previous centuries were Christian believers.”

This is something that I had noted from lessons in secondary school on. But it is wrong to think that God reveals truth about His creation only to Christians. Considerable scientific understanding, including the nature of light, has come from those

of Islamic faith, and probably others that I am less familiar with. And I have to strongly suspect that God revealed some truth to Einstein because his ideas about time (that I can easily show are essentially correct) stemmed from a strange dream he had in his teens, and I am aware of two similar great discoveries that followed dreams. These were the discovery of the periodic table by Dmitri Mendeleev, and neurotransmitters by Otto Loewi. Wikipedia describes Loewi's revelation as follows:

“On Easter Saturday 1923, he dreamed of an experiment that would prove once and for all that transmission of nerve impulses was chemical, not electrical. He woke up, scribbled the experiment onto a scrap of paper on his night-stand, and went back to sleep.

The next morning he arose very excited because he knew this dream had been very important. But he found, to his horror, that he couldn't read his midnight scribbles.

That day, he said, was the longest day of his life, as he tried, without success, to remember his dream.

That night, he had the same dream. This time, he got up, went to the lab, did the experiment, and by dawn, knew that there was a Nobel in his future. Fourteen years later, there was.”

Whilst this does not prove that this came from God (and what can?), we have to consider the possibility. And my own revelations may ultimately be an even stronger demonstration of this possibility, because I was not a scientist, being little more than a carpenter and tool sharpener with only a basic knowledge of physics. And yet today I am able to offer solutions to the greatest enigmas and mysteries of physics, holding my own in discussions with scientists from all over the World with vastly more experience than I, and finding that virtually everything new I learn confirms what God has shown me.

We know that Newton had great faith, spending more time trying to decode God's truth concealed in scripture than on his phenomenal contributions to physics and mathematics. But the most significant thing I can quote is Pope John Paul II on Galileo. ([edinformatics.com/great\\_thinkers/galileo.htm](http://edinformatics.com/great_thinkers/galileo.htm)):

"In 1992, 359 years after the Galileo trial, Pope John Paul II issued an apology, lifting the edict of Inquisition against Galileo: "Galileo sensed in his scientific research the presence of the Creator who, stirring in the depths of his spirit, stimulated him, anticipating and assisting his intuitions." After the release of this report, the Pope said further that "... Galileo, a sincere believer, showed himself to be more perceptive in this regard [the relation of scientific and Biblical truths] than the theologians who opposed him."

I wonder how long we will have to wait for an apology for poor Giordano Bruno, burned alive for saying that the Universe contains many other worlds, and other things very similar to what I have said before knowing anything about Bruno.

The greatest danger in what Gary is saying is not to the aliens but to ourselves. It appears that the aliens are, on the whole, so advanced that our weapons are no direct danger to them. ([http://projectcamelot.net/bob\\_dean.html](http://projectcamelot.net/bob_dean.html)). But they are concerned about what we are likely to do to ourselves and the planet. And if Christians will not take note of the fact that the message we seem to be receiving from them is the same as Christ's, who will? ([http://projectcamelot.net/jim\\_sparks.html](http://projectcamelot.net/jim_sparks.html))

God and the aliens, or God via the aliens, are offering us a way out of the mess that our greed has created. How bizarre and stupid it would be if Christians, of all people, proved to be so callous and so arrogant that they let the suffering continue for fear of being proved wrong.

Remember the joke about the man in the sinking dingy who refused help from a yacht, then a liner and finally a helicopter, because he had faith that God would save him. Who drowned and asked God why he let him perish. And God said, “Well, I tried my best. I sent you a yacht, a liner and a helicopter!”

For political correctness I will not ascribe a nationality to the man with an illogical view of faith as some might. For some reason certain races seem to be singled out in this way. It seems to me that the whole human race needs to reflect on what jokes the aliens might share about all of us, possibly amongst some tears.

It would not, however, be appropriate to finish on a note of humour. In deadly sincerity, I say that this DVD is more dangerous than any incitement to violence I have seen from any terrorist. It is seductive in playing on the emotions and minds of those who not only have simple faith and limited knowledge, but also those who believe they have some understanding of science.

I would urge all Christians everywhere to pray earnestly about this, and above all to seek to follow Christ’s teaching. And if some aliens are saying the same thing, how can they be evil? So next we must seek confirmation of this through communication, which means challenging governments in calling for the amnesty through forgiveness that the aliens apparently suggest.

Hopefully there are some Christians who also understand what all those episodes of *Star Trek* were about.”

Here ends the quote, and I would ask the reader to return and read carefully what the last Pope had said in 1992. What is most significant, the 359 years from Galileo’s trial or the 23 years since Neal Armstrong did for space what Columbus did for the planet? But what is even more significant than that, is that in four years of non-stop effort I have not found one person on the entire planet

that appears to have taken note of the last Pope's momentous admission of error 15 years ago. No one in science, no one in religion, no one in politics, no one in the press and media has expressed any willingness to contemplate the possibility that God has revealed to me further truth about his creation. This is the awful truth.

Have I given up hope? The hell I have! Even with some close friends and relatives possibly having doubts, I will persist, because I know that they and many of those who read this will ultimately be shown the truth. And I have found a very small handful of people who are very close to understanding what God has revealed to me.

The wonderful truth tells me that God is bringing about changes. I know how God, and even the aliens working for God, can change our DNA. But I also know that simply by submitting to the will of God, I changed, Ian McCormack changed, Eckhart Tolle changed, and you too can change to have a better understanding.

Notice that I do not say "complete understanding". We understand only what God deems us ready to know, and may well add our own error, as I may have, as I think Einstein did, and indeed probably all people of science and/or faith. On page 113 of *The Power of Now*, Eckhart Tolle demonstrates good but incomplete understanding of the nature of space. He has understood all he needs to make his points.

In fifty or a hundred years from now, or whatever is God's perfect timing, I have to expect that someone will add to or correct what I have said. I may well be wrong to say that neutrinos are the smallest particle, and thus the true "atom" of Democritus. My tiny particles may not be neutrinos at all, or neutrinos may be found to be themselves made of other particles or other entities. God may reveal that the nature of his intelligence is even more profound than can be explained by the action of particles.

The role of science is to consider all the evidence, and to consider this from all possible angles to see how it all seems to fit best together. This now suggests to me that the storage and

transmission of information, and thus intelligence, is possible on a scale that most have not realised. But it would be arrogant and unscientific to assume that this is the end of the story.

Science and faith go on, as hopefully will my story. But if the human race is to continue on this planet in any sort of reasonable existence, I need someone to take note of the actions needed. I need you to think about the value of forgiveness and to resolve to tell as many as you can why the fate of humanity depends on it.

But I hope that what you have read will bring you peace and joy; provided, of course, as Christ said, you have “eyes to see”, and are not putting wealth, power, or selfish desire before others.

To those of you who know that water can be dissociated into hydrogen and oxygen with very little power, simply by applying appropriate frequencies, as demonstrated many years ago by John Keely, and more recently by Stanley Meyers and others; or who know that cold fusion and anti-gravity are possible; but deny all this for reasons of power or gain; I say to you repent now, because I am living proof that God exists, and I will call for you to be forgiven. But without repentance, will God forgive this greatest ever crime against humanity?

Without such actions the “awful horrors” predicted in the Bible and set out in Revelation and eerily similar to the consequences of climate change (see the comprehensive report on my website) will make a nonsense of worldly aspirations. If a baby can be tossed into a fire because of an election result, what will happen when starvation and thirst grip the whole planet?

Take note that ten countries, including China, India and the USA, are using up ground water faster than it is being replenished, and that Australia is gripped in its worst ever drought, with the flow of major rivers much reduced, which is why they have a new prime minister who immediately signed up to the Kyoto agreement. Take note of terrible floods across Africa, in the UK, Mexico and elsewhere, of the fires in California, Greece etc., and realise that this is just the start of much worse to come.

Know that the Sun probably does have a greater role in climate change than is admitted, but ask why the highest increases in

temperature over the last 30 years have not been at the equator but over the areas of permafrost in extreme northern latitudes, in the hemisphere where anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and other emissions vastly exceed that of the southern hemisphere. See from my website that NASA's Dr. Bindschadler confirms precisely what God warned me to say about latent heat. But read the New Scientist articles that tell of Bush trying to silence Hansen, and of scientists not being allowed to mention all the issues related to the permafrost and other feedbacks.

The Bali conference, however, showed that Hansen's warnings cannot be denied, and how much passion is now evident about this. But whatever the cause of all we are now seeing, the technologies I mention can both reduce green house gas emissions and enable humanity to live with the consequences of warming, subject to the view expressed by Hansen and confirmed at Bali, that time to act is rapidly running out.

But such technologies will enable us to explore the Universe. Will God, or the aliens, permit this until we demonstrate worthiness to do so?

Please think about what YOU should do to help make us worthy.

I now have great peace about the future of my soul. But this peace is marred by the likely future that all our children face on this planet unless politicians see sense very quickly. And recently I saw in the flesh for the first time, the innocent, trusting, expectant face of my first grandchild, Mitchell, conceived in a loving relationship between Jim and Alix, that like so many now has become a strained relationship. Like Sue on this occasion, I had to deny myself the joy of holding this child for fear of passing on a possible Flu-like infection. This is symbolic of the fact that humanity has to heal itself for the sake of all the children. And the key is the same thing that might heal the rift between Alix and Jim, that may have much earlier healed the rift between my Mother and Father: forgiveness.

There is, however, one last thing I must mention that may take

more than just forgiveness if mankind is to be spared terrible suffering as the consequence of disaster that may be even more imminent than climate change. I have mentioned the possibility of the Earth being hit by a comet or asteroid, and that my theory suggests a way that this may possibly be avoided if we have enough warning (p. 145). Publication of this book was again delayed by something that seemed so outrageous in its timing that I had to suspect either the hand of the Devil or possibly that God again had something so important for me to learn that something was needed to slow my ever-growing sense of urgency to tell the World of the solution to climate change.

Near the end of November 2007, on the very day that I declared this work finished, my computer crashed, with the disc saving the last chapter still inside. With much help I was eventually able to resume work on a new computer, but the complexities of that were sufficient to delay me until Christmas intervened with further reasons to delay, until I had received an email from Project Camelot, again confirming something that God had seemingly guided me to learn from TV documentaries.

I had been intrigued for some time by various such programmes that mentioned 2012 as the end date of the Mayan calendar. There was, however, one before Christmas that demonstrated the significance of 2012 to other civilisations and prophesies, that really got my attention, especially because two things had happened, related to the possibility that some sort of alignment with the galaxy, or collision or near collision with something very massive, were the most likely candidates for possible catastrophe.

Firstly, earlier in 2007, someone in the Expanding Earth group mentioned “Planet X” as a contender. This supposed, very large, apparently very long term, regular intruder into the inner solar system, made more sense to me of the researches of Velikovsky than his ideas about Venus (see pages 144-146), because David Hardy's theory predicted Venus to have moved out from the Sun to exactly its current position by now; it fits with the mathematical progression wrongly referred to by some as Bode's “law”, which is not a law but an indication of a mechanism subject to other

influences. The mechanism is spiralling out at an ever increasing rate, complicated by things such as mass gains and losses, and what could well be an exploded planet.

Secondly, possible danger of some sort of alignment to the galactic centre was beginning to make possible sense to me when it was recently confirmed that this was a source of potentially harmful cosmic rays. There are various dangers that might result from this, the greatest of which may be major disruption of communication and power systems. As I have mentioned, there is evidence that the US government may be training some people to communicate telepathically in preparation for 2012, but I must say here, probably only as part of much wider, secret contingency plans.

The email from Project Camelot, however, contained much more alarming and persuasive evidence of secret plans, common to various governments, to save limited numbers only, that may relate partly to galactic alignment, but very specifically mentions Planet X.

Project Camelot (PC) had recently been focusing attention on various sources related to 2012, which is probably why they were contacted by a Norwegian politician, who provided photographic evidence verifying his true identity and started by saying, "I would like to say that difficult things will happen from the year 2008 till the year 2012". PC say that they have taken steps to verify the authenticity of the approach, and say, "We are certain of their bona fides, but you will understand that we cannot reveal their name or publish any photos without consent".

As with PC, I cannot attest personally to the the information given, but also as with them, I may well have been guided to information that may help judge its likely validity. But more importantly, it seems to me that my new understanding of physics, which I say with absolute certainty came from God, does suggest a way that this catastrophe might possibly be avoided, provided that I can make it known and understood in time. What I am saying can be tested by experiment, so it makes absolute sense for all who read this to not panic or despair, but demand that such

experiments be carried out without further delay. And I have further suggestions to make, plus the above stated reasons for believers to find peace in all situations (as Demos Shakarian mentions in *The Happiest People on Earth*).

PC's source says, "Planet X is coming", and goes on to describe the building of underground facilities in Norway, with US and EU help, and in many other countries, so that limited numbers only will be saved, the remainder left untold of the coming catastrophe to avoid mass panic, and left to die on the surface with no help or guidance. Like PC, I feel justified in publishing this information, because we have information that can offer reasons for the avoidance of mass panic.

But firstly, what evidence do I have that Planet X may be approaching? Yesterday I read of Einstein's association with Velikovsky and close interest in his work. The following extract from my source: ([survive2012.com/pole\\_shift\\_2.php](http://survive2012.com/pole_shift_2.php)) says:

"With regards to *Earth in Upheaval*, Einstein accepted all the evidence of sudden violence upon the Earth, but he rejected Venus as being the cause. Nine days after their final meeting Einstein died, and a copy of *Worlds in Collision* was found open on his desk. He was rereading it because latest discoveries concerning Jupiter had confirmed one of Velikovsky's predictions."

One of the predictions of Velikovsky about Jupiter that is not listed in Francis Hitching's long list of confirmations in *The World Atlas of Mysteries*, that I was thus unaware had been confirmed, but stated on this site as now proven fact, i.e. "Jupiter periodically becomes unstable and ejects excess mass", is of course, precisely in accordance with my deduction that moons are born from planets and spiral out.

But a consequence of this spiraling out, is that moons are eventually lost, some of which, perhaps like Pluto and Sedna, go into their own outer solar system orbit, or perhaps some of which break up, adding to the mass of small objects we now know occupy various regions extending way out to the Oort cloud. But

it is thus also possible that a large lost moon may have gone into an elongated elliptical orbit around the Sun with a period close to what some sources claim for Planet X, 3600 years, which could thus be close to the events mentioned by Velikovsky, starting in 1450 BC, a date which could well be out by 100 -150 years.

A lost moon is just one possibility, and I think it more likely that it would have been one from Saturn that had been propelled into an elongated solar orbit by the “slingshot effect” of Jupiter. But there are also other possibilities for which I found evidence last year, which may fit better with impressive evidence I have just found regarding the earliest mention of Planet X. And that evidence, from the amazing records of the Sumerian civilization, ties in with all I have found suggesting long term alien presence on this planet.

I strongly recommend Jason Martell's 2006 lecture, to be found on: [http://xfacts.com/sumer\\_dvd.html](http://xfacts.com/sumer_dvd.html) as a very good starting point in realising just how astonishing the astronomical and other achievements of this very early civilization were, and how strongly they thus indicate the injection of knowledge from outside the planet. This is not just implied, it is clearly stated in the clay tablets and cylinder seals (printing devices) analysed by Zecharia Sitchin, one of only 220 people in the World able to read cuneiform script, and the inspiration for Martell's work.

Martell says that the Sumerians not only knew that the Sun was at the centre of the solar system, they recorded the size and position of all the planets (in relative terms I would think, rather than accurate orbit size). They counted the Earth as the seventh from the outermost, which still works after the exclusion of Pluto on grounds of size, because of the discovery on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2005 of the as yet unnamed planet beyond Pluto, 2003UB313.

They also explained the formation of the Moon according to the currently accepted theory of an early collision, when the Earth was still forming; and they had knowledge of our planet, such as the existence and topography of the Americas, the Arctic and Antarctic that seem impossible without outside help.

Such help they describe as coming from the inhabitants of the

planet Nibiru, the Anunnaki, which means “those from Heaven who come to Earth”. Nibiru meant, “planet of the crossing”, which could be interpreted to mean having an orbit that crosses the orbit of other planets, and implied by the account of the formation of the Moon, which they say was not the result of collision with Nibiru directly, but a moon of Nibiru called “The North Wind”. The symbol for Nibiru was a cross.

Martell plays down the danger implied in the return of Nibiru, every 3600 years, which he interprets from Sumerian records, because in the long history of the solar system, 4.6 billion years, Nibiru would have returned 1.35million times. It is not important that his calculation seems to be a bit off; the point is that his logic is at fault, because the elongated nature and inclination of the suggested orbit of Nibiru to the plane of the solar system does not support the idea of its formation at the same time as the solar system as a whole, according to the accepted Nebula Hypothesis, nor as an early planet to form according to David Hardy's alternative theory; the nature of the orbit implies some addition to or disruption of the solar system at a later date.

The evidence I found last year does make it possible that Nibiru was originally a wandering planet, captured by our Sun. I read of such planets being observed and saw an amazing Hubble telescope image of a protoplanet being ejected from a binary system, which is of course, strong evidence that planets are born from stars, rather than forming at the same time from the same disc of accreting matter. This current theory offers no logical explanation for the Titius/Bode progression in planets and moons and is illogical in accepting heavier elements in the planets than in the Sun.

With the massive evidence presented in my second paper for long-term alien presence on the planet, taken with the considerable evidence that exist from old civilizations other than the Sumerians, it has to be possible that what they say about the Anunnaki is literally true. And what they said about the Anunnaki creating modern man from an older species, with the first satisfactory specimen called “Adamu”, accords with witness

evidence of what the aliens say now, and it provides a clear basis for the Biblical account of creation for those willing to look at the strong evidence that much in the early Old Testament stemmed from uncannily similar stories passed down quite probably from the Sumerians.

So there are reasons to suppose that the Sun may have a tenth planet (Planet X) as a later addition, that may bring danger every 3600 years. The next question is whether that can be correlated to the evidence discovered by Velikovsky linking a wandering planet to past events and disasters.

One of the effects upon the Earth that Velikovsky's researches demonstrated as being confirmed in records of ancient civilizations around the globe, suggesting as literal truth Joshua's statement that, "The Sun stood still in the midst of Heaven and did not go down about a whole day", is something that the experiments I mention can also confirm as possible, together with the obviously needed resumption of the Earth's spin. I will explain this further, and why this may save us; but the question for now is whether the time of Joshua and the obviously earlier great flood can be shown to correlate reasonably with the 3600 year period implied in Sumerian accounts of Nibiru.

Velikovsky, obviously not basing his assumptions on Nibiru, but Venus, had also done his own thorough analysis of Biblical dates, comparing actual recorded events in Egypt's and Israel's histories. And whereas some scholars say that the falling of the walls of Jericho in about 1550 BC had nothing to do with Joshua, who they say came 300 years later, Velikovsky found evidence in the form of the Papyrus Ipuwer, called *The Admonitions of an Egyptian Sage*, that told of a series of disasters so close to the Exodus accounts, but related not to Rameses II but an earlier, much less successful kingdom, that he was convinced that conventional chronology was wrong, and that the Biblical account of Joshua was true (See pages 172-173 of *The World Atlas of Mysteries*).

So although Velikovsky suggests encounters with the Earth from about the middle of the 15<sup>th</sup> century BC, we have to accept some doubt about dates, with some possibility that Velikovsky was

partly right and archeology partly right, and thus, within the bounds of reason, putting Joshua very close to 3600 years ago.

For further confirmation we have to put a great flood event in the region at about 7200 years ago. By putting “Black Sea flood” into Google, dates for this catastrophic event are given by various sources ranging from 7000 to 7600 years ago, based essentially on the dating of saltwater shells to just short of 7000 years and freshwater shells somewhat earlier, with apparently an overlap around the actual date of inundation with salt water from the Mediterranean, while freshwater shells died out and saltwater ones established. Though, of course, some suggest possible errors in the dating. It may be significant to note, however, that one date given for the flood, 7150 years ago depends on uncalibrated radio-carbon dating. This suggests two things: firstly, that other datings were calibrated (using dendrochronology, which can be compared to other events), and secondly, that the uncalibrated date is still of the right order, adding weight to the case for the reasonable accuracy of carbon dating back to this period.

Richard Fortey, research scientist at the Natural History Museum (London) and visiting professor of palaeobiology at Oxford says, “I am convinced by the evidence of the Black Sea catastrophe, which Ryan and Pitman have marshalled with exemplary clarity. A huge freshwater lake died over seven thousand years ago and a sea with poisoned depths was created in its stead.”

Some suggest that the Black Sea catastrophe was too local to account for later accounts of floods. Fortey mentions that not long after the astonishing discovery of the *Epic of Gilgamesh* the great turn-of-the-century (19/20th) geologist Eduard Suess attempted to tie the Biblical flood to a geological cause. He said, “Suess concluded that the Flood was nothing to do with a swollen Tigris and Euphrates. Instead, he invoked a massive incursion of the sea over Mesopotamia: perhaps the kind of tsunami associated with a major submarine earthquake.” In 1929, English archaeologist Sir Charles Woolley had reported finding water deposited layers as much as 10 ft thick in an excavation near the Euphrates, and evidence of a major flood over 6000 years ago has been found at

Ur, with deposits extending inland to 2 ½ M deep over 100,000 sq. Km.

If a reduction in the Earth's rate of spin occurred suddenly, the oceans would surge west to east (followed by reflected surges back and in other directions), initially funneling water into the Mediterranean, which would tend to retain it; but the effects would certainly be experienced in varying degrees in various directions and all over the globe. And there are indeed myths and legends of great floods stemming from diverse and widespread cultures.

There could well have been other subsequent causes of major floods in the Mesopotamian and Bible related areas to confuse the issue. What is fairly clear, however, is that the Black Sea flood is close enough for considerable concern that this could have been caused by Nibiru.

But if the Earth's spin were affected at the time of Joshua we would also expect there to be evidence of tsunamis at that time. There is! And from the evidence I have just found for this, is the explanation for why this evidence remained unrealised until very recently. From Stephan Anitei, science editor of Softpedia:

“.....around 1500 BC the people who created the myths of the Minotaur and the Labyrinth suddenly vanished, as a result of a giant tsunami or so it seems. Researchers have discovered new proofs that Crete experienced a massive tsunami by the time of Minoan disappearance.....The geo-archaeological deposits contain a number of distinct tsunami signatures, said geologist Professor Hendrik Bruins of the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev in Israel.....Researchers had not known what kind of deposits a tsunami leaves behind it till the devastating Asian tsunami of 2004.”

The huge eruption of the Santorini volcano, up to 10 times more powerful than the Krakatoa blast in 1883, given as the probable reason for the tsunami, could well have been caused by the change in the Earth's spin (see my important final remarks re dates).

I understand the mechanism by which the Earth's rate of spin can not only change, but most importantly, how it can revert to its former spin. This was all clear to me when, last year, I saw again Professor Laithwaite's demonstration with a suspended gyro that went from large to small orbit and back again. All that is needed is the addition of a stroboscope to confirm what I am quite sure is a fundamental but unrealised principle in physics: the exchangeability of linear and angular momentum. There is only one way, in my view, that Laithwaite's gyro initially moved of its own volition at all, and then managed to change, and especially increase, orbital speed (necessary for larger orbit), and that is through changes in its rate of spin to conserve total energy. It may well also gain or loose matter in the form of neutrinos, but I have to suspect that this effect would be insignificant compared to the larger scale energy considerations. Laithwaite himself mentioned the huge amount of spin energy involved.

So, the implication that planets can move into higher orbit by decreasing their rate of spin can be very simply tested by repeating the suspended gyro experiment, this time with a stroboscope to confirm or deny what I say about rate of spin.

There is strong evidence that the Earth's rate of spin was greater in the past in the form of studies of marine life dependent on day length. These suggest days as short as 19 hours in the period that such creatures have existed in the oceans, which is, of course, quite recent in terms of the total existence of the planet.

If it is then predicted that Planet X will come close enough to the Earth to cause catastrophe, with no chance to deflect its path by reason of its size, then apart from what governments appear to have planned, I can suggest two options. The first is the production of propulsion devices based on the very rapid dissociation of water into hydrogen and oxygen at the appropriate frequency, fixed to all accessible land areas around the equator, or parallel to it but not too far north or south, so as to maximize torque. Only if clearly also necessary, this could be augmented by every available jet engine or other means of propulsion anchored to the ground, all in a direction that will decrease the planet's rate

of spin. The latter is suggested only as back-up because of the implications for climate change and because the thrust needs to be applied over long periods to change the rate of spin gradually. Rapid changes would produce the very effects that we are trying to avoid through close encounter with a large body: massive tsunamis, earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, disruption to weather systems and concomitant or resultant disasters of many kinds. This is why it is so urgent that the experiments I mention be carried out as soon as possible.

And there may be a price to pay even through slow change in the rate of spin. This may well have a significant effect on ocean currents such as the Gulf Stream. But Velikovsky showed that mankind has probably survived more than one or two sudden change of Earth's spin in its history, including total cessation of spin for up to 10 days, as recorded in the reign of the Emperor Yao, when the entire land (of his empire in China presumably, and thus likely elsewhere also) was flooded and forests ignited. Controlled change in spin, if it can be achieved, is clearly better than allowing past events to be repeated now, when population and technology increases are likely to magnify the scale and nature of ensuing strife through widespread disease, conflict over food and water, and greater dependence on sources of energy.

Many scientists may well argue that what I am proposing is impossible. What has to be remembered, however, is that the World economy and political systems will soon be in turmoil if all this is true. The only way to avoid anarchy and chaos and provide some form of workable economy right up to the point of catastrophe, is to employ as many as possible on the production of water based technologies that can not only be used in the propulsion devices, but also as a means of local electricity generation not dependent on the organized distribution of mass-produced power that is so susceptible to disruption by all probable 2012 events. The sale of electrical generators could thus offset the cost of the operation.

This is the way that mass panic and disorder can be reduced to hopefully manageable levels, by giving people hope that they are

working towards their own, and all of humanity's survival, not only in avoiding Planet X but in countering our vulnerability to climate change and other threats.

As I said, however, I have something else to suggest, which I think is also similar to the thinking of those involved at Project Camelot. This should come as no surprise when I say that David Wilcock is now intimately involved in PC's investigations relating to 2012.

In order to appreciate the possible validity of what I am about to suggest you need to know something about 9/11 that many may have heard about but possibly doubted, or some may be very surprised indeed to learn. Since about 1999 something called the Global Consciousness Project, based in Princeton, has set up devices around the globe that do the electronic equivalent of coin tossing (200 times per second). Before reading the latter parts of this chapter I expect that most people would have wondered how on Earth these random event generators could in any way be affected by events such as 9/11, but the research clearly shows this, and in a very dramatic way.

I hope that readers who have been reasonably able to follow my verified explanations of things such as how blobs of plasma gas can actually “communicate, replicate and grow” and how light and other radiations can encode and pass on large amounts of information, will realise the extent to which everything is interconnected, not just mind to mind, as in telepathy, but particle to particle, atom to atom, body to body (animate and inanimate) and even body to soul. Even plants have been shown to respond to the death of animal life.

What some find hard to explain, which leads them to suspect that these random event generators can actually predict the future, is that they were indicating something very significant 4 hours before the planes hit the towers. I can explain it by the intense mental activity and probably intercommunication of the aliens in anticipation of these precipitous events, as they became aware of the intense emotions of the perpetrators, which may have had an effect in themselves. But also, many people around the globe may

have been unconsciously picking up these intense emotions, and unknowingly responding.

I do not just believe as a matter of faith that a massive outpouring of positive emotion can actually change events, I can see a scientific basis for the possibility. So in addition to the actions I suggest above, which are logical and necessary to prevent panic and chaos amongst the mass of unbelievers, I suggest not just the obvious prayers to God for what each individual, group, or faith might consider appropriate to ask, but that all peoples of all faiths unite at specific times in a common outpouring of love for all creation, for each and every one on the planet, for the planet itself, for the solar system and all things and beings in it, and then for all the universe; all of which cannot be done without at the same time pouring out love for the ubiquitous, ultimate creative and loving intelligence that would be as sweet to the believer whatever name we use.

Can this change the rate of spin of the planet? Maybe, maybe not (Laithwaite's gyro only needed the slightest of touch on occasion to encourage it to change course), but the very act of doing so may be enough to convince God and the rest of creation that humanity is worth saving as a continuing and developing species on this planet, and worthy to play a role in the wider universe. Maybe then the thrusters will miraculously work, against the predictions of "experts". Maybe the aliens will then step in to save us, which if they are indeed "angels", is Biblical.

Maybe the warning from the Norwegian politician is bogus. It could well be misinformation intended to distract attention from the climate crisis. But I must give this stark warning. There is a great tendency for humans to believe what they want to believe, rather than to objectively consider the evidence. We are most vulnerable when we "bury our heads in sand".

Trust God, but if you love your fellow humans, DO something about it. May I suggest that anyone still doubting me should read Demos Shakarian's story in *The Happiest People on Earth*. I just did again, but this time with tears regularly filling my eyes, because now I KNOW that the amazing stories of miracles,

prophesies and pouring out of the Holy Spirit all over the World are true. The story starts and ends with mention of the two prophesies of the Russian “boy prophet”, Efim Gerasemovitch Klubniken, the first of which came true when Armenians moved to California to avoid terrible massacres. The second prophesy, like my fifth, apparently remains unopened to this day, primarily it seems because Efim warned of the instructions he received with it, that anyone but a future prophet – chosen by the Lord for this task – would die if they tried to open it. But Demos ( many years ago) said that the prophesy (given when Efim was 11 in 1853) related to the more distant future, when his people would have to flee again. Demos thought that it related to a great persecution of Christians in America, just before the Lord returns.

This may be true, but I now think that it may well relate to Planet X, Nibiru, and thus probably to terrible floods across California. These were to be my final words, but God had one more thing to show me. Relaxing last evening, thinking this book was now, finally finished, I started watching a new period drama, but the tendency to make sound effects and music so much louder than the dialogue, compounded by ever worse diction, was so aggravating this time that I decided to try the History Channel. The subject was none other than the end of the Minoan civilization. So I expected some further helpful information, and I was not disappointed, except by the fact that it did more to confirm the likelihood of this latest “awful truth”.

Tree ring dating of the Santorini (Thera) eruption showed it to be earlier than thought, with 1627 BC indicated by a tree from the bogs of Ireland. So this morning (15/1/08) I looked for further information, and in Wikipedia I found: “The date of the eruption of Thera was recently narrowed to between 1627-1600 BCE, with a 95% probability of accuracy.” The paragraph goes on to say, “If radiocarbon dating is accurate, there would be significant chronological realignment of several Eastern Mediterranean cultures”, which is what Velikovsky's researches suggested.

And although this date still suggests that Nibiru is thus perhaps overdue by a few years now, it has to be remembered that if what

God has shown me about gravity is correct, the orbit of Nibiru, like every other planet in the solar system, should be getting ever larger.

Last night's programme, and Wikipedia reported Worldwide disasters corresponding to the end of the Minoan civilization. Most interestingly, on China, Wikipedia says, "Some scientists correlate a volcanic winter from the Minoan eruption with Chinese records documenting the collapse of the Xia dynasty in China. According to the Bamboo annals, the collapse of the dynasty and the rise of the Shang dynasty, approximately dated to 1618 BCE, were accompanied by 'yellow fog, a dim sun, then three suns, frost in July, famine, and the withering of all five cereals'".

What does "three suns" mean? Does it include Nibiru and its moon, or does it mean an extended period without the Sun setting, or both.

I also did some further research about the Black sea flood, and found that the date given by another source was 7200 years ago, with further evidence of similar events before that. Dating gets more uncertain as we go back, but it seems that inundation with salt water occurred between 14000 and 16000 years ago, and also some time between 7200 and these dates, which would also fit with past encounters with Nibiru.

Another site [www.theosophical.org.uk/undrwrldhy.htm](http://www.theosophical.org.uk/undrwrldhy.htm) tells me: "Research since the 1970s suggests that there were three global super-floods: 15,000 to 14,000 years ago; 12,000 to 11,000 years ago; and 8,000 to 7,000 years ago. The second period ties in with the date Plato ascribed in the Timaeus and Critias to the destruction by earthquakes and flooding of Atlantis, and with the Tamil myth of the submerging of the fabled land of Kumari Kandam." Note how close all these periods are to the 3600 cycle: 7,200, 10,800 & 14400. It is worth checking out this site for other close correlations; Malta for instance 10,600; Bay of Bengal 11,000; Gulf of Cambay 6900-7700, Ireland 17000.

Also [www.cassiopaea.org/cass/sitchin2htm](http://www.cassiopaea.org/cass/sitchin2htm) contains closer and more numerous confirmations of the 3600 year period. Notice all

the references to 12400 BC. I do not, however, agree with Laura Knight-Jadczyk's ideas about groups of comets as opposed to a large body. The fact that there have been effects every 3600 years consistently, i.e. irrespective of the random position of the Earth in its yearly orbit, plus what Velikovsky discovered about effects on Earth's spin, tell me of a large body that can influence Earth's orbit from some distance away.

And the site I mentioned in the following email to the Expanding Earth group on 16<sup>th</sup> March 2008 contains no fewer than 13 A4 pages of confirmations of Velikovsky's findings:

“Strong evidence linking Planet X to earth expansion ( sudden 20ft fall in sea levels) in: <http://www.crawford2000.co.uk/3600vel.htm>

See especially:

“I quote again from Earth in Upheaval.

Velikovsky Earth in Upheaval Dropped Ocean Level, pages 181-183

Daly observed that in a great many places all around the world there is a uniform emergence of the shore line of 18 to 20 feet. In the southwest Pacific, on the islands belonging to the Samoan group but spread over two hundred miles, the same emergence is evident.

Nearly halfway around the world, at St. Helena in the South Atlantic, the lava is punctuated by dry sea caves, the floors of which are covered with water-worn pebbles, now dusty because untouched by the surf. The emergence there is also 20 feet.

At the Cape of Good Hope caves and beaches also prove recent and sensibly uniform emergence to the extent of about 20 feet.

Marine terraces, indicating similar emergence, are found along the Atlantic coast from New York to the Gulf of Mexico; for at least 1,000 miles along the coast of eastern Australia; along the coasts of Brazil, southwest Africa, and many islands in the Pacific,

Atlantic, and Indian Oceans.

The emergence is recent as well as of the same order of magnitude, (20 feet). Judging from the condition of beaches, terraces, and caves, the emergence seems to have been simultaneous on every shore.

In (Daly's) opinion the cause lies in the sinking of the level of all seas on the globe. Alternatively, Daly thinks it could have resulted from a deepening of the oceans or from an increase in their areas. Of special interest is the time of the change. Daly estimated the sudden drop of oceanic level to (have occurred) some 3,000 to 4,000 years ago.

There's that multiple of 3,600 again! Was Velikovsky wrong? Not by a long shot!  
Scientists Challenge Conventional Sea Level Theory ABC News, December 3, 1999

Australian scientists say they have discovered evidence of rapid change in world sea levels and of a dramatic fall in geologically recent times - directly challenging current conventional wisdom.

Dr Robert Baker of the University of New England, in the New South Wales country town of Armidale, has tapped the secrets of worm coatings on once-submerged rocks to shake established theory that sea levels are presently as high as they have ever been.

Based on height measurements of worm coatings on rocks now well above sea level, and carbon dating tests which show them to be as recent as 3,500 years old, Baker argues that sea levels have not been steady since the last ice age, as is commonly believed.

Instead, he told Australia's ABC television, it changed rapidly 3,000-5,000 years ago. It means that the whole natural system is unstable. Baker and his colleagues at New England University say

the sea level may have fallen quickly 3,500 years ago, by as much as a meter in just 10-50 years.

The conventional wisdom has been that sea levels haven't been higher. (Contrary) evidence was something that they weren't prepared to accept. Baker's theories, which he first aired 20 years ago, were initially rejected, but are now about to receive a wider audience with their publication in the respected journal *Marine Biology*."

I then found the clearest scientific evidence so far for the possible existence of Nibiru, which I summarised in the following email:

"Those of you with access to the Internet must see the videos on: <http://thembonez.blogspot.com/2007/09/surviving-2012-and-planet-x.html>

Part two in particular provides scientific evidence, including observations by NASA's IRAS (infrared satellite) and statements by NASA that a massive object, visible only in infrared had been observed in a very large elliptical orbit at an angle to the plane of the known planets.

The size of the object and the nature of the orbit are as I have suggested, based on accounts of the Sumerian planet Nibiru.

But the evidence suggests that it is a brown dwarf (or "dark star), which is why it is hard to see except in infrared.

In 1983 it was estimated to be 50 billion miles from the Sun. In 1992 NASA estimated that it was 7 billion miles from the Sun, and was 4-8 Earth masses. The accuracy of these estimates is likely to be subject to some doubt, because this is an unusual object (estimations of distance usually depend on brightness), but we can infer that it is almost certainly moving very fast, as it would have to in a very large orbit. Since then NASA has gone very quiet

about it.

In the third video, historical evidence is provided that adds to all that I have found. Significantly, The Great Book of Egypt, written after the exodus, mentions two suns. As Nibiru approaches the Sun, it will gain energy from it and give off light as well as heat (the author suggests this and so does my theory). If Nostradamus is right this will happen for seven days:

"The great star will burn for seven days,  
The cloud will cause two suns to appear (CII, Q41)

For more about Nostradamus and Planet X, see:

<http://www.satansrapture.com/nostra2012.htm> (don't be put off, the author is Christian)

Nostradamus, of course, is generally much more vague and subject to interpretation than the above fairly clear implication of Nibiru, but you will find several quatrains that do seem to imply sudden inundations. Where I found a much greater degree of clarity (and obvious accuracy) in predictions, and an astonishing degree of correlation with almost all my deductions about the likely effects of "Planet X, was in discovering exactly what Mother Shipton had said (way back at the time of Henry VIII).

Below are the predictions, with my comments in red:

And now a word, in uncouth rhyme

Of what shall be in future time

Then upside down the world shall be

(Pole shift)

And gold found at the root of tree

## A Nutcase in the Universe

(mushrooms more valuable than gold)

All England's sons that plough the land  
Shall oft be seen with Book in hand  
The poor shall now great wisdom know

(20<sup>th</sup> century spread of knowledge)

Great houses stand in farflung vale  
All covered o'er with snow and hail  
(Pole shift or chaotic climate -  
ice in new places)

A carriage without horse will go  
(cars etc.)

Disaster fill the world with woe.  
(global catastrophe of some sort)

In London, Primrose Hill shall be  
In centre hold a Bishop's See  
(Could be related to the 1665 plague)

Around the world men's thoughts will fly  
Quick as the twinkling of an eye.  
(Internet, phones, radio etc)

And water shall great wonders do  
(New energy technology -

How strange. And yet it shall come true.  
water can solve our energy crisis)

Through towering hills proud men shall  
ride (Powered transportation)

No horse or ass move by his side.

Beneath the water, men shall walk

Shall ride, shall sleep, shall even talk.  
(Diving and submarines)

And in the air men shall be seen

(Aeroplanes or TV and films)

In white and black and even green

A great man then, shall come and go

For prophecy declares it so.

In water, iron, then shall float

(19th/20th/21<sup>st</sup> century ships)

As easy as a wooden boat

Gold shall be seen in stream and stone

In land that is yet unknown.

(Gold prospecting in USA and Australia)

And England shall admit a Jew

(Edward I had expelled all Jews, Cromwell  
allowed them back after Mother Shipton  
died)

## A Nutcase in the Universe

You think this strange, but it is true  
The Jew that once was held in scorn  
Shall of a Christian then be born.

A house of glass shall come to pass  
(Crystal Palace 1851)

In England. But Alas, alas

A war will follow with the work

Where dwells the Pagan and the Turk  
(Crimean war 1853)

These states will lock in fiercest  
strife (Civil war UK or USA)

And seek to take each others life.

When North shall thus divide the south

And Eagle build in Lions mouth

Then tax and blood and cruel war

Shall come to every humble door.

Three times shall lovely sunny France

Be led to play a bloody dance

Before the people shall be free

(French revolution)

Three tyrant rulers shall she see.

Three rulers in succession be

Each springs from different dynasty.

Then when the fiercest strife is done

England and France shall be as one.

(England and France eventually allies, or  
may refer to Common Market)

The British olive shall next then twine

(Queen Victoria)

In marriage with a german vine.

(Prince Albert)

Men walk beneath and over streams

(Diving + many bridges built)

Fulfilled shall be their wondrous dreams.

For in those wondrous far off days

The women shall adopt a craze

To dress like men, and trousers wear

(20<sup>th</sup> century fashions)

And to cut off their locks of hair

They'll ride astride with brazen brow

(horse or cycle riding astride)

As witches do on broomstick now.

## A Nutcase in the Universe

And roaring monsters with man atop  
(Combine harvesters)

Does seem to eat the verdant crop

And men shall fly as birds do now  
(Aircraft)

And give away the horse and plough.  
(Tractors)

There'll be a sign for all to see

Be sure that it will certain be.

Then love shall die and marriage cease  
(Late 20<sup>th</sup> century lifestyle)

And nations wane as babes decrease  
(widespread contraception)

And wives shall fondle cats and dogs

And men live much the same as hogs.

In nineteen hundred and twenty six  
(Great depression?)

Build houses light of straw and sticks.  
(Prefabs were built in USA then)

For then shall mighty wars be planned  
(pre WW2 Militarism)

And fire and sword shall sweep the land.  
(World War 2)

When pictures seem alive with movements  
free (Film and TV)

When boats like fishes swim beneath the  
sea, (Submarines)

When men like birds shall scour the sky  
(Aviation)

Then half the world, deep drenched in  
blood shall die. (World War 2)

(Or later catastrophe?)

For those who live the century through

In fear and trembling this shall do.

(Knowledge of possible catastrophe comes  
at end of 20<sup>th</sup> century)

Flee to the mountains and the dens  
(As elite now have planned according to  
Norwegian politician, and as predicted in  
Revelation C6, v15)

To bog and forest and wild fens.  
(As unprepared poor may try)

For storms will rage and oceans roar  
(As I have worked out)

When Gabriel stands on sea and shore

And as he blows his wondrous horn

Old worlds die and new be born.

## A Nutcase in the Universe

A fiery dragon will cross the sky  
(Planet X)

Six times before this earth shall die  
Mankind will tremble and frightened be  
for the sixth heralds in this prophecy.

For seven days and seven nights  
Man will watch this awesome sight.

(Planet X)

The tides will rise beyond their ken  
(Tsunamis)

To bite away the shores and then  
The mountains will begin to roar  
(Volcanic eruptions)

And earthquakes split the plain to shore.  
(Great earthquakes)

And flooding waters, rushing in  
(Clearly Tsunamis)

Will flood the lands with such a din  
(As described in Americas' flood "myths")

That mankind cowers in muddy fen  
And snarls about his fellow men.

Robert F. Beck

He bares his teeth and fights and kills  
(Anarchy)

And secrets food in secret hills  
(As Norwegian politician says)

And ugly in his fear, he lies  
(As Norwegian politician says)

To kill marauders, thieves and spies.

Man flees in terror from the floods  
(No ordinary floods - tsunamis)

And kills, and rapes and lies in blood  
And spilling blood by mankinds hands  
Will stain and bitter many lands

And when the dragon's tail is gone,  
(Planet X)

Man forgets, and smiles, and carries on  
(Some will survive)

To apply himself - too late, too late  
For mankind has earned deserved fate.  
(God did not stop it  
- man deserved it)

His masked smile - his false grandeur,

## A Nutcase in the Universe

Will serve the Gods their anger stir.

And they will send the Dragon back  
(Planet X has been before)

To light the sky - his tail will crack

Upon the earth and rend the earth

And man shall flee, King, Lord, and serf.

But slowly they are routed out

To seek diminishing water spout

And men will die of thirst before  
(Those underground and those unprepared  
will die of thirst)

The oceans rise to mount the shore.  
(Clearly tsunamis)

And lands will crack and rend anew  
(Great Earthquakes)

You think it strange. It will come true.

And in some far off distant land

Some men - oh such a tiny band

Will have to leave their solid mount

And span the earth, those few to count,

Who survives this (unreadable) and then  
(Some underground will survive)

Begin the human race again.

But not on land already there

But on ocean beds, stark, dry and bare  
(Geography will change - Earth Expansion implied)

Not every soul on Earth will die

As the Dragons tail goes sweeping by.  
(Planet X)

Not every land on earth will sink

But these will wallow in stench and stink

Of rotting bodies of beast and man  
(The number of unburied will be terrible)

Of vegetation crisped on land.  
(Crops will die on side of Earth facing Sun while spin stops - 7 days apparently)

But the land that rises from the sea

(Earth Expansion implied)

Will be dry and clean and soft and free

Of mankinds dirt and therefore be

The source of man's new dynasty.

(Unpolluted new land will be where new civilizations arise)

And those that live will ever fear

## A Nutcase in the Universe

The dragons tail for many year

But time erases memory

(Another 3600 years +)

You think it strange. But it will be.

And before the race is built anew

A silver serpent comes to view (UFO -  
Christ returning "in clouds")

And spew out men of like unknown  
(Aliens/angels)

To mingle with the earth now grown

Cold from its heat and these men can  
(Planet X will stop global warming -  
temporarily?)

Enlighten the minds of future man.

(To help us through on this "new Earth"  
where the heavens appear to also have  
changed)

To intermingle and show them how

To live and love and thus endow

The children with the second sight.

(No more wars when we learn to communicate  
adequately)

A natural thing so that they might

Grow graceful, humble and when they do

Robert F. Beck

The Golden Age will start anew.  
The dragon's tail is but a sign  
For mankind's fall and man's decline.  
And before this prophecy is done  
I shall be burned at the stake, at one  
(Mother Shipton predicts the means of her  
own death)  
My body singed and my soul set free  
You think I utter blasphemy  
You're wrong. These things have come to me  
This prophecy will come to be.

These verses were on the outer wrapping of the  
scrolls

I know I go - I know I'm free  
I know that this will come to be.  
Secreted this - for this will be  
Found by later dynasty

A dairy maid, a bonny lass  
Shall kick this stone as she does pass  
And five generations she shall breed  
Before one male child does learn to read.

## A Nutcase in the Universe

This is then held year by year  
Till an iron monster trembling fear  
eats parchment, words and quill and ink  
(Mass printing will start to spread  
knowledge)  
And mankind is given time to think.

And only when this comes to be  
Will mankind read this prophecy  
But one mans sweets anothers bane  
So I shall not have burned in vain.

This section was kept apart from the other and  
it appears to have been written together, yet  
was in a separate jar...

The signs will be there for all to read  
When man shall do most heinous deed  
Man will ruin kinder lives  
By taking them as to their wives.

And murder foul and brutal deed  
When man will only think of greed.  
(Banks, oil companies, governments! etc.)

Robert F. Beck

And man shall walk as if asleep

(Many will not heed warnings)

He does not look - he many not peep

And iron men the tail shall do

(Male arrogance and aggressiveness)

And iron cart and carriage too. (cars etc.)

The kings shall false promise make

(Leaders will lie to us)

And talk just for talkings sake

And nations plan horrific war

The like as never seen before

(Nuclear weapons etc. on a scale much  
greater than ancient India etc.)

(USA is making more terrible weapons)

And taxes rise and lively down

And nations wear perpetual frown.

Yet greater sign there be to see

As man nears latter century

Three sleeping mountains gather breath

And spew out mud, and ice and death.

And earthquakes swallow town and town,

## A Nutcase in the Universe

In lands as yet to me unknown.

(Great volcanic eruptions and earthquakes  
in Americas)

And christian one fights christian two

And nations sigh, yet nothing do

And yellow men great power gain

From mighty bear with whom they've lain.

(China will gain power with conflict  
amongst Christian nations and possibly  
Russia)

These mighty tyrants will fail to do

They fail to split the world in two.

But from their acts a danger bred

An ague - leaving many dead.

(Biological warfare will bring plague)

And physics find no remedy

For this is worse than leprosy.

Oh many signs for all to see

The truth of this true prophecy.

My final words are DONT PANIC, because I have demonstrated why God and miracles exist! And I have told you what must be done. But it still makes sense to try to grow and store as much food as possible (the latter gradually - I cannot advocate panic buying). And you must give much thought to how to cope for some time without those thing we now take for granted

And, if you value your souls, as I have given you reasons to, do something for others as well as yourselves, as I have suggested. And I shall end with Dickens:

MAY GOD BLESS US – EVERY ONE