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Preface

The author started to seriously investigate UFOs in 2006, because following scientific revelations in 2003, which I was very sure at the time and consistently since, could have come from no other source than the Holy Spirit, I was able to formulate a new theory of gravitation that implied that anti-gravity had to be possible. Having also felt very strongly guided to find an old book in a charity shop, which contained all sorts of information of potential help to my new work in physics, including accounts of UFO sightings going back well before the Wright Brothers, and many impressive accounts of human levitation, I was able to theorise exactly how anti-gravity might work.

At the end of 2004 I published an ebook, followed by a paperback version early in 2005, called *The Special Theory of Reality*, which covered a great deal of physics from the smallest to largest scales. A summarised version of this formed the basis for my first publishable scientific paper, submitted to an organisation in America called the Natural Philosophy Alliance, in 2006. Via contacts in this organisation, I found Project Camelot, run by a physicist and a journalist to give whistle blowers a voice in video interviews.

As I watched the first video of an elderly interviewee called Ralph Ring, who claimed to have helped in the building of a craft which successfully incorporated anti-gravity technology in the 1950s, I had to keep exclaiming, “YES!” as Ring's explanations confirmed exactly what I had theorised, not only about anti-gravity, but also the very first thing that God had revealed to me, that time does not exist, i.e. as some 'thing' that could be warped. I had worked out that anti-gravity probably depended on a very specific frequency, and that such frequency could be established and passed on by the human nervous system. Both of these were clearly implied in Ring's descriptions. When I then found via Project Camelot that those claiming involvement with alien technology said that the aliens operated their craft via their nervous systems, it seemed quite obviously time to carry out a study thoroughly enough to include in a paper for the 2007 NPA conference. What I was able to demonstrate in the paper, was consistency in the descriptions of UFOs, firstly when comparing accounts in both the 19th and 20th centuries and before, and
secondly, as compared with ancient Sanskrit texts. I also analysed some very impressive accounts in both the 19th and 20th centuries to demonstrate a very high degree of probability that alien technology was involved in real, physical craft, using metal not produced by human technology at the time. It was apparent that there could thus be highly significant implications for religions, including my own, Christianity.
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Introduction

Throughout this book, I have put all long quotes in blue, because some of the quotes are long enough for the reader to possibly loose track of what is quoted and what I am saying now. And this allows me to add notes into the quotes.

The following extract (in blue) from the introduction to my autobiography (see www.einsteins-revolution.com ) is the most fundamental reason in my perception for the need for this analysis:

“But, what mankind has to be ready for is the knowledge that we have brothers and sisters who do not live in this solar system. God could not allow us the atom bomb and the ability to travel the Universe, while brothers and sisters are killing each other on this planet. All of us need to learn the error of insisting that we alone are right. We cannot be permitted to repeat the errors of conquest of this planet elsewhere. Open-minded humility will solve Earth’s problems and will have to accompany us beyond.”

Since then, the need to write it, and the perception that I am guided to write it, have grown through many things that I have been guided to find, not least the realisation that in addition to my firm opinion at the time of writing the above, that other worlds must be inhabited by intelligent beings, our own planet and probably Mars have been occupied and/or visited by beings more advanced than us for a very long time.

Another reason is evidence I have found that governments and the controlled media, and very sadly, even scientists, have tried to keep the truth from the public.

But most sadly, after atheists, who are condemned to miss the wonderful and reassuring truth, it is some Christian groups and other believers to whom my quote above has to be directed.
Chapter 1

My credentials for tackling this subject

I will start with the following quote (in blue) from my autobiography, which said why I think that God reveals truth when we need it:

“I have suggested that God may have decided upon an appropriate level of intervention, but what might also be very significant is the timing of help or revelation. My letter to the National Physical Laboratory (Appendix 2 of autobiography) suggests that Einstein and Dmitri Mendeleev may have received ideas through dreams and that Descartes claimed, just as I do, that God had revealed to him that frequencies of light depended on rotation of particles. (In Chapter 17 I also noted that neurotransmitters were revealed to Otto Loewi in a dream) Newton, of course, spent more time trying to decode scripture than he did on his amazing contributions to science. I believe there are a great many similar instances of great contributions to scientific advancement among those with faith in God, Christian or otherwise, of which I have made note over the years, but regrettably my poor memory means that I cannot list them here. I note, however, that in her book Unnatural Enemies, Dr.Kirsten Birkett makes the point in her preface that many scientists in previous centuries were Christian believers. At the time (1997), Kirsten Birkett, BSc(hons), PhD(Science & Technologies Studies), was the Director of the Matthias Centre for the Study of Modern Beliefs and her studies had included the history of science.

Although I might not agree with everything in Unnatural Enemies, I strongly support the comment and analysis
pointing to the need for considerable discernment when reading the Bible; and I thoroughly agree that in both science and religion there has been considerable arrogance; and of course, with what she says about God revealing truth when He is ready. And I am very pleased to say that on page 23 she makes the point that I have used many words to state in various ways with the simple statement that:

“Until you know everything in the universe, you cannot know for sure that some scientific theory is true.”

Can we vary this just a little and say that:

“Until you know everything in the universe, you cannot know for sure that God does not exist.”

Perhaps it is symptomatic of arrogance among physicists that many seem to claim to be close to a theory of everything. But then I have to admit to the same arrogance when I said that, “I thought that God had now explained to me just about everything in the Universe”. This was, however, an overstatement to emphasise the incredible extent of what I believed God had revealed to me, and I was soon to suggest that it could be another hundred years before we are able to propose what my tiny particles are comprised of.

The fact is, we have only just started to actually explore the Universe and we are still frequently surprised by what we find on this planet. Who knows what there remains to be learned. One thing that I have learned from all my studies is that the more you know the more you realise you do not know. I suspect that this may prove to be true for millennia
to come. If we survive that long that is!

What appears very likely to me is that when we are ready for a certain level of knowledge, or perhaps in dire need of it, if we are unlikely to work it out on our own we get a little help. I suspect, quite strongly, that now is the time when a more complete understanding of gravitation may be essential to prevent cataclysmic disaster in some form. Such disaster may come gradually but inextricably because there is not the political will to prevent our misuse of the planet’s resources, perhaps even presenting a threat to civilisation as we know it; or it may come more suddenly, with much less warning as we are struck by an asteroid or comet."

I am now in a position to explain why I think that God has chosen me amongst many others to bring the truth about UFOs, which I think in my case carries the burden of helping other Christians to make sense of it. Recent correspondence with a Christian NPA member and discussions with my Christian healer seem to me to be promptings from God that now is the time to set out the case, and to try to answer some difficult questions.

The fact that I needed healing, and the incredible timing of it, provides strong evidence of the possibility that I was chosen for this work. And it started with a strange dream over 30 years ago. The story appears on my website as an autobiographical update as follows (again in blue):

“On page 96 of my autobiography I refer to a strange dream in 1981 in which God told me to go on connecting old electrical light fittings until a string of thirty were all connected. What I remember now more clearly is that on waking, and wondering why I should dream anything so
illogical, I felt God asking the question, “Bob, do you love me?” My state of faith at the time meant that I could only reply, “If you exist (thinking that the question may have originated in my own mind), then of course I love you.” As with Peter, the questions and answers happened three times. Aware of the similarity to the way that Jesus allowed Peter to redeem himself after denying Jesus three times as predicted, I had to wonder if this, rather than something truly from God might explain the next thing to come into my mind, which was not “Feed my sheep”, but appropriate to the dream, “Be a light to my sheep”. I concluded that the dream may mean that I had been given thirty years more to ‘be a light’, or that it may have all been simply a product of my own fears of dying early. But I made a mental note of the age at which I might die according to the former, which was 64, my age now!

Thus the reader can now judge which may be more likely by the fact that, right on cue, I was unknowingly suffering from prostate cancer from before my 64th birthday, so that by Christmas 2011 it had become an almost certain death sentence, because it had spread to bones and other organs to the extent that medical science could not yet offer a cure.

But I may have changed the future by my decision of 2003 to trust God completely (page 122). That led me back into physics, and via that to learn of technologies that might cure cancer, one of which was explainable via the science that had been revealed to me (Rife, who incidentally shares my birthday), and Robert C. Beck, who I was drawn to for obvious reasons. And it was to become clear via amazing circumstances that God was guiding me to someone else through all this, who was both instrumental in saving my life
and providing astonishing further evidence of the mysteries of creation and likely future events.”

The story continues at 'Introducing Alison Lonsdale' on my website, with the following relevant:

“On New Years Eve 2008, I opened my garage door at exactly the right moment to observe something that research had taught me could be a UFO. From an orange light a smaller one descended and disappeared, and seconds later the larger light disappeared. Unbeknown to me, Alison, who had an encounter with a strange 'being' just the night before, read my account of the orange lights in the local paper. I did not know her then but she lives only about a mile from me. Almost three years later I prayed for healing having realised that I may well have prostate cancer.

The next day Alison emailed me for an opinion about her encounter of three years before. Having expressed my view that the 'being' could have been an angel (see Chapter 17, p. 274), our exchanges of emails confirmed our similar views on faith and revealed the astonishing news that she had the gift of channelling Christ's healing power for people with cancer.

After tests revealed that I had advanced prostate cancer, which had spread to bones including spine and pelvis, lymph nodes, one ureter, and small spots on liver and lungs, perhaps unsurprisingly with a PSA level of 265, Alison, and of course various friends and relatives, started to pray for me. Before I started any conventional treatment my pain was gone. The kidney pain had been quite debilitating over
Christmas, and just sitting was quite uncomfortable. But Alison had also guided me to seek medical help, and God had been guiding me in recent years to sources of dietary and unconventional treatments, the latter partly through the amazing co-incidence of the late Dr. Bob Beck (Robert C Beck) being drawn to my attention for obvious reasons.

The doctor who eventually told me of the results of my next blood test, which had been just one week after my first injection of the testosterone controlling drug Degarelix, actually thought that the testers had failed to check the PSA level, presumably because the report said, “No PSA recorded”, because the very evening after the appointment with that doctor, the BBC Horizon programme about cancer told me that these were the words used when the PSA level was too low to be recorded.

Alison visited me to pray close range one week before my MRI scan, which for some reason I am still waiting for the results to be fully explained, but the significant thing is that I have been able to sit for long periods at my computer, feeling both mentally and physically better than I have felt for years, to write four scientific papers, three of which have been published in the General Science Journal and one of which is being considered for Physical Essays.”

I can now confirm that my PSA had reduced from the very high 265 to 0.1 much faster than the drug I was put on to reduce testosterone would typically achieve, and that it has remained of that order, and that I have been free of the pains caused by the metastatic cancers. Most significantly, the tumour blocking a ureter must have gone before the conventional treatment, as indicated by the cessation of very
bad kidney pain.

The obvious implication is that God wishes me to be a light for longer, and the direction of that is suggested by the involvement of UFOs and advanced beings or angels. The fact that the direction of my research into anti-gravity had been previously guided into these areas from 2006 on, made this more obvious.

One of the first and most significant areas of my scientific work to suggest answers to the question of possible Biblical references to UFOs was my God-given understanding of the dependence of mass upon spin and the implied directional nature of such mass, as explained in my NPA paper of 2007, in which I also included much evidence related to UFOs and alien technology. This included evidence from CIA and US military sources confirming the prediction in my book published in 2004/5 that anti-gravity would be linked to human or alien nervous systems. The volume of evidence I presented strongly supported the likely truth of this.

What I had suggested, backed up by the experimental evidence, was that gyros move more freely along the axis of rotation. The reader needs to confirm from Ezekiel 10 that this is clearly implied in the flying object, in which movement was facilitated in the directions that the rotating wheels faced. I would thus suggest that control was achieved through changing the rates that each of the wheels spun. We are thus talking about the explainable technology of a real, physical, flying object, which could have existed whether Ezekiel actually saw it or was given a vision of it (Ezekiel 11.24).

If the reader doubts this, I must point to the substantial volume of evidence contained in my 2007 paper
demonstrating the consistency of the nature of UFO accounts in the twentieth century and back through the pre Wright Brothers era and past centuries, as far back as ancient Sanskrit texts. Another source providing much information in this respect is the series of documentaries entitled *Ancient Aliens* currently still being shown on History Channel H2 (Virgin 236 in UK).
Chapter 2
Some of the slightly easier questions answered

Many questions arise regarding UFOs, and it takes some time to deal with them. I had started to in 2007 in response to a DVD produced by an organisation called 'Answers in Genesis' in 2004. I have consigned that to Appendix 1 because it extended to 11 pages. The upshot of all that, is that even those who think their scientific understanding is up to adequately discussing the subject, can be wrong, because there is much that mainstream scientist's either do not adequately understand or wish the public to know. Note the following quote that I included in my paper of 2007:

"You are assuming that ET crafts are made with our technology... Many years ago, we made that same mistake and it took us several years to correct the mistake and start fresh from the drawing board. Their technology is nothing similar to ours... We started from scratch and learned their principles of dynamics, physics, etc... The ET craft was manufactured using ET technology. This craft was built many years before we developed flight. They used a different physics principle that we still don't fully understand... I've worked on this project for 12 years and I sometimes call myself dumb because I try to compare the craft with our technology. Doing that is dumb, as all who have worked on the craft over the years have come to understand."

Los Alamos National Laboratories physicist, quoted in Exempt from Disclosure, by Robert Collins
I mention much in Appendix 1 that is not in the realm of the wider public understanding of science, but there are simple and complex answers to the speed of light and distance to other habitable planets questions, for instance. Some are apparent from what I have said in Chapter 1, and the more complex result from information that I have been guided to find since 2007, and especially following the Divinely inspired meeting of Alison and I.

Firstly, evidence suggests that advanced beings have been with us on this planet for a very long time. The most simple, and increasingly credible origin for them is Mars. A great deal in the solar system can be explained via David Hardy's theory called Genesis Continuous when combined with my God-given understanding of gravity. David worked out that the spacing of planets can be explained if each formed from material ejected by the sun and slowly spiralled out at an ever increasing rate as the sun loses mass. My theory suggested that interdependent gravitational fields when applied to this idea could similarly point to the birth and spacing of moons and explain geological activity. It means that Mars once occupied the position that Earth does now, and most likely had a larger moon to explain past geological activity and magnetic field necessary to keep it habitable. As has been observed in our moon, this moon may have spiralled out, eventually leaving Mars unprotected from solar radiation, by which time civilization on it should have advanced to greater than ours now, and thus capable of colonizing Earth.

Slightly more complicated is the possibility that either life on Mars or Earth was the result of intervention by beings from other solar systems, but the simple answers to how they got here are either that they took a very long time, or that, as
I have indicated, they had capabilities beyond that which most of our scientists could understand.

For instance, not only is teleportation now explainable by all that God has guided me to find, including recently through Alison, earlier this year I was able to provide evidence in a paper to suggest that it has happened to humans on this planet. Note the following extract from my paper on remote viewing published in the General Science Journal in March (http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Essays-Miscellaneous/Download/4697):

“Some may argue that the teleportation to Mars suggested by Dr. Brown is just science fiction fantasy. There are, however, historical accounts of teleportation to suggest its possible reality. In The World Atlas of Mysteries [10], Francis Hitching listed many such accounts. In 1665 a man was tried by the Spanish Inquisition for witchcraft for allegedly teleporting from Goa to Portugal. The most impressive and well documented account, however, relates to the exceptional volume of evidence, including physical evidence, of the teleportations of the Venerable Mary of Agreda. As Francis Hitching's book is now out of print I also provide [11] as further reference. Both here and in Francis Hitching's book, it is reported that Mary of Agreda was able to report that the Earth was round and revolving on its poles – then a heretical theory.


Francis Hitching goes on to say:

“But in the 1630s her story was conclusively confirmed – indeed, it is one of the strongest pieces
of historical evidence for the phenomenon that we have. The official task of converting the Mexican Indians had been given to Father Alonzo de Benavides, and in 1622 he wrote to the Pope and to Philip IV of Spain complaining that his work had been pre-empted. A mysterious nun, called by the Indians the 'lady in blue' had been there before him distributing crosses, rosaries, and a chalice with which they celebrated mass. Who was she?

No such person was known, but on his return to Spain in 1630, Father Benavides heard of Sister Mary's extraordinary claims. Over a period of time he interviewed her minutely, discovering that she gave details of Indian lore and custom and villages where they lived, known only to a handful of travellers, none of whom she had ever met. He also obtained signed statements from her superiors that she had never left the convent. Even more astonishing, they recognized the chalice used by the Indians as having come from their own convent.

James A. Carrico's authorized Life of the Venerable Mary of Agreda [12] concludes that she made some 500 missionary visits: 'That Mary really visited America many times is attested to by the logs of the Spanish conquistadores, the French explorers, the identical accounts by different tribes of Indians a thousand miles apart. Every authentic history of the South-west of the United States records this mystic phenomenon unparalleled in the entire history of the world.'
The document in Appendix 1, of 2007, already contained information to suppose that this may be possible, but through Alison, God was to guide me to evidence that was to add considerable weight to that. She had lent me the book, *Summons to Life* by Martin Israel, M.B., as the author had been of considerable influence in her Christian development. I could see why, as I was quickly impressed by his intelligent writing. But I had reason to be particularly drawn to a reference towards the end of the book to some excellent work by Dr. Ian Stevenson, Carlson Professor of Psychiatry and Director of the Division of Personality Studies at the University of Virginia, because I could well have been one of the thousands of cases that he had meticulously studied over 40 years to establish the reality of reincarnation.


“The start of analysis of my own possible past life experiences was in the writing of my autobiography [1], which started early in 2004. In Chapter 2 (A Kid With a Purpose) I mention one possible past life experience, but concluded:

“The most likely explanation is that one of the films we were shown during RE lessons at Junior school instilled a false memory. It may sound unscientific to some, but my view is that all possibilities should be considered, that is the true philosophy of science.”

The last sentence sets the tone for what I hope will be a
similar open-minded approach, and is now made possible by new scientific evidence. It was, however, purely anecdotal evidence that led me to the scientific evidence. On learning of remarkable similarities between my explanations and those of the ancient Greek thinkers Democritus and Epicurus (see Appendix 1 of the paper), I was reminded of another possible childhood memory that I had thought was not as significant as that mentioned in my autobiography. It was, however, reading more of Epicurus [2] that made it possibly more significant in terms of the possibility of reincarnation.

It is suggested that the reader may find the physics contained in this paper easier to follow via my paper of this year, *The True Meaning of Einstein’s Relativity* [3]. This argues that curvature of motion with spin (mass) is more logical than curvature of space, an idea similar to that of Epicurus in saying that particles in empty space may ‘swerve’ without cause.

**Evidence of Reincarnation**

For most of my life I had seriously doubted the possibility of reincarnation. A small number of surprisingly impressive cases, if true, presented in the mainstream media over the last 15-20 years, had alerted me to the possibility that I may be wrong.

The problem with individual stories, however, is that there is always some doubt as to authenticity. The probability of their credibility, however, may be increased by a growing number of individual cases; though careful, analytical study of many cases is the only way to demonstrate this scientifically. The value of individual accounts that seem impressive if true is that this warrants further investigation. For
me, the account that made me look for scientific evidence was the story of 11 year old James Liebermann, seen by me on video [4] in September 2011.

The parents of James noticed his preoccupation with fighter planes attacking ships in drawings he produced as a young child. The drawings seemed to represent World War Two, and on questioning the child, they were amazed at his answer that this was when he died when his plane was shot down by the Japanese. He had surprised his parents in explaining his repetitive dream of ‘the little man trying to kick open the cockpit cover’, in saying that the ‘little man’ was himself. More astonishing was that he was able to name the ship he was on, and on later meeting surviving veterans from the right time, could give their names correctly also. He also produced names, nicknames and details of his previous family, which surviving family members have confirmed to be correct and relating in part to such intimate details that only the real James Huston could have known.

Could this story be true, or just fabrication to sell a book? The interviews of veterans and past family members included in the Fox News report, seem reasonable evidence of probable truth, but can we be sure enough to count this as reliable evidence? I know that my own case is genuine, but could I be right about false memory being instilled by subsequent experience? What is needed is a scientific evaluation of many such cases.

Fortunately, that is exactly what my research has turned up. The website, http://reluctant-messenger.com/reincarnation-proof.htm [5] tells us this:

“Since the late Sixties, Dr. Ian Stevenson, Carlson Professor of Psychiatry and Director of the Division of Personality Studies at the University of Virginia, has
documented cases in India, Africa, the Near and Far East, Britain, the United States, and elsewhere in which young children have astonished their parents with precise details about the people they claim to have been. Some of these children have recognized former homes and neighborhoods as well as still-living friends and relatives. They have recalled events in their purported previous lives, including their often violent deaths. Sometimes their birthmarks resemble scars that correspond to wounds that led, they claim, to their deaths.”

Dr. Stevenson has examined over 3,000 cases. We are told that the late Herbert S. Ripley, former chairman of the psychiatry department at the University of Washington in Seattle, noted, "We are lucky to have someone of his ability and high integrity investigating this controversial area.” Dr. Harold Lief in the Journal of Nervous and Mental Diseases had written: "Either he is making a colossal mistake, or he will be known as the Galileo of the twentieth century." The latter can be understood by reading below.

This is without doubt excellent and very thorough work by a good and respected scientist. Thus we can use it to gauge the likely validity of cases such as James Liebermann or Huston (the pilot who died) and other cases such as my own.

We can note in particular from Stevenson’s case studies that in more than seven hundred cases in six different cultures, sixty-one percent remembered having died violently. Reasons are given why this figure may not be particularly accurate, but it gives reason to compare with the James Liebermann case and ascribe to that a higher probability of possible truth.
Stevenson tells us that some southern European Christians believed in reincarnation until the Council of Nicea banned such beliefs in 553 A.D.. It is not appropriate to promote any particular religious belief in a scientific paper, but historical issues relating to possible prejudice about ideas are (see Appendix 2 (of the paper)). Thus we can ponder the possibility of the introduction of human error regarding this subject, which may have influenced its categorisation as ‘paranormal’.

Whether or not prejudice against the possibility of reincarnation stems from such historical religious interpretation, or even engrained attitudes in science that indicate reluctance to consider ‘spiritual’ matters in general, it is hoped that the evidence presented here will help in an entirely objective analysis of the possibility.”

So this very thorough scientific research provides very strong evidence of the existence of souls or spirits that can contain and pass on information about our physical existence, including knowledge, ideas and emotions. And we can add to that via the considerable work brought together by Sir Roger Penrose, FRS, University of Oxford & Stuart Hameroff M.D., University of Arizona, in the April/May 2011 edition of the Journal of Cosmology, also cited in my paper on the paranormal, that demonstrates the existence of non-biological consciousness in many ways. In particular, this includes studies that have demonstrated that the human mind can access information in near death experiences that was beyond their biological capabilities, for instance in describing accurately a dead relative they never met in life, and reporting the recent death of a relative that they had no possible other means of knowing about.
When this evidence is combined with what I had mentioned in the document in Appendix 1 regarding the work of Russians in demonstrating that DNA could be encoded and passed on in light, and the fact that I also include in my paper on the paranormal, claims by Chinese and French scientists (the latter a Nobel prize winner) to have done the same with other waves, and the work of many others of different nationalities in demonstrating the transmission by unknown means of the physical effects of human ideas and emotions, we have to consider the teleportation of humans, and then even more likely, more advanced beings, as quite likely possible. It offers an explanation for how the angel got into the lions den with Daniel (Daniel 6v17) A fuller explanation of the science was included in the document in Appendix 1.

So we have this and physics relating to inanimate objects (UFOs) that goes beyond widely understood science, to explain the travel of advanced beings. And such science also offers possible explanations for various Biblical accounts such as Christ's transfiguration and resurrection (including the Turin shroud if real), Eli'jah's accent into a 'chariot of fire' (1st Kings 18v41 to 2nd Kings 2v14), accounts of angels, and Ezekiel's seen 'UFO' or vision of a UFO, as mentioned above. And, of course, the science that now exists regarding reincarnation, demonstrates that one person can be possessed by the spirit of another, as further explained in the document in Appendix 2, which I had written to help primarily scientists, but also Christians, understand something that many may feel uncomfortable with.

This question of possession means that Biblical accounts of
being possessed by evil spirits is more believable and thus also the evil beings also mentioned, which helps me to offer answers to more difficult questions in the next chapter.

Before going on to that, I must mention another, possibly very significant leading that came via my contact with Alison. This is how I explained it on my website:

Through contact with Alison, I seem to have been guided to two things via the work of the person who, after the Holy Spirit, has inspired her most. My follow up research on the Internet of Dr. Martin Israel had led me via his book on angels to exactly the thing that God had seemed to be guiding me to think about for different reasons: the Fatima apparitions. And to make this clearer, the reading that Alison was guided to before she prayed for my healing was the account in Luke's Gospel of the angel appearing to the shepherds, which is also how the Fatima story starts. Compare what the last Pope (now last but one – John Paul II) said in an interview about the third secret of Fatima with the last few pages of Chapter 17. (The second thing was the work of Dr. Stevenson, as mentioned)

Via the link to the Fatima apparitions, the reader should note that I observed the following three points:

1. The same connection between God, advanced beings/angels in the form of light, as indicated in much of my research and revelations, as will become clearer as the reader continues.

2. Descriptions indicative of flying objects that can disappear as in many UFO reports including my own.
3. In the 'miracle of the Sun' the disc shape, colour, ability to change colour, motion beyond the laws of physics, spinning motion, radiated warmth, and the fact that it could be observed without visual discomfort, are all things that have been reported by UFO witnesses. It was clearly not the Sun that was being observed, but the type of aerial technology that fits with a great mass of reported sightings as the century progressed, and the physics that God has revealed to me. Regarding the latter, remember the confirmations I have found implicating spin and particular frequencies in UFO control.

Those with time to read the Papal interview and the end of my Chapter 17, will note that both of us mention oceans flooding extensive areas of land. In this respect, it is important to note that such flooding does not contradict the 'rainbow promise' in Genesis because quite clearly people have died in floods since, sometimes in great numbers, as in the recent Asian and Japanese tsunamis and other historical tsunamis. If the intention is not the virtually universal destruction of humanity, more limited flooding may be used by God for some other, more limited purpose. And this is indeed mentioned in both Revelation (12v15) and the prophesy of Mother Shipton analysed in my Chapter 17. My interpretation is that both imply Earth expansion as tectonic plates move apart, to explain the Earth 'swallowing the flood' in Revelation and the new, clean land that Mother Shipton saw, on which civilization could restart. My theory of gravitation implies very slow Earth expansion as the planet moves out from the Sun, which might be accelerated by gravitational interaction with another body that enters the inner solar system. This, of course, might also explain the many and great earthquakes mentioned by Christ and
Revelation, as well as darkening of the skies mentioned in Revelation 8 & 9, from resultant volcanic eruptions, falling meteors and fires. Note the following early Christian prophesy, which no doubt Popes would be aware of:

**Saint Hildegard (12th Century)**

The time is coming when princes and peoples will reject the authority of the Pope. Some countries will prefer their own Church rulers to the Pope. The German Empire will be divided.

Before the comet comes, many nations, the good excepted, will be scourged by want and famine. The great nation in the ocean that is inhabited by people of different tribes and descent will be devastated by earthquake, storm, and tidal wave. It will be divided and, in great part, submerged. That nation will also have many misfortunes at sea and lose its colonies.

[After the] great Comet, the great nation will be devastated by earthquakes, storms, and great waves of water, causing much want and plagues. The ocean will also flood many other countries, so that all coastal cities will live in fear, with many destroyed.

All sea coast cities will be fearful, and many of them will be destroyed by tidal waves, and most living creatures will be killed, and even those who escape will die from a horrible disease. For in none of those cities does a person live according to the Laws of God.

A powerful wind will rise in the North, carrying heavy fog and the densest dust, and it will fill their throats and eyes so that they will cease their butchery and be stricken with a great fear.

Other indications that we are in end times are on my website as follows:
1. The Dresden Codex has a crocodile (a creature with a prominent, pointed tail – like the dragon of the Bible and other texts) as the precursor to the flooding catastrophes of the Mayans. Red dwarf stars have been observed with tails. Photographs purported to be of Planet X or Nibiru show it to have a tail and several planetary objects. I think that I can count seven, though it is hard to be sure, though one amateur astronomer says that he has counted seven planets. If these planets have ten moons then the 'seven heads and ten horns' of Revelation C.12 might be explained.

2. The great portent that appeared in heaven (start of Revelation C.12) may have happened in September 2011, see http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fFKNriWB-5c&feature=related and note that in a video now removed it was pointed out that the positions of the Sun and Moon relative to Virgo were as described in Revelation, with Venus and Saturn being relevant, as noted in the above video, to the birth of Jesus and also his return. The 'woman' being mankind, as Jesus referred to himself as 'the son of man'.

3. The secret plans of the elite revealed near the end of my chapter 17 are clearly predicted in Revelation C. 6 v 15.

4. Four major power groups have evolved through 20th century history, whose use of secret technologies to control the weather (chemtrails & scalar waves) in the struggle for ultimate control are bringing the famines and death, thus identifying them as likely candidates as the four horsemen of Revelation C. 6.
Regarding chemtrails, note this Christian Prophesy:

**Maria Laach Monastery (16th Century)**

The twentieth century will bring death and destruction, apostasy from the Church, discord in families, cities and governments; it will be the century of three great wars with intervals of a few decades. They will become ever more devastating and bloody and will lay in ruins not only Germany, but finally all countries of East and West. After a terrible defeat of Germany will follow the next great war. There will be no bread for people anymore and no fodder for animals. Poisonous clouds, manufactured by human hands, will sink down and exterminate everything. The human mind will be seized by insanity.

Such insanity is demonstrated in this video by an organisation called Geo-engineering Watch:

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C07EddRW2uU](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C07EddRW2uU)

As I had previously suggested in a letter to David Cameron, as regards climate change, chemtrails may be doing far more harm than good. But my research suggests that their real purpose is secret wars.
Chapter 3
Some more difficult questions tackled

At the end of Chapter 1, I mentioned that in my paper of 2007, I had analysed a considerable volume of evidence to demonstrate consistency in the nature of UFO accounts dating back to ancient Sanskrit texts. This is expanded upon in Appendix 1 to emphasise the vast amount of evidence that now exists from reliable witnesses, demonstrating that UFOs and USOs are in many cases real, physical objects capable of producing physical effects. Small lights in the sky can be mistaken for human technology or natural phenomena, but NOT an object over ¼ mile across coming out of the sea, causing damage to a destroyer, and attested to by naval seamen from three countries and six British airmen! (Operation Mainbrace 1952 – officially recorded as a USO incident). This is one of many incidents to this day indicating particular interest in our nuclear capabilities and even the ability to intervene in our ability to launch missiles. All this then gives possible credibility to reports of things such as animal mutilations and human abductions, and thus raises some very difficult questions.

Fortunately, my extensive research over several years in the field of UFOs, and my God-given understanding in the field of physics, does lead to some answers that should be particularly reassuring for Christians and also for those tending towards this sort of faith or the other main religions. The first thing to note is that the science revealed to me confirms the spiritual realm. Reading my recent papers and other writings, such as the final chapter of my autobiography, should not only leave no doubt about that,
but reveal that even the very nature of this realm and God Himself, are potentially explainable by what we now know at the cutting edge of physics. This is of huge value in trying to work out what may be going on.

Then quoting the following from the final chapter of my autobiography should take this an important step further:

“On 15\textsuperscript{th} September 2007, Project Camelot emailed their video interview with Bob Dean, the most impressive and convincing of all I have seen. His sincerity and likely veracity stood out for me. In the US military, from which he is long retired, he had clearance to view secret information at the highest level. Here was a man profoundly affected by the implications of the documentary evidence confirming alien presence and government cover-ups and denials. The conflict within this man was so apparent as he wrestled with, “the public has a right to know” against “is the public ready to know?”, and poured out emotion as his love of the human race grated with their stupidity in a way that has my fullest empathy.

What I know now is that God has provided me with the means to prepare mankind for the truth. Bob Dean seemed almost close to sweating Christ’s drops of blood as he wondered how you tell fundamentalist and creationist Christians that Christ was part of the same programme of intervention in our affairs as the alteration of our DNA that introduced Homo-sapiens; “how do you tell everyone that they are in a zoo?” he asked.

Well, on 30\textsuperscript{th} August 2007, I had seen another Project Camelot interview that might help. Jim Sparks, a multiple abductee, had been saying very similar things to Bob Dean, but his testimony should be seen as very significant for
Christians. The message that he was given related to the state the planet was in. What we had to do was forgive the crimes of governments and others to create an amnesty, so that those who would come clean but for implicating others, can admit the existence of the technologies that are now vital to our future.

But what I now knew was that there was more to God than just a higher form of life than us, as I had once pondered. I had two further, unexpected confirmations of this. Mike Emery had lots to say about out of body and near death experiences, and the latter confirmed what I had heard from other sources, that light was invariably involved. David Wilcock had an out of body experience as a young boy. But the most significant instance of near death experience came while I was pondering all this in a more unexpected way.

Sue drew to my attention that Jo had been given a video by a friend at Church, of a talk that she was unable to go and hear in person, so we all watched the video. Jim had recently qualified as a lifeguard, so it was interesting to hear the story of New Zealand lifeguard Ian McCormack’s miraculous survival of being proclaimed clinically dead for fifteen minutes, having been badly stung by the World’s most venomous jelly fish. It was the story of a man trained to know the signs of impending death, and pleading for help from those who were to callously reject his pleas, apart from one who finally managed to get him to hospital but too late to save him, it seemed. Ian had been an atheist, but as he knew he was dying he prayed the only prayer he knew, encouraged by the thought of his believing mother, who had sensed that her son was in great danger and was praying for him at the time.

When Ian got to the great need for all his sins to be forgiven, and prayed, “as we forgive them that trespass
against us”, he heard the voice of God asking Ian if he was even willing to forgive the people who would not help save his life, including the taxi driver who had pushed him out of his cab when he thought that receiving the fare was in doubt. “You must be joking” was Ian’s thought, but he was to realise that to be able to go back and tell his mother that he had not gone to hell, he must forgive everything.

When he agreed, his reward was a glimpse of the glory of God. God was light (or appeared as such to the soul). That is what a man who rightly should have been brain damaged and paralysed by the period of clinical death is now telling in perfect health! Christ’s message of forgiveness for even our enemies, proclaimed throughout this book, apparently even endorsed by aliens, came again, this time from a transformed atheist confirming the spiritual significance of light, just after I had been guided to many other confirmations of my early conclusion that light was much more than most realised.

The other confirmation came in a book that Sepp had recommended as explaining why I had compulsively repeated the words, “I am me” as a child. The author of The Power of Now, Eckhart Tolle, had been through periods of anxiety and depression as I had, though unlike me the depression was severe enough to contemplate suicide. But earlier than me, in his thirtieth year, he had a similar, life changing experience. In his darkest hour, wondering how he could live with himself any longer, the thought of which of “I” and “myself” were real, triggered a mental shut-down, followed by the experience he described as follows:

“Then I felt drawn into what seemed like a vortex of energy. It was a slow movement at first and then accelerated. I was gripped with an intense fear, and my body started to shake. I
heard the words “resist nothing,” as if spoken inside my chest. I could feel myself being sucked into the void. It felt as if the void was inside myself rather than outside. Suddenly, there was no more fear, and I let myself fall into that void. I have no recollection of what happened after that.

I was awakened by the chirping of a bird outside the window. I had never heard such a sound before. My eyes were still closed, and I saw the image of a precious diamond. Yes, if a diamond could make a sound, this is what it would be like. I opened my eyes. The first light of dawn was filtering through the curtains. Without any thought, I felt, I knew that there is infinitely more to light than we realise. That soft luminosity filtering through the curtains was love itself.” (My emphasis)

We clearly have strong evidence now that light can contain a huge amount of information. But if God is light, why are we not aware of it? Why can’t we see the overwhelming glory of God that Ian McCormack saw? Why do we not all feel the love that Eckhart Tolle felt?

What has to be remembered is that light photons do not penetrate everything, and that people in near death experiences are not receiving information through their eyes. The answer to this is that something similar to light, but different to radio and TV signals, can carry information invisibly to us (by “us” I mean either our minds or our souls). This is not just my wild idea. Again there is experimental evidence in support of it, quite apart from as mentioned above. Things like remote viewing, ESP and telepathy have been seriously examined, and although mainstream science chooses to avoid this area on the whole, denying funding to those who try to investigate it, governments have taken it seriously and employed people
with above average ability to consciously receive the information as spies, sometimes very successfully. The US learned of the Russian's building giant submarines in this way. And the person who was trained by the US government to communicate with aliens in this way, Dan Sherman, asked them about creation. The answer was to confirm what I had already concluded and am saying here. The aliens apparently confirmed that both they and us are God’s creation.

What God has specifically shown me is that every particle in our body is made of the same thing as light and all other radiations and forces. And it makes sense that our souls can similarly be explained. The soul is thus something that encodes at lower level all the information in our physical bodies. The fact that heart transplant patients are observed to take on some characteristics and memories of the donor strongly suggest a mechanism of this nature.

The boy genius, Patrick Flanagan showed that we can “hear” with different parts of the body by inventing a device that proved it. I have now heard that some claim to be able to “see” with other parts of the body. This is not magic, witchcraft or anything beyond the (correctly understood) laws of physics. Everything, “natural” or “supernatural” (words that now merge) can be explained by the ability of one incredibly tiny particle to follow a curved path as it spins. Einstein was right! God is the universe!

The aliens and us are in God and God is in us all. The mystery of Christ’s own words in this respect can now be understood (John 14 v 10). Like the aliens, we are part of God’s ongoing, continuous creation. We exist by reason of every “word” that emanates (proceedeth) from the ultimate and ubiquitous intelligence that is “God”.

So, rather than being “zoo keepers”, the aliens are our
“brothers and sisters” in creation, guiding us to better things like caring, elder siblings, themselves guided more closely by the “parents” than the rebellious, under-developed youngsters. So the wonderful truth is that the realisation that we are definitely not alone in the Universe should not leave us in fear for either our safety or our faith. And this is not just me saying so; even the aliens (angels?) proclaim creation, are part of creation, and it would seem, assist in the evolving creative process. Note that on page 27 of Angels – God's Secret Agents, in Chapter 2, “Angels Are for Real”, Billy Graham says, “The Bible states that Angels, like men, were created by God.” And in Chapter 1 he devotes 3 pages to the similarity of UFOs (and thus presumably aliens) to Angels, not as certainty, but one possibility, saying “UFOs are astonishingly angel-like in some of their reported appearances.””

Earlier this year I learned of the work of Tom Horn, which adds considerable weight to these conclusions. Tom Horn is an evangelical academic of some repute, who via his research for his published book Petrus Romanus and another soon to be published, Exo Vaticana, has gained the confidence of theologians and especially Jesuit and other astronomers in the Vatican. He says that these people talk very openly about the fact that huge UFOs are routinely observed at the facility that Vatican astronomers share at Mt. Graham in Arizona. He says that documentary evidence of this will be in his next book.

The key thing for all Christians to know is that Tom Horn says that these people in the Vatican have been preparing to make an announcement, not just of such observations, but of doctrine that some may think is new, but which Tom Horn
Robert F. Beck

says dates back to an edict of 1277, which said that it is wrong to limit the extent of God's creative ability. What they are planning to say apparently, is not just that it is OK to accept that God created beings of superior intelligence to humans, but that it is heresy NOT to accept it. They think that these beings can guide us to higher spirituality, and use the same analogy that I did in page 274, Chapter 17 of my autobiography quoted above, of elder siblings guiding younger ones.

My source is a radio interview:
http://www.redicecreations.com/radio/2013/02/RIR-130221.php

Important discussion to mention is the question of who the anti-Christ may be, as interjected at various points throughout. Also the question of good and bad aliens and fallen angels. This is why I think that understanding all this is very important. We need to be prepared to try to discern coming mind and faith challenging events. The Bible implies that the evil ones will appear before Christ returns, but is what astronomers are observing the precursor to this, or both this and Christ and his angels manifesting at first in UFOs all over our skies in fulfilment of what Christ told us to look for? Revelation also tells us about war in heaven preceding Satan being cast down (12v7) Thus we can reasonably assume that the good guys are up there with the bad guys right now.

'War in heaven' in the form of battles between UFOs, has been observed before, over Nuremberg in 1561. See http://arcturi.com/AncientAliens/BattleOverNuremburg.html which describes the incident as follows:
“Citizens in Middle age Europe reported strange UFOs battling over the skies of their town. These events were chronicled in the local newspaper the Gazette of the Town of Nuremberg. This was the first time such events were recorded in public records and makes one of the most compelling arguments for ancient aliens.

The earlier risers would have woken to the sight of thousands of crosses, globes, spherical globes, and rods flying in the skies above the city. This reported “very frightful spectacle” lasted hours as two seemingly opposing factions began battling against one another. The globes and rods that apparently had won the battle were reported to have flown into the sun, while the UFOs that were defeated crashed into the Earth into pillars of smoke. The events are extremely remarkable in that they seem to accurately describe an ancient alien battle between two factions at war. The recorders of the event which was said to be, “witnessed by numerous men and women,” by the Gazette, do a fantastic job of accurately describing these unidentified flying objects with objective detail while not understanding the physics of flight at the current understanding of flight and technology.”

And such wars may have been going on in recent times also. See Ed Grimsley's evidence given at the 2009 International UFO congress via the link below:
http://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=ufo+battles+in+the+sky&docid=4941176322721017&mid=58761C3CB63AF29A9B3258761C3CB63AF29A9B32&view=detail&FORM=VIRE4

I find the 1561 account more impressive, because there were so many witnesses, and the event was even recorded in a
woodcut, but this does then give greater credibility to Ed Grimsley's evidence. And this would then be extremely alarming if we did not have the book of Revelation to put it into the perspective of God's long term plan to deal with evil angels. We are told in 12v7 that Michael and the angels under his command will ultimately defeat Satan and his forces, who will be cast down upon the Earth. The implication is clear: UFOs are under the control of good and bad angels – God's created beings, who existed before we did and are thus more advanced.

Why the many reports of abductions, experimentation and animal mutilations happen is a difficult question, but I think that getting to the truth may be hindered without the humility that I suggest in my very first quote in blue. If we accept that there may be created beings more advanced, intelligent or able than ourselves, then instead of questioning why God should allow such things to happen, we might consider that such beings have been allowed to do that which seems painful for us now, because it is in our long term best interest (as a human doctor of medicine might) or in the best interests of God's wider creation. It has seemed obvious to me for some time that in general, deficiencies of human intelligence are the fundamental cause of our own suffering and the suffering and even extinction of other species on this planet. There is much to suggest that we may be on the way to making this planet uninhabitable by us and most other species.

But on the other hand, the abductions etc. may be part of the evil that God's plan is in the process of dealing with. Do evil aliens want to genetically modify us to make us more compliant, or will increased intelligence enable God to
increase our free will to allow us to play a greater role in the universe?

The question of why God should allow evil to persist for millennia before it is ultimately dealt with in the way that the book of Revelation suggests, has seemed imponderable to many minds for all that time. But I think that it may well stem from God's desire to give us free will, for reasons that I will elaborate upon, but in this context, because God knows, probably through billions of years of experience in other solar systems, that by far the best means of His creations learning, is via their own mistakes.

I have been able to ponder why God might have chosen free will for his creations as a result of what God has revealed, that offers possible insight even concerning the origin of Divine, creative intelligence in the early universe and the origins of evil.

My science predicted that large amounts of information can be encoded and transmitted in light and other radiations via the variable spin and orbit of the large numbers of the fundamental, elementary particles that I have worked out must comprise them.

This prediction has been verified by experiments that confirm the storage and passing on of large amounts of information, such as Gariaev's demonstration that DNA can be encoded in modulated laser light, and the similar work of others I have mentioned quite recently: the work of Kozyrev and others with torsion or scalar waves; and more recently, the work of Dr. Emoto and others regarding the structure and memory of water in which human emotions have been shown to have the greatest effect.
The fact that this is via spirals of the tiny particles, as I predicted, also can claim some degree of verification in the computer simulation of plasma, experiments with twisted light, and in the 'phantom DNA' observed by Gariaev. And, of course, I am a long way from being alone in predicting spirals, as did Kozyrev and many others (though I did not know that when I first worked this out following revelations in 2003).

Thus if we start with a universe comprising only the fundamental particles with the characteristics I predict, an ever more complex means of information storage and communication will develop. Eventually such a system would become self-aware and conclude, “I am.”

Thus God evolved, and would wish to continue to evolve as a means of experiencing more and more. And this would eventually mean solar systems and life as we know it on Earth-like planets. Evolution is thus part of creation, but by no means the whole story, as I will amplify below.

In this scenario, the big bang seems less likely than continuous creation based on recycling; and the age of the universe is then likely to be much older than current theory suggests.

Civilizations such as ours may have come and gone so many times, and in so many places, that the nature of it becomes boringly predictable. And this predictability (the explanation of prophesy) is likely to be one of several reasons why God would adopt a policy of minimum intervention, giving free will only up to the point where it could become dangerously destructive.
God is thus creative in nature (one definition of 'goodness') and wants his creations to seek the same aspiration, but knows from vast experience that this is best achieved by allowing that creation to learn for itself the ultimate futility of destructive tendencies. As my Father used to say in teaching me carpentry, “The man who never made a mistake, never made anything”.

But some learn more quickly than others, and God allows this as part of the learning process for limited periods only. This can be understood by a careful study of the Bible; but note that the nature of God and creation in my assessment, is perhaps closer to that of Islam in not having a recognizable image (with “let us create man in our own image” otherwise explainable, see below), and also closer to eastern religions in the sense that everything is interconnected, but with Christ's words, “I am in the Father, and the Father is in me” (John 10v38) equally explainable.

In Daniel, Revelation, and also Christ's own words, especially in Matthew 24, we find the master plan for allowing evil (the fallibility, stupidity and arrogance of aspects of creation in progress) as the means of mankind's education, with the 'final exam' preceding the point where mankind must pass the test for progression to a more enlightened and productive time on this planet, plus what I call, “the theory driving test for starships”.

People accuse Newton of heresy for endeavouring to understand all this, as I have done, but the Bible makes it clear enough that it is our duty to try to understand it. Note Revelation 22v7, “Blessed are those who believe it (that Angels reveal the future predicted by God) and all else
written in the scroll.” In order to believe it you first have to understand it, which is difficult for those without “eyes to see”. First must come faith in God and angels as revealers of truth.

But the 'official Church', which is by no means the real 'Church of Christ' (the mass of all those seeking the ultimate truth of Christ), dislikes anyone but them trying to discern such matters, and especially the true nature of God, because it weakens the perceived authority of this 'Church' and thus its control over the masses.

This arrogance is also part of the learning process, which is why the Catholic Church in particular is in such a mess that its very existence could be under threat. In fact there is a very long standing prediction that the current Pope could be the last of his kind (St. Malachy). True faith, humility and wisdom would have dealt with the current scandal much more decisively when the problem first became apparent. But it is not just the Catholic Church that has been found wanting. Christians in power have demonstrated scant regard for the teachings of Christ, and Church leaders of all denominations have failed to adequately point this out. The ability of humanity to learn lessons from the past, even from acts of great example and sacrifice, such as Christ's, has been abysmal.

We are not alone, however, during the current time of transition (the time scale also worked out by Newton). Those from other civilizations who have successfully made the transition in the past are here as observers or with limited powers of intervention (as they have been in varying degrees throughout our history). There is now all sorts of witness
evidence to support this view. In particular, the state appointed head of UFO research in Russia says that intervention came in the 1908 Tunguska incident, and even that the very dramatic thing that I predicted to be possible, the alteration of Earth orbit, happened as a protective measure in the past. And I have identified a clear interest of these 'guardians' in our development and deployment of nuclear weapons.

Such beings are vastly more advanced than us, and are the Biblical 'angels'. Many will mock, but then become ever more confused and alarmed as events unfold. God is His own creation and thus works through that creation (see Billy Graham's Angels – God's Secret Agents). The Genesis account (which as with the Koran was passed down verbally before it was written down) is based on truth but with man's fallible recollection and interpretation creeping in. It was the angels, carrying out God's will to hasten the development of a more intelligent species on this planet that “created man in their own image”. Christians who are uncomfortable with this view, may be helped by my more thorough justification in Chapter 5.

Part of their work in this part of the universe, however, is not entirely devoted to the problem of mankind. Some more advanced but troublesome aspects of creation need to be dealt with in the process. They are “fallen” in the sense that they, like God, wish to experience through creation, but find destructive tendencies more “entertaining”, and have been deceiving mankind from the start. We have been part of the problem in being very slow to learn the ways that God has provided us for resisting them, but God knew that, and so even at Christ's death and resurrection was preparing to
reveal to John the full extent of the whole solution. Christ knew of this before the crucifixion (except the precise date, which was only known by God at that time) and warned about it, as recorded in Matthew 24, and was given authority to reveal it in coded form in Revelation.

I have provided evidence for the possibility that I have been sent as a light for God's sheep, but as any shepherd will confirm, sheep seem set on self destruction. The key thing that mankind has to learn, to change its ways, loose its destructive tendencies, and to be fit to explore the universe, is humility. I was not ready for that role until I finally learned the humility to trust God more than my own wisdom and abilities, but God knew the time that this would happen and had prepared me for it throughout my life and possibly before it.

Many close their minds to the masses of evidence that exists of beings far more intelligent than us because they simply do not wish to believe that humans are not the most intelligent species. That is also why many also deny the existence of God, and do not strive to find all the evidence that exists for that. Vanity and arrogance are the blinkers of mankind, even in those who choose faith, but one that suits them. People believe what they choose to believe, and stick to it through the arrogance of not being willing to accept error instead of being the genuine seekers of truth that comes from humility.

It is regrettable that some Christians still wish to argue that this planet is at the centre of the solar system and even at the centre of the universe, as Gary Bates did, and to my astonishment, even a fellow member of the NPA recently. My second reply to him had to make the following points:
“You seem to be ignoring the point that I made considering the accuracy of space probes, the trajectories of which were calculated on the basis of a heliocentric solar system. You also need to consider the fact that several hundred other solar systems have been observed on the basis of either small movement of the star or reduction of light from it as an orbiting planet moves in front of it. It is both logical and humble to assume that our solar system conforms with so many other observations, in which the principle that the star is the overwhelmingly massive body is established. My theory predicted that all galaxies should have central, super-dense/massive objects that are the great recyclers of the universe, before that was observed and indicated by observation (new stars forming from material ejected from ‘black holes’ - a term I avoid). In a near death experience, God confirmed this to Mellen-Thomas Benedict and healed him of terminal cancer, as He has me. This is one of many reasons that I have for thinking that God revealed to me the principle that stars, planets and moons are ‘born’ and spiral out. This makes sense of a great deal in our own solar system.”

Christ put humility right at the top of the agenda in the sermon on the mount (Matthew 5). Verse 3 in the Living Bible reads, “Humble men are very fortunate!” he told them, “for the Kingdom of Heaven is given to them.” I prefer more traditional wording of the beatitudes, but this interprets Christ's words in a simple way that might also mean, “Only the humble will be allowed to travel the universe”.
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Chapter 4
Evidence supporting the role of angels in creation.

For some time, my website has contained the following link to the work of Wayne Herschel:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P7MR6DH3NAc

Wayne is another person who has had remarkable experiences that convinced him of the reality of both the spiritual realm and UFOs. And something astonishing happened as I investigated his claims of the significance of the Pleiades to many ancient civilizations, including the Romans. Wayne's claim that the hills of Rome matched the Pleiades seemed unlikely but just possible, and certainly checkable. The problem was that I had limited knowledge in the use of star maps. But I did have an old map of Rome from which I could trace the approximate positions of the hills to enable me to try different orientations relative to a Google star map that I had found. I thought that this may, however, prove quite difficult because of the question of different scales. But the absolutely astonishing result shown below is firstly, that my old map, which was to a very unusual scale (2.5 inches to 1000m), was exactly right; secondly, an incredible match was apparent straight away with the north on my tracing aligned with north on the star map; and thirdly, what you see below (next page) speaks for itself!
Scanned copy of my original tracing, which when held against the computer screen allowed me to mark the stars of the Pleiades in red. The solid black circles or ovals were roughly what appeared to be about the centres of the hills from my old map, and the broken lines what I added later as the fuller extent of some hills.

What is then indicative of the fact that first century Romans were aware of this, is where they chose to build their extension to the walls of Rome, which took in Alcyone, and headed straight towards the remaining two main stars, Pleione and Atlas. Also, when I then used a more detailed contour map, even Maia and the small star next to it were on a ridge See Appendix 3.

All this suggests either that Rome was built here because the ancients considered the Pleiades to be significant, and noticed the remarkable similarity with these hills, or that the Romans later realised the amazing coincidence, and knew or learned of some significance of the Pleiades. Or do the two stars close to the twin peaks of the Capitoline hill, and in approximate alignment with them, suggest that the
realization of a near match with the other hills at an earlier time led to an attempt to complete the picture? We might ponder the possibility that some intelligence greater than human may also have been involved. The fact that the match with these two stars is not perfect could imply human fallibility or that a match had been balanced with other factors, such as defensibility and suitability for development. Whatever the case, we have here a most remarkable match that represents a great mystery, for which there may well be some explanation other than astonishing coincidence.

The work of Wayne Herschel does suggest that not only can we see the significance of the Pleiades in many other ancient human civilizations, but also at Cydonia on Mars, where Wayne demonstrates a match to pyramid like structures possibly even more remarkable than the above, including 30 correlations between size and brightness, which is only very approximate in my drawing above, partly because of my drawing skills using what is available on my computer.

The remarkable thing then, is that what Dan Brown says about Washington appears possible true, with Wayne's demonstration of astonishing congruence with the final position of the Pentagon (as I discovered was decided by 32 degree Mason FDR), with a five sided pyramid with a north pointer in exactly the right location on Mars to make the face correspond to the Washington Obelisk, and the Pleiades pyramids with Arlington and Rosslyn, especially as illuminated at night.

What may be significant about the fact that FDR decided on the location for the Pentagon, changing it from the originally chosen location, is that a younger Roosevelt was involved in
the exploration of the Oak Island 'Templar treasure pit', bearing in mind that the 'real' treasure might have been secret knowledge, as possibly indicated by masonic-like symbols on a stone that was found.

I hope that readers are rapidly connecting the dots of this and all that I have said about Mars! Those who watch Wayne's videos may also connect the dots re the light beam from the star and the evidence I have presented regarding teleportation.

Advanced beings able to do this, would have known what Gariaev and others have already discovered on this planet, that DNA can be changed via encoded light and other waves. Did the God that they would have worked out the nature of from this knowledge as I did, tell them to come to create man in THEIR own image? Why does Genesis say, “Let US create man in OUR image”? Did various ancient civilizations confuse 'God' or 'gods' with angels, i.e. advanced beings from either Mars or other star systems? Much is presented in the series, Ancient Aliens to show similarities between descriptions of the different 'gods' of different cultures.

My views here seem to agree with those of Zecharia Sitchin as made clear in his interview with Maxwell Jordan of 1997: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bt0cpalM168](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bt0cpalM168) [transcript here (though not complete): [http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sitchin/esp_sitchin_19.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sitchin/esp_sitchin_19.htm)]

This interview was conducted at the "World Conference of Planetary Violence in Human History, January 3-5, 1997."
Maxwell: It is an honor to be here in your company Mr. Sitchin. For many years I've enjoyed following your work in the field of ancient theology. My first question is about the word Yahweh, one of the names of God in Hebrew. Is it a proper name or is Yahweh, in Hebrew, describing something?

Sitchin: It is a descriptive term. It is not a proper name.

Maxwell: That is what I thought. Could you explain exactly what it is describing?

Sitchin: It is usually translated "I am who I am" — something like that. More accurately the tense, which in Hebrew it says Ehyeh-Asher-Ehyeh, is a future tense. Therefore it means "I will be whoever I will be," in context of the whole biblical tale and with a throwback to the Sumerian information that I provide in my books. It really means "I can be whoever I choose to be."

Maxwell: There was a professor I heard once talking about Yahweh and he said it also implied stored power that when it is released it has something to do with the creative power being released. Does that track with your understanding?

Sitchin: There must be one power — to use the term that you mentioned from that professor — one power, one creator, one God, whatever we mean by that, who created everything. He not only created, in my opinion, but even determined the course of events from beginning to end, and not only faiths like ours, but at the end of my book Divine Encounters I give a translation of a Hebrew prayer which is called Lord of the Universe, which clearly says this concept of Lord of the Universe, not of this solar system, not of the planet, was there before anything began and will be there after everything ends.
How does this fit in with the Sumerian tales of Anunnaki who came here from another planet in our solar system? How does it dovetail with the tales of the Bible? It dovetails by saying that this entity, whether it has a shape or a form, I do not know, acts through emissaries. This is the meaning, as I understand and expound it in the last chapter in the book, this is the meaning of what God answered Moses. He says,

"I can be whoever I want to be. So I can be and live, I can be Enki, because they are only my emissaries."

This, I think, is the truth of what we have to understand from the Bible.

Maxwell: I attended a lecture once with a Lee and Vivian Gladden, who I think I have mentioned before to you in passing. They wrote a book about the same subject, it was called Heirs of the Gods where they talked about the celestials or the extraterrestrials and they made the point that there were only two scriptures in the Bible, both Old and New Testaments, where the word "God" implied a divine overshadowing, creative force of all creation, as opposed to all the other places, except those two, that talked about Elohim, which was different from the word.

Sitchin: Gods with a small "g." This must be understood. These are gods with a small "g" who in turn were the emissaries of God with a capital "G." And in the New Testament on which I am not as expert as on the Old Testament, but even there, there is the statement that "I am Alpha and I am Omega, I am the first and I am the last, I am the beginning and I am the end," which is exactly what the Hebrew phrase states.
Maxwell: There were so many questions in relation to that but I am very interested in the "sons of God" also. Were the Elohim the "sons" of the sons of God, or were the Elohim the "sons of God?"

Sitchin: They were the Anunnaki. And it is their sons born on earth who married the daughters of Adam.

Maxwell: We can say then that the Elohim were the ones that in the Hebrew were referred to as the Sons of God?

Sitchin: No. The Elohim are what the Sumerians called the Anunnaki, "those who from heaven to earth came." They are Elohim in the Bible. Indeed, when you encounter this term and most in connection with the so-called pagan gods, that are also called Elohim in the Bible, indeed, at some point Joshua gives the Israelites, before they cross the Jordan into the Promised Land, he says, "You now have a choice, make up your mind, do you want to follow the Elohim of Egypt, or do you want to follow the Elohim of Mesopotamia, or do you want to follow Yahweh?" — the monotheistic concept of one God that rules, controls, designs, etc., everything.

I also take from this that, “I will be whoever I will be” also implies my conclusion that God evolves, because God is His own creation from the point when the universe of interacting tiny particles became self aware, thinking “I am!” or “I am who I am”, followed by “I will be who I will be”, on realising the creative potential of the interacting particles. (I do not agree with Sitchin that God was there before anything began; particles had to exist first. If we argue that the particles had to be made, we get into the infinite regression of logic starting with who made God? If it is presupposed that a creative force called 'God' can just exist without being created, why can't indivisible particles, which by definition,
require no assembly?) God is the universe, and we know that the universe is constantly changing. As I predicted, it was recently observed that new stars form from material ejected by 'black holes'. Stars are swallowed and new stars are spat out (effectively) - “Behold, I make all things new.”(Revelation 21.5) God is in all things and all things are in God. So God was in and could work through the Elohim (another sense of “I will be whoever I will be”). And, of course, God is also in all natural processes, and so God also works through 'natural' selection. Evolution is as much part of creation as interventions by Elohim or even us, though medical science for instance, or those aspects of religion that make us more co-operative and thus potentially more successful as a species.

The transcript of the Zecharia Sitchen interview unfortunately misses out the views expressed by Sitchen, that Genesis can be interpreted as supporting evolution (which of course, clearly does not mean as a process that replaces the need for God as creator). To demonstrate how some other scientists are also able to see physics as now supporting the idea of God working through evolutionary processes from the smallest level up, I have quoted below from a recent email:

“I have recently returned from a very inspiring conference in Italy. It was put together by the recently-formed World Institute for Scientific Exploration (WISE). The subject was: “Life-Energy, Syntropy and Resonance”.

When people ask me about the conference, I want to be able to tell them something about what syntropy is, and why it is important, without going into too much physics, and especially not into really difficult concepts like retro-causality.
So what is syntropy? The simple answer is: the opposite of entropy. As we know, any discharge of physical energy brings about an increase in entropy, in accordance with the 2nd law of thermodynamics, and entropy is characterised by the breakdown of order. On the other hand, life appears to be able to defy this law, and so to put together disordered molecules into an exquisitely-ordered organism. This “Life-Energy” has been given the apt name *syntropy* by the brilliant, but little-known Italian mathematician Luigi Fantappie.

Fantappie saw syntropy as being a fundamental feature of the universe from the very beginning. Thus, long before what we call “life” appeared, the universe was becoming more ordered. From the unitary simplicity of the big bang, to particles, to atoms, to compounds, and through all Darwinian evolution to our human species.

During this process there has been a continuous increase in complexity. Thus identical units (e.g. electrons and protons) came together to form more complex and varied units: atoms. These now have emergent properties far beyond those of their component parts in isolation. Just think of how the simple Hydrogen and Oxygen came together to yield the extraordinary emergent properties of water. And organisms themselves have become immeasurably more complex, with emergent properties appearing at each major stage.

Arthur Koestler wrote of this process as a succession of “holons”: each holon being the more complex result of simpler subunits, or holons, coming together. Note how the subunits must lose some of their independence in order to co-operate in the formation of a more-inclusive holon. They also become differentiated: e.g. identical single cells lose their independence in order to co-operate within a multicellular organism, and then play different roles within it.

Each of these stages represents the appearance of something new which *never existed before* in the whole universe. One could say
the same for the major steps in biological evolution. Whereas gradual small changes are adequately accountable by neo-Darwinian mechanisms alone, the more radical novelties are less easily explained, and have given rise to theories of “punctate” evolution.

Here I have to bring in the quantum interpretation of reality. (This has been with us for 100 years, but has yet to percolate very much into our general world-view). Nevertheless, no-one who is aware of it can escape the conclusion that, at some subtle level, everything is connected. This kind of “non-local” connection, or “entanglement” has been proved without a doubt for subatomic particles, and even for molecules as large as “buckyballs”. And on the larger scale it forms a much more satisfying account of how all the millions of molecules making up a living organism can continue to hang together as such precise, persisting, and moreover, highly-dynamic patterns. Indeed, there is plenty of evidence that such quantum connections may extend in non-local fashion to any distance. Thus many scientists now think we can consider the whole universe as a vast living organism.

To come back to the essential step where subunits come together to co-operate within a larger whole, there are plenty of examples from human society. Even a man and a woman, when they come together, lose some of their independence to become co-operative units in a larger whole. Together, as a couple, they have capabilities beyond those available to them as individuals. And this is abundantly true (not always for good) for larger groups as well. Thus our history, although chequered, has been towards the formation of ever larger groupings – now even with a functioning United Nations.

Why would two individuals choose to lose some independence in order to become part of a co-operative unit? The reason is that they are motivated by love. This is a loaded word of course, but in its broadest sense, it can be extended to apply to the tendency motivating all the afore-mentioned creative steps which have led to the evolution of the incredibly complex and beautiful universe
we now see.

When the legacy of 19th century materialist/mechanist science banished the personal God, it also threw out the whole spiritual dimension. Yet now, with the theory of syntropy we can see the beginnings of a new mode of faith, because the universe has obviously had *creativity* from the beginning, and seems to have something analogous to *love*. It may also (I think) have had transcendence from the beginning – in the sense of the subtle unifying quantum field which is beyond the reach of our instruments or our rational minds.

This theory does I think represent an enormous paradigm shift. This is because it takes us away from the vision formerly bequeathed us by science: of a cold desolate universe, arising by random chance, and lacking in any deep meaning, to a new, and *equally scientific* vision of a universe based on love. Although often painful, this universe is now ultimately meaningful, and thus restorative of our faith – in humanity, the future and everything.

So, what might be the next big step in evolution, the next incursion of radical novelty? There are indications that it may be bringing together the whole human race as a vastly greater whole, along the lines of the theory of Theilhard De Chardin. This would take place first at the subtle quantum level, and then in the material world it would mean that human competition would progressively give way to human co-operation. A radical transformation of this sort now seems increasingly urgent if we are to survive the next century.

The poet Matthew Arnold felt very keenly the loss of faith engendered by science, which has left us with a sad world having:

……really neither joy, nor love, nor light,
Nor certitude, nor peace, nor help for pain;

Dr Ulisse Di Corpo, a mathematician and principal instigator of the conference, had found these ideas coming to him before he
encountered Fantappie's work. Becoming frustrated that he could not get scientists interested, he wrote his ideas in the form of a novel. It has now been translated into English with the title:

*The Theorem of Love*

Does not this, with the mathematical precision of the word “theorem”, perfectly bring all the attributes given by religions to God into the scientific arena? Except that now, instead of being somehow above and separate from it, they are inherent within the universe, and have been from the beginning.

Let's Bring on the Millennium!

Roger” (Roger Taylor, in an email copied to me of 2nd September 2013)

Dr. Stevenson (mentioned above) has also agreed that God evolves, as the following interview question and answer reveal:

- **Omni**: Do you see in reincarnation a glimpse of a larger purpose?

  **Stevenson**: Well, yes, I do. My idea of God is that He is evolving. I don't believe in the watchmaker God, the original creator who built the watch and then lets it tick. I believe in a "Self-maker God" who is evolving and experimenting; so are we as parts of Him. Bodies wear out; souls may need periods for rest and reflection. Afterward one may start again with a new body.

**Omni Magazine** interview with Dr. Ian Stevenson published in 1988
In considering creation as described in Genesis, whilst it is important to consider the probability that stems from the above, that the whole thing is based on confusion that may have arisen between what is God and what are only beings that God was working through, we can also look carefully at the text to see if apparent conflicts with scientific knowledge might otherwise be resolved. I and others have suggested that six days might be six periods of considerable length. In this respect it is important to note that Genesis refers to what God called day and night THREE of these 'days' before the sun was created. No Earth 'day' existed when we are told that God first created light.

Also, plants and trees thrived on the third day, so ignoring for now the problem of how this was possible without the Sun, we are faced with the prospect of these growing and maturing in one Earth day, to the extent that they produced seeds and fruit, or God's 'days' were much longer than Earth days. But given the knowledge we have now, surely it makes much more sense to think that the truth is almost certainly that God created the Sun before the Earth, and that the writers of Genesis did not have enough passed down information or knowledge to get this logical order of things correct.

Such apparent inconsistencies either point to doubt about God's days and Earth days being equivalent, or more likely, that Genesis was man's feeble attempt to interpret truth that had been passed down to some degree verbally, and thus subject to error creeping in.

But there is often a great reluctance amongst Christians to accept error in any form in the Bible, despite these and other inconsistencies. Part of the problem is that these Christians also tend to wish to believe that they alone are right and all
other religions are wrong. I dealt with this in my autobiography (page 205) as follows:

“The insistence that ones own religion alone must be right to the exclusion of all others has caused much suffering in the past and is likely to do so again. If it upsets anyone to hear this I apologise, but you must learn from past mistakes and consider all possibilities. As with physicists, I say this with respect. I have great admiration for all people of faith and for the great things they have done, for their sacrifices, immense good work and contribution to so much of what we can call humanity. But there has been a dark side, even to religion and it generally stems from dogma.

It is dogma that seeks to control, to manipulate for gain or power, and to dominate. It is, by its very nature, exclusive and thus divisive, born of arrogance and leading to conflict, denying the humility that is the hallmark of good religion. It pre-supposes that we can know all there is to know about God who, almost by definition and surely by experience, is beyond complete comprehension. We can, as I have done, consider and evaluate possibilities, but if we apply the most sound philosophic basis to our conclusions, we have to accept the inevitability of uncertainty.

Individuals can, of course, on the basis of personal experience, have their own judgement of the certainty of God’s existence and aspects of His nature. This is now true of me, though in my case it is based on the huge improbability of me, as little more than carpenter and tool sharpener at the time, coming up with ideas that appear to solve the greatest mysteries and enigmas of physics. So to be true to my scientific aspirations I have to accept some element of doubt. It is just that consideration of all factors leads me to the conclusion that such element of doubt is very small indeed.
It may have been desirable and possible in the past for the masses to have simple and mostly unquestioning faith, based on familiar concepts. But with new technologies and discoveries and the growth in communication, which has recently become exponential, faith has become a whole new ball game. It is because people’s ideas of God have been naïve that when they realise that they do not appear to fit with reality (probable reality) they lose faith, instead of more logically modifying their notion of what God may actually be.

This is a very uncomfortable notion for the Church, however. The whole idea of individuals coming to their own conclusions about the nature of God dilutes its message, authority and the extent of its control, which threatens its very existence. But just as we have faith and trust in our earthly fathers, and then discover that it is not so much that they change, but that our understanding of them must grow, and that they teach us things and reveal things about themselves at appropriate times, so the Church must consider that this could be the way that its child-like relationship with God may be intended to develop. If it does, just like a big brother guiding younger siblings, it can retain the love and respect of the masses. This “Big Brother”, unlike the 1984 version or the reality TV series, would retain the humanity of inherent fallibility and genuine interest in truth, wisdom and justice.”

So I say to all who read this, whether Christian, of other faith, or atheist, observe in all I have written, just how much God has revealed to me since I decided to trust God instead of human fallibility, including my own. Be willing to look for new truth that God may be revealing now from these fallible writings.
Chapter 5
The Most Profound Implications for Christianity

Clearly, the most profound implications of all that I have written above, relate to what we might deduce of the nature of Christ Himself.

Is Christ just a high ranking Elohim, and thus as Sitchen put it, 'god' with a small 'g', or can we still stick to Christian theology that puts Jesus almost on a par with God with a capital 'G', i.e. God the Father, which Jesus, Himself told the disciples knows more than He did (Matthew 24.36), emphasising some degree of difference?

One of the most revealing scriptures on this point is, I think, John 10.34, where Jesus responds to those accusing him of blasphemy for calling Himself God, by asking, “Is it not written in your Law, “I have said you are gods”? (NIV) Apparently Jesus was referring to Psalm 82v6: “I said, 'You are gods; you are all sons of the Most High.'” But as verse 7 goes on to say, “But you will die like mere men;”, so it is clear that Jesus chose to point out that even men, who die, are sons of God. But Jesus is not equating himself to 'mere men' because he goes on in John 10v36 to say, “What about the one whom the Father set apart as his very own and sent into the world”, which clearly is reference to Himself.

So here are two very important points. Firstly, if Christ says men are gods, then we have to assume that he would say the same of beings more advanced than human, which supports views such as Sitchen's, and the science that now indicates that God (with a capitol G as God the Father) is in all things.
Secondly, the words, “set apart as his very own” imply that Christ was more than any of these 'gods'. And of course, as Jesus asked his accuser's to consider, we have Christ's miracles as evidence of that. We also have all that Christ is recorded to have said and done, including rise from the dead exactly as he predicted, as the means by which those with adequate discernment can choose to believe that Christ was more than human, and more than any other being in creation. This is also indicated by the words of an angel on the last page of the Bible, when John fell down to worship him, “No, don't do anything like that. I too am a servant of Jesus...”

Of course, the second point goes without saying for church going Christians, but I need to set out the evidence for non-Christians or those of no faith. But I think that my first point is something that most Christians will find new and challenging. I have attended many church services in several different churches, and not once in these, nor in religious education at school, was John 10v34 mentioned as far as I can remember. It is, I think, something that would be avoided, because not only does it seem to contradict standard Church theology (e.g. one God in the form of the Holy Trinity) it has been hard to make sense of, and thus to preach about. As in science, in order to develop an argument about a single word term, we first have to define what the term means. Therein lies the problem, because straight away it seems hard to define 'god' or 'gods' without implying some attribute beyond human capability.

But it is now possible to make sense of it via the knowledge that God has revealed to me. If God is in all creation, including humans, we ARE God manifesting in a particular way. But more than this, I have presented evidence above of
humans doing apparently super-human things, Mary of Agreda for instance; and in my other writings I mention many cases of human levitation including a large number of Christian saints.

In my first published book, The Special Theory of Reality, I mentioned that in The World Atlas of Mysteries (1978), Francis Hitching records many instances of levitation throughout history and all over the World. D. D. Home levitated many times and performed the feat in front of large numbers of witnesses over 40 years. These included Thackeray, Emperor Napoleon III, Ruskin, Rossetti, Mark Twain and, most notably, William Crookes, one of the era’s renowned scientists, later to be knighted and become president of the British Association for the Advancement of Science. He wrote in the Quarterly Journal of Science: ‘The phenomena I am prepared to attest are so extraordinary, and so directly oppose the most firmly – rooted articles of scientific belief – amongst others, the ubiquity and invariable action of the force of gravitation – that, even now, on recalling the details of what I witnessed, there is an antagonism in my mind between reason, which pronounces it to be scientifically impossible, and the consciousness that my senses, both touch and sight, are not lying witnesses.’

Apparently there were, at the time the Atlas of Mysteries was compiled, 230 Catholic saints who had levitated. Two such cases are mentioned in detail and are particularly compelling. They relate to St.Teresa of Avila, who recorded the sensations in her biography, and St.Joseph of Coppertino who levitated many times over a long period, and in front of many witnesses including the Pope, Princess Maria of Savoy and King Kasimir V of Poland. More than 100 such
incidents were attested by scholars and for much of his life St. Joseph was required by his superiors to worship in private because his feats were so disruptive. And we must remember that the teachings of Jesus state clearly that we are capable of what most people would term 'superhuman' things if we have adequate faith. This and the evidence above indicate that we all have latent 'god-like' powers within us. And this can be explained via the conclusion that many have no idea that science now points to the probability that God is in us. Those of us who claim to have been, 'filled by the Holy Spirit' may have been just accessing that which lies in all of us, but which can only be accessed via adequate faith.

Moving on to other profound implications, I discovered in March this year (2013) that we do possibly have significant evidence concerning at least part of what the purpose of UFO activity may be. One of the most impressive accounts of UFO activity in the UK was the Rendlesham Forest incident of 1980. See link below:
http://www.therendleshamforestincident.com/Home.html
I had learned from a History Channel Ancient Aliens programme, that one of the US airmen involved, who had actually touched the UFO, received visions of a binary code on doing so, which persisted long enough for him to record in his notebook. He kept this secret for many years, but recently had it decoded. The result may have relevance to my very first quote regarding the fitness of humans to proceed to the exploration of the universe and possibly to Biblical end times (end of the age – not end of the world).

See:
http://www.therendleshamforestincident.com/Binary_Code_
The words revealed, with minor interpolations were:
EXPLORATION OF HUMANITY - CONTINUOUS - FOR PLANETARY ADVANCE

Also included were co-ordinates of a now sunken Island off the south west coast of Ireland: 52.0942532N 13.131269W. This is Hy-Brasil, historically purported to have been the home of people with advanced knowledge.

At the time of writing this the relevant extract from the Ancient Aliens programme can still be found here: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fpYQ-3-pU5g

The account of Hy-Brazil here: http://blog.strangenewsdaily.net/2011/01/the-mysterious-island-of-hy-brasil-the-other-atlantis/ which also mentions the whole decoded message, suggests to me that the 'island' may in fact be a very large USO disguised as an island.

Note in particular:

“According to legends of long ago, Hy-Brasil was a secret land once ruled by priests. These priests held the secrets to the universe and had access to ancient, but powerful knowledge. In folklore, this island country takes its name from Breasal, the High King of the World, in Celtic history. The island is said to be cloaked in mist, except for one day each seven years, when it became visible but could still not easily be reached...........The last sighting of the island occurred in 1872. Author T. J. Westropp and several companions claim to have seen the island appear and then vanish.”

Note what we find in the site below:
http://www.reconnections.net/hy_brasil.htm:

“They (presumably fishermen or other sailors) say they (the occupants of the 'island') keep the stars there in golden cages, deep within a great golden tower, releasing them at sunset to fly into the sky.”

Only in the context of all that I have been guided to discover, can I ascribe a reasonable degree of probability that the binary codes may be genuine, rather than perhaps the American airmen seeking to profit from the publicity now; they are writing a book. But my judgement of Jim Penniston's character via seeing him talk in person helps a little more. And it is only my own further research that reinforces the UFO/USO connection.

Further research now reveals that more has been decoded from Jim Penniston's notebook. Note from this site: http://garyosborn.moonfruit.com/#/rendlesham-code-update-2013/4576363903 that Gary Osborn learned that there are 16 pages of binary code in total, and discovered that aside from Hy Brasil, the following were also encoded: Giza in Egypt, Sedona, Hopi Indian region in Arizona, an ancient Mayan pyramid site in the Yucatan region in Central America, a location at Nazca in Peru, an ancient site on an island in Greece, and an ancient location in China. He said:

“The additional layer of information which I found as a result of examining all seven coordinates and which reveals these seven cultures were connected by a 'system of knowledge' as is evident in their myths, their architecture and symbolism, hasn't been made public as yet.”
Gary Osborn writes on this subject at great length, and it would not be right to quote at length from it. But those readers interested in this subject and what I have said about Mars, should note as I did the implication, from pyramids, angles, masonic secrets, the involvement of Wayne Herschel and others mentioned in my writings, that much of this does seem to be linked to diverse information that I have been guided to learn, pointing to early colonization of this planet by advanced beings from Mars.

I need to make very clear at this point that the fact that I refer to masonic secrets, which I will go on to do at some length, must not be taken to indicate any agreement on my part with such practices. As a dedicated seeker of truth, I abhor secrecy, and consider it to be the cause of potential great injustice and suffering for the poor, via the elite keeping knowledge of advanced technologies to itself. But because they are wrong to keep things secret does not mean that their secret information is wrong. The purpose of a light is to illuminate dark places.

Note also from the page below: http://garyosborn.moonfruit.com/#/poussin-codes-part-1/4574227508 that Gary Osborn says that ancient knowledge from many cultures, “communicates the belief that everyone of us has the potential to reach Godhood as we are all manifestations of God.” So I am guided to yet another source supporting my interpretation via science of what Christ said, through which what was once thought to be heretical, can be seen as supportive, not contrary to Christ's teachings.

So, on the basis that Penniston's binary code may well be
genuine, we can ponder if 'planetary advance' has anything to do with transition from one level of civilization to the next, which might perhaps mean various things, from an injection of knowledge to the dramatic Earth changes described in Revelation. In the latter respect, it is important to remember that Christ spoke of the end of the age, and that Revelation does not imply the end of the world, except possibly after the thousand years of peace, which clearly has not already happened. I also think Christ's words, “Blessed are the meek, for they shall inherit the Earth”, point to this time of peace being on this planet.

Those investigating Gary Osborn's page above may notice the reference to secret codes and the French painter, Poussin. Nicolas Poussin (1594-1665) was commissioned by an influential cardinal, who was eventually to become Pope, to paint *The Arcadian Shepherds*, and this painting could be what links ancient knowledge to the possible link that I have suggested, between the Oak Island 'treasure pit' and the correlation between Cydonia on Mars and Washington suggested by Wayne Herschel, via Franklin D. Roosevelt.

The National Geographic Channel programme entitled *The Templars' Lost Treasure* contained much to suggest this possibility. It mentioned the great interest in Poussin and his work by influential and powerful people during his lifetime and since. It tells of a letter between mutual friends of Poussin and the King of France, which seems to suggest that the painter held the key to some fantastic secret. The following is the relevant extract from the letter:

“He and I have planned certain things about which I will be
able to fill you in shortly, things that because of Monsieur Poussin, will give you advantages that Kings would find it difficult to extract from him; and perhaps after him, nobody will rediscover for centuries to come. And these are things so difficult to search for that nothing on Earth could be a better fortune and cannot be equalled”

The programme went on to tell us that in Rome, in the church of San Lorenzo in Lucina, which was erected on ruins linked to an ancient Christian cult, behind a door which opens to reveal a throne used exclusively by the Pope in privy council meetings, is a monument dedicated to Nicolas Poussin. Beneath his bust is an engraved replica of his painting, The Arcadian Shepherds. It is this mysterious picture that was chosen to honour his memory above hundreds of others. A fellow countryman, the novelist Chateaubriand, had the monument erected in 1832. It featured a Latin verse that paid homage to Poussin, but it also seems to suggest that Poussin conveyed secrets through his work:

“Hold back your pious tears, Poussin lives in this tomb. He had given his life without knowing how to die. He keeps quiet in here, but if you want to hear him speak, it is surprising how he lives and speaks through his paintings.”

In The Arcadian Shepherds the Latin verse to which the shepherd points on the tomb, “Et in Arcadia Ego” translates as, “Even in Arcadia, there am I”, but interestingly the anagram for it, I! Tego arcana dei, translates to "I keep God's secrets". In the programme, it was suggested that the simple translation may mean that even in paradise, there is still death (as represented by the tomb in Arcadia), which
might be another way of saying that even in the next life, there will be death, meaning that the eternal soul can be incarnated in more than one perishable body. But I think the anagram is more likely in the whole context of this discussion. Or perhaps after reading on, another possibility emerges.

The link to the money pit comes via the fact that the fabulously wealthy English Navigator, George Anson, made possible some great building works at Shugborough Hall, including a modified carved replica of Poussin's *The Arcadian Shepherds*. In this, the image is reversed as in a mirror, a pyramid has been added above the tomb and there seem to be additions suggesting a further possible encoded message. These include a line of letters, below which are the letters 'D' and 'M'. The persuasive interpretation provided in the programme is that things such as a missing finger that would otherwise point to the letter 'M', the shepherds thumb now appearing rub out the letter 'r' in Arcadia, and other letters or codes for letters hidden in the carving, point to the Canadian province, Nova Scotia, the location of Oak Island. Significantly, the old French name for Nova Scotia is Acadia.

So bearing in mind that the programme went on to show a photograph of several people including a young FDR at the 'money pit', and what I have revealed about FDR changing the location of the Pentagon, enabling Wayne Herschel to make comparison with Mars, pointing to the significance of the Pleiades, can we also suggest that “Even in Arcadia, there am I” was Poussin saying, “Secret knowledge about God can be found in Nova Scotia”? Was the clever Poussin aware that both the phrase and its anagram encapsulated the
meanings he intended to convey?

Bearing in mind that the deciphering of the Shugborough Hall monument has become known as the 'DM code' it is not surprising that the National Geographic programme mentioned the following Nostradamus quatrain:

    When the inscription DM is found
    In the ancient cave revealed by a lamp
    Law, the King and Prince Ulpion tried
    The Queen and Duke in pavilion under cover

    Century VIII, quatrain 66

The interpretation suggested in the programme was:

“When the DM code is decrypted, a very ancient underground place will be found. Then laws, kings and princes will be seriously tried.”

Wikipedia tells me that Prince Ulpion was an ancient Roman advisor to Ceasar, so perhaps we might infer that Nostradamus used that as shorthand for 'governments' in the wider sense, i.e. more than royality or elected officials. It is certainly clear to me and other UFO researchers that governments have kept the truth about UFOs from the public, but that many elected representatives may not believe that. I have also seen evidence from various TV documentaries on UFOs to strongly suggest that the Queen and Duke of Edinburgh must at least have a very good idea of the truth. Does, 'pavilion under cover' imply using their high position to conceal knowledge?
The historian on the National Geographic programme, Professor Helen Nicholson of Cardiff University, questioned why the Templars would take their treasure to Nova Scotia, when the whole point of the order was to protect Jerusalem. She suggested that the Vikings may have been responsible for the Oak Island 'money pit'. But because I have noted that National Geographic programmes about UFOs frequently present a range of evidence that is convincing as a whole, followed by a debunking expert in some field who obviously ignores very significant aspects of the evidence, I suspected that she had been interviewed without being fully acquainted with all the evidence that was to be included in the programme. Professor Nicholson has kindly confirmed via email that this was the case, as follows:

“You are right to suspect that I haven't seen the National Geographic production; I certainly didn't have any information about the theories propounded in the programme before I gave the interview. I understand that my statements were considerably edited.”

Thus we have to consider all her comments in this context, and I bow to her obviously much greater historical knowledge. Whilst it seemed naive to me to suggest that the Templars would not have taken their opportunities to investigate what treasures the temple mount may conceal in terms of either knowledge or financial wealth, I have much less knowledge than Professor Nicholson when it comes to the extent to which such opportunities may have been likely. I take the view that they might have been diverted from their original goal, either to some degree initially on significant finds, or much more so after many decades, and surely upon their whole existence, and with it possibly knowledge of
awesome significance, being threatened by the king of France! She said that nothing was written about the templars investigating the temple mount, and that they liked to advertise their activities to attract funding. But would the templars, who she said were attracting plenty of money for their activities anyway, advertise the fact that they had discovered either fantastic treasure in order that people might send them more, or the fact that they found knowledge that would embarrass the established Church? Also, Wikipedia says, “Many of the Templar legends are connected with the Order's early occupation of the Temple Mount in Jerusalem......That the Templars were in possession of some relics is certain.”

Of course, one would like to think of the Templars as devout Christians on a mission that they would then surely put above financial gain, but is this also likely to be naive? Perhaps male perspective may help a little in this respect, because I have to suspect that at least some of the knights were as much seeking adventure and opportunity to use their skills as being driven by faith. There were quite a lot of them. Wikipedia says that, “..it is estimated that at the Order's peak there were between 15,000 and 20,000 Templars, of whom about a tenth were actual knights.”

I must concede that all the evidence is circumstantial, only pointing to possibilities, rather than establishing certainty of truth. But this is the very nature of the scientific process; we collect evidence that appears to show something, but we never accept it as proven truth. We test it by gathering further evidence, but the true philosophy of science is that this eventually points to probable truth, never absolute truth. Note my early quote of Dr.Kirsten Birkett in this respect. But
the greatest crime in science is to ignore evidence that does not suit your case.

Thus I hope that Professor Nicholson will note that the warning stone was inscribed with symbols used by freemasons, which is far more suggestive of Templars than Vikings. Viking runes were nothing like Greek letters, even though they may have been partly based on them. See http://www.scribd.com/doc/4917013/vikings-runes

And although the dating of the coconut matting given in the programme (Wikipedia suggests later) is early enough for Vikings, can we assume that the Vikings travelled far enough and with the resources and desire to obtain huge quantities of this material, grown only in far more southerly latitudes? And which of the two would be most likely to have such wealth or knowledge to warrant incredible engineering works to conceal it, the Vikings or the Templars? But remember that Professor Nicholson had been denied much of the evidence that I first found in the programme, and have had time to ponder and investigate further.

The matter might be resolved if we can adequately confirm what I have discovered recently, which is that is has been claimed that the warning stone is a type of material found in Egypt, and that the symbols can be translated as Coptic Christian saying:

“The people shall not forget the Lord. To offset the hardships of winter and the onset of plague...... The Arif, he shall pray to the Lord.”

But I have to doubt both claims, because the original
warning stone was replaced by a substitute on which the symbols were carved for public display, with uncertainty about the fate of the original. And why would anyone go to great lengths to bury a stone saying only the above? A quick check of the Coptic alphabet only increases my doubts.

Of course, Oak Island 'money pit' may be a red herring. FDR may have obtained the secret knowledge from the local fellow Freemasons that the National Geographic programme also told us about, while he was working on the pit. And it may be that George Anson or his brother knew from the former's travels and high level connections that the freemasons in Nova Scotia had secret knowledge that Poussin was also privy to. And, of course, all 32 degree masons such as FDR may have access to the knowledge of mankind's true origins, as Wayne Hershel's work does imply.

As suggested in Wikipedia, the 'money pit' may have been a sink hole, though in my view, clearly not 'just' a sink hole, because of the regularity of the wooden structures, which the descriptions of the first excavators made clear were far more than random logs from a cave in. And then you have to explain why on earth would an inscribed stone be then found so deep, just above the point where the pit flooded as excavation proceeded. It is far more likely that those wanting to securely bury something of great value took advantage of an existing sink hole. What is very clear is that someone went to a lot of trouble to fill in the sink hole (if that is what it was) in an organised way; I would suggest in a way that would attract those intended to discover its secrets. But the sink hole theory ignores some evidence, which is the description provided by the first excavators of pick axe marks on the wall, which encouraged them to keep
digging, as it was clear that the hole had been excavated. But, of course, it could have started as a sink hole that was enlarged. What Wikipedia [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oak_Island](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oak_Island) does do more reasonably, is to provide the following in support of links between Oak Island and Freemasons:

“Mark Finnan in his book *Oak Island Secrets*[^27] noted that many Masonic markings were found on Oak Island and pointed out that the shaft or pit and its mysterious contents seemed to replicate aspects of a Masonic initiation rite involving a hidden vault containing a sacred treasure. Joe Nickell identifies parallels between the accounts of Oak Island and the allegory of the "Secret Vault" in York Rite Freemasonry, similar to the *Chase Vault*, identifies many prominent excavators as Freemasons, and suggests that the accounts explicitly include Masonic imagery.[^2] The most thorough and comprehensive treatment to date of the masonic aspects of the Oak Island Legend is given by Freemason Dennis King in his article "The Oak Island Legend: The Masonic Angle".

Significantly, in the latter article, Freemason Dennis King identifies ten points of similarity between descriptions of the Oak Island 'money pit' and masonic rituals. But I have to suspect his motives in agreeing with Joe Nickell that some descriptions were added to make it look like that, because he omits to use his knowledge to discuss the warning stone. This is littered with the very same Greek letters that Masonic fraternities use to identify themselves. In the case of the largest international fraternity it is phi delta theta, which all appear on the warning stone, delta and phi three times each.
Also, inverted delta appears three times, and that can mean, inter-alia, water (relevant to the warning) and religion (relevant to secret knowledge of God), and as it appears in two places in association with delta, one meaning of which is a counterfeit view of God, the implication is that we are being told something about God.

My next question was whether there was anything to tie Poussin and the Shugborough Hall monuments to the masons.

On this site:  [http://thenummo.com/shugborough.html](http://thenummo.com/shugborough.html) Shannon Dorey answers not only that in the affirmative, but also ties it in to the whole point of the discussion – alien intervention in human affairs, as summarised in this short extract from a mountain of information:

“Not only did Poussin's painting indicate he knew about the alien beings associated with the Merovingians, but the fact his other painting was used in the construction of the Shepherd's Monument would suggest that he was also a part of this secret Masonic political group identified with the exiled Stuarts. The connection is important because the Stuarts were linked to the Merovingian bloodline.24n This theory connects both Poussin and Guercino with the Masons.”

By remarkable coincidence, Shannon Dorey identifies the origins of these aliens as the Sirius and Pleiades star systems.

An intriguing snippet of information is then my discovery that the name of the architect for the Shugborough Hall
monuments was a James Stuart, born into poverty in London, but who eventually inherited some wealth. We have to wonder where from, because his sailor father died when he was very young. Through his great success in architecture he was appointed as a member of the Royal Society, which according to Shannon Dorey, was at that time dominated by Freemasons.

What I can say with more confidence, is that I have good reason to think that Freemasons have secret knowledge that would explain the huge effort involved in building pyramids and their ubiquity on this planet amongst those early civilisations, some listed as deciphered from Penniston's binary code, and possibly even on Mars. Many years ago, a freemason in an office where I was working, told me something that was testable, amongst much else about pyramids. He told me that provided you get the angles the same as the Great Pyramid of Cheops, a blunt razor blade aligned north/south will regain its sharpness, even in a cardboard replica. Expecting to disprove such nonsense, I did the experiment, only to find that the blade I used to cut through a held-up sheet of paper until it would not do so, by morning the next day, did so again with ease, after a night aligned correctly in my carefully made cardboard pyramid. After the physics revealed by God, this became explainable via the focussing of my spirals of tiny particles that I know explains so much about how matter is constructed. And then I found a kirlian photograph showing spiralling energy patterns coming from the apex of a model pyramid. See http://www.subtleenergies.com/ormus/tw/pyramids.htm which also contains much more about 'pyramid power'.

More recently, I learned that the fact that pyramids can focus
torsion field energies has been confirmed by recent Russian research involving the construction of quite large pyramid structures of pipework covered in PVC and fibreglass. The research revealed the following amazing range of effects:

Built near oil fields – oil production and purity increased 25%
Inside pyramids – poisonous chemicals were much less toxic, 6% of mice dying compared to 60% normally
Up to 400% increase in productivity from seeds stored
Strong electrical activity at apex
Significant earthquake reduction around pyramids
Enormous column of torsion field energy in the atmosphere around the pyramids, hundreds of miles wide
Deflection of severe weather activity away from the area around the pyramid
In prisons cells constructed of granite blocks energised within pyramids, the 5000 prisoners, who in all other respects were treated as normal, had a profound improvement in attitude and recovery from addiction to alcohol and drugs.
Physical changes were detected in the granite blocks

The source was a talk given by David Wilcock, analysed on my website here: [http://www.einsteins-revolution.com/AwakeAndAwareSummary.pdf](http://www.einsteins-revolution.com/AwakeAndAwareSummary.pdf)

I reiterate the point that I made earlier in this work, which was my conclusion in writing my autobiography, that when God revealed amazing science to me, just after my decision to trust God completely, it was obvious that throughout my life, I had been unknowingly guided by God to the knowledge I would need.

I ask readers, and especially those Christian readers who may be uncomfortable with much that I have said, to note that before God revealed this science to me in October 2003,
I was just a retired town planner working as a humble carpenter and tool sharpener, who had not studied physics for almost forty years. And I was far from my best mentally, recovering from a serious breakdown, following my 'annus horribilis' that finally convinced me to trust God more than my own abilities, no matter what else may go wrong. And I especially ask all to note that the original science, and much subsequent understanding that I have included in this book, followed praying in tongues, which was spontaneous at first, and now my routine at the start of each day.

Let the Holy Spirit be your guide, rather than what man's fallible interpretations have told you to believe. Note the evidence that I have provided that God has revealed truth through science at appropriate times. See in particular, from Appendix 1(3. Is there any evidence that God reveals truth that clarifies or amplifies the truth in the Bible?) all that I say there, and note especially:

“But the most significant thing I can quote is Pope John Paul II on Galileo:

"In 1992, 359 years after the Galileo trial, Pope John Paul II issued an apology, lifting the edict of Inquisition against Galileo: "Galileo sensed in his scientific research the presence of the Creator who, stirring in the depths of his spirit, stimulated him, anticipating and assisting his intuitions." After the release of this report, the Pope said further that "... Galileo, a sincere believer, showed himself to be more perceptive in this regard [the relation of scientific and Biblical truths] than the theologians who opposed him."

http://www.edinformatics.com/great_thinkers/galileo.htm

I wonder how long we will have to wait for an apology for poor Giordano Bruno, burned alive for saying that the Universe contains many other worlds, and other things very similar to what I have said before knowing anything about
Bruno.”

A simple, child-like faith is the best way to know of God through Christ, but God has also given us amazing minds and bodies through which we can experience and know God more fully. Many may feel that they do not need to do that, and that is fine, or rather has been fine, because events may be about to unfold that could be terrifying and confusing, and even testing your faith, without the understanding that this book provides.

May God bless all humble souls who read this with understanding
Appendix 1

Aliens, UFO’s and the Bible  A critical analysis of the DVD produced by Answers in Genesis in 2004 featuring Gary Bates

Gary Bates does a reasonable job in presenting information known in 2004 including some generally held scientific views, such as the speed of light problem, though he does not give details of sightings and encounters, including some very impressive sightings and information known at that time, especially from people trained to observe and record what they see carefully, such as police, military, airline pilots, and even some astronomers and other scientists, the latter especially in the 19\(^{th}\) century. The second paper on my website www.einsteins-revolution.com analyses some of this and subsequent information, and gives sources of the huge mass of information that was just too extensive for me to include (non scientists should start reading my second paper from page 11).

Three key points emerge, however, about the information, his analysis of it, and the validity of some widely held scientific views.

Firstly, the evidence that has emerged since, especially from people in the military and others who have held positions in governments, that I have discovered in the last twelve months, when considered in the context of all the other evidence presented in my second paper (that rules out explanation by black ops), should make a huge difference to anyone tempted to doubt that what has been observed are
real phenomena. My paper mentions several instances of physical evidence, some of which may be capable of re-examination today, including a buried alien body and unusual metal.

Secondly, Gary Bates interprets all the evidence from a standpoint that he first assumes must be correct rather than with an open mind. The key words that demonstrate this are “The Earth is definitely the spiritual centre of the Universe”, after suggesting that the Earth may be at the physical centre. And, of course, starting with the proposition that everything in the Bible, “from the very first verse” can be relied upon to be literally true necessitates interpreting everything from that standpoint (which I shall demonstrate means accepting some things that are abhorrent to any reasonable person, and implies an attitude that is contrary to Christian principles).

Thirdly, evidence is growing that throws considerable doubt on some of the scientific views that Gary correctly attributes to mainstream science.

I will, however, start on a positive note and draw attention to the fact that what Gary said about DNA supports the view expressed in my recent email to those at my local Church that there has to be more to God than just a more advanced form of creatures such as us. And this is linked to out of body and near death experiences, such as Ian McCormack’s, that seem to involve light or the idea that the very nature of God is something to do with light.

I have learnt recently of experiments that demonstrate a remarkable connection between DNA and light. These are remarkable, but they did not surprise me because they fit
precisely with what I am quite sure that God revealed to me, that light is a spiral (essentially – it is a little more complicated than that).

It has apparently been found that when laser light is passed through DNA it ceases to travel in a straight line and instead moves in a spiral path, and also organises itself into bands (from another source). The ability of photons to move in spirals was already known to me and is mentioned in my book and papers because the researchers were able to demonstrate that this “twisted light” was possible because of orbital angular momentum within the photon itself, which is simply a scientific way of saying exactly what I am saying, that something in the photon orbits at right angles to its path, thus making part of light itself a spiral.

But the most remarkable thing that was found, was that the light could carry the information contained in the DNA, or at least part of it per photon, so that by doing so, frog DNA could be changed to salamander DNA (or vice versa) simply by passing the light through one and on to the other. What Gary said demonstrates just how much information is involved, and just how staggering this is. Anyone who saw the film K-PAX may remember the line, “You would be surprised just how much energy there is in a beam of light”. Long before learning the above information I emailed the author, Gene Brewer (on 2/2/06), saying just how insightful this was, and that my researches suggested that, “much more information can be encoded in light and similar unknown emissions than even twisted light suggests.”

It had been suggested in New Scientist that twisted light may be a way that more advanced civilisations communicate,
which is why SETI is unsuccessful in looking at radio frequencies, that are used only for brief periods in the development of communication technologies.

It has to be remembered, however, that light photons do not penetrate everything, and that people in near death experiences are not receiving information through their eyes. The answer to this is that something similar to light, but different to radio and TV signals, can carry information invisibly to us (by “us” I mean either our minds or our souls). This is not just my wild idea. Again there is experimental evidence in support of it. Things like remote viewing, ESP and telepathy have been seriously examined, and although mainstream science chooses to avoid this area on the whole, denying funding to those who try to investigate it, governments have taken it seriously and employed people with above average ability to consciously receive the information as spies, sometimes very successfully. The US learned of the Russians building giant submarines in this way. But serious scientists are now demonstrating the existence of “scalar waves”, as predicted by Tesla. One of these is Professor Konstantin Meyl, whose convincing experiments can be seen on the following site: http://www.meyl.eu/go/index.php?dir=45_Videos-on-demand&page=1&sublevel=0 Significantly, Professor Meyl has measured the speed of his scalar waves as 1.5c (I will further examine the speed of light problem later).

Professor Meyl and I independently concluded that these are based on neutrinos. I had worked out that these move in spirals to explain forces and radiation, which allowed me to predict that the ability of blobs plasma of gas to “communicate, replicate and grow” could be achieved by the exchange of encoded helices, which is exactly what
computer simulation of plasma now shows.

Single neutrinos are, of course, thought to be so small that they can pass right through the whole planet. Groups of them in simple helical spirals can do the same, albeit less easily, as my explanation for gravity. And again I am not alone in suggesting this. A Russian living in America has just presented a paper demonstrating the possibility mathematically. And strange effects on Foucault’s pendulum during eclipses call for something more than the “rubber sheet” analogy of general relativity.

So, although it would be wrong to rule out the possibility that God created neutrinos, it is possible to see that an intelligence that permeates the whole universe, based on neutrinos, from which all other creation stems, not just once and for all, but continuously, is another possibility to consider. And it makes sense that our souls can similarly be explained. The soul is thus something that encodes at lower level all the information in our physical bodies. The fact that heart transplant patients are observed to take on some characteristics and memories of the donor strongly suggest a mechanism of this nature.

The implication that matter can be created in ways that mainstream science does not yet understand is also demonstrated in other experiments that show, for instance, that the quantity of minerals in plant life is greater than that taken out of the soil. And the same has been shown to apply to calcium in milk and chicken’s eggs. And there are now cures offered that use light or other “waves” in the regeneration of tissue. This information is from someone who claims to have seen this happen. He even mentions a monastery in southern England working on this type of cure. And Professor Meyl and I both independently concluded that
new matter may form within the Earth from neutrino flux. Though I also suggest other reasons to suspect that an expanding Earth is a more sensible answer than current plate tectonic theory.

I am afraid, however, that anything positive I can say about this video must end there. And I must emphasise just how dangerous, and even anti-Christian, Gary Bates’ final interpretation becomes. But to do justice to the attempt he makes to examine what he thinks (and other may think) is reasonable evidence for his conclusions, I will go through it systematically.

Apart from his initial tenet, that everything in the Bible, from the very first verse, can be relied upon to be literally true, which I shall come to, and which dominates his interpretations, he examines four statements, which apparently reflect what a lot of people now think:

1. If life evolved on the Earth it must have happened elsewhere

Gary mentions the Origins website and suggests that the big bang is central to this idea because there must be older parts of the universe. But he argues that life can only come from life, so that nothing evolves without there being life created in the first place.

If this rules out life elsewhere, it means that God chose to create life only here. This is not only about as arrogant as it gets, it smacks of childish petulance: “I want it to be mine, all mine!” This desire for humanity to effectively “own” the whole universe, and be God’s only unique creation, stems from the very emotions of vanity, pride, jealousy, envy, and greed that the whole point of Christianity is to overcome. And it is as dangerous as Europeans previously wanting to
own the rest of the World.

And he compounds this arrogance with the statement that I have mentioned that, “the Earth has to be the spiritual centre of the Universe.” This is exactly the attitude that has already resulted in the slaughter of peoples all over the World, on the basis that they were culturally and spiritually “inferior”, even genetically inferior as far as the Nazis were concerned. No wonder I concluded that God will not allow us to travel the Universe until we learn open-minded humility! I had hoped that by now, of all people, Christians would have learned from a history strewn with not only its errors, but also its terrible crimes.

The view that the Earth is the centre of the universe is so similar to the insistence that it was the centre of the solar system, and thus the Universe, that resulted in the brutal persecution of scientists seeking only the truth. It took the Catholic Church years to admit this error (see last page, 11) even when dramatically demonstrated by space exploration. And Gary Bates again chooses to insist on a view that ignores evidence that scientists are finding, again for the reason that his interpretation of the Bible has to be right.

He then goes on to mention H. G. Wells (a former resident of Woking) and to the linking of the idea of sin with creation. Well, I have to tell you that time travel is illogical, and so is this idea. Even if evolution is true, or is the truth of God’s means of creation, we have evidence coming out of our ears of the evil so often manifest in our very nature that constantly conflicts with what God has said in many ways. And for atheists, the “sin” of mankind against itself is still undeniable. Seeking to blame Adam and Eve for the nastier side in all of us, is to make them the ultimate scapegoats, and an excuse for making insufficient effort to improve our
nature. And the idea that all of us share the blame for Adam and Eve’s disobedience because they are the original and only humans from whom we all descended is clearly wrong if you read on in Genesis and discover other humans outside Eden, and even “beings from the spirit world that mated with humans”, resulting in giants. It also rules out the possibility that the whole story of Adam and Eve is allegorical, or Moses’ inadequate attempt to interpret what God had said. Think about God saying the Hebrew equivalent of Deoxyribonucleic acid, and Moses saying “What?” and God saying “Look, I just took a small part of the body that is also necessary to make females. Who knows what really happened, but can we please consider how Moses might have misinterpreted the sequence of events by which the stars and planets may have formed to try to make it fit with what we now actually observe?

For Gary to argue that a just God would not have created aliens, to then be unjustifiably part of God’s judgement of destruction in the bringing about of a “new Heaven”, because they had no part in our “fall”, is clearly an unnecessary and illogical link. “A new heaven and a new Earth” could have meanings other than the destruction and recreation of everything in the Universe. Jesus said “I go to prepare a place”, not “I have to go and set the explosives”. And he said “there are many rooms in my Father’s house”, which is far more consistent with the idea that there are many other planets, where the surrounding heavens will be different to what we see from the Earth, that accords precisely with what astronomers now report. And since 2004 the number of other solar systems identified has risen to of the order of 200, some with more than one planet and including “super Earths” as opposed to just gas giants. I have even seen a photograph of a planet being ejected from a
binary system. Other Earth-like planets will be found. We have only been looking for a split second of cosmological time (if it is limited according to big bang theory, which I doubt for reasons I come on to)

Which brings us on to the second statement:

2. Conditions must be just right on other planets

The first mentioned is Mars, where even the evidence of past water still rules out life on Mars at any time in Gary’s view, again because “life comes from life”.

There is, of course, the question of “what is life?” It was shown many years ago that passing a high voltage discharge (like lightning) through some basic chemicals causes amino acids to form, the basis of proteins. And in 2001, a Polish team said it had shown in the laboratory that a biological molecule is formed when space dust is zapped with a high-energy beam of light.

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/1492411.stm

But is there more to life than that? It has to be able to replicate and to grow. And for intelligent life it has to be able to “communicate, replicate and grow”. Does this sound familiar? It should, because I have mentioned that scientists have found that this is exactly what the components of all atomic matter, called plasma in disassociated state, appear to do. Does this happen automatically, or is God’s intervention needed? I can explain it by the action of just one simple spherical particle that just happens to follow different curved paths as it spins at different rates. But again you have to ask if God made it and causes it to follow a curved path. It is always very hard to be sure that we can explain anything without God. But what all of this demonstrates is that life
may be possible from what few would readily recognise as life, through a constantly creative or evolving process.

I am in the happy position of knowing through revelation that the God I decided to trust in, definitely exists, but I retain the humility of not presuming to insist on the precise nature of God nor his precise modus operandi. Though things I have learned recently allows me to make suggestions.

What I can say is that God has directed me to information that suggests that a theme runs though the universe of stars born from black holes (or rather “grey” holes) at the centre of galaxies; planets born from stars; and moons born from planets; that can account for particular spacings in our solar system as all spiral out. This explains why we have to add leap seconds to the lengths of our days and years. Studies of past marine life indicate that the length of Earth “day” was as short as 19 hours in the time-span of such measurements. Which, of course, has great implication of what a biblical “six days” actually means in the literal sense. Is this “day” our day or God’s day? And if it is the former, how long is that or was that?

If I am right (and the original kernel of the idea stems from a New Zealander who also believes that his ideas were inspired by God http://homepages.xnet.co.nz/~hardy/), it means that Mars once occupied the position that Earth does now, which is entirely consistent with it once having water. And since 2004 the evidence for past water has become almost undisputed.

It is hard to say when Mars became uninhabitable on its surface, but I think this may have resulted from the loss of a larger moon that helped maintain the magnetic field that is
essential on Earth to shield life on the surface from fatal solar wind. Something has to explain why Mars once had a magnetic field and was once geologically active. It may be either a lost moon or the possibility that planets have a nuclear core that eventually runs down. There is evidence for either. The inhabitants of Mars may have needed to survive underground until conditions were right to move here. There are unusual features on the planet surface that might be pyramids and tunnel entrances. And very recently, circular holes have been found 150m – 250m across, which could be ingress/egress points for interplanetary vessels. [http://mars-news.de/](http://mars-news.de/) & [http://ebtx.com/mars/marstube.htm](http://ebtx.com/mars/marstube.htm)

So we will have to watch for further discoveries (or admissions of cover up), but I should not be surprised to find eventual confirmation of past civilisations, and maybe even present underground ones. But does this explain UFO activity entirely? Probably not, given the number of different types of alien apparently listed in “The Assessment” as mentioned by Bob Dean and numerous other individual reports of alien encounters.

So this brings us on to the third statement:

3. **Aliens must be able to travel vast distances**

Gary rightly quotes *mainstream* opinion, based on special relativity, that it would take infinite energy to accelerate anything to the speed of light because mass increases with speed.

Well what God has shown me is that the reverse is true. Mass *increases* with spin, and it *decreases* with speed (translation). And again I am able to cite experimental evidence in my papers and have found some agreement on the question of spin. And many now doubt the validity of
special relativity or, as I do, consider the need to modify or re-interpret it. I do this by explaining the true meaning of changing time and dimensions that explains why light, as a multi-photon phenomenon, always seems to travel at the same speed, while the individual photons can travel at any speed, but reducing in frequency (and mass) as speed increases relative to any observer.

Recent experiments verify that photons can indeed travel at speeds other than c. It has even been shown that photons can be made to stand still and then resume motion, and in other experiments, travel so much faster than c that the speed could not be measured. I may be the only person who has cited experimental evidence that explains the exact mechanism that allows the photon to behave like this. This very day I received an email containing yet further evidence of the ability of photons to travel at different speeds, albeit a very small difference in this particular case:


We also know that infra-red images of the collisions with Jupiter arrived several minutes before visual (edited out in a later version of the documentary), and I have mentioned Professor Meyl’s scalar waves travelling at 1.5c, so the idea that nothing can go faster than c should soon be consigned to historical error, just as the idea that man could never go faster than the speed of sound, or travel in heavier than air machines was.

As for dust particles, when scientists apply this expression to space they are not talking about your average household particles of dust and grit. They mean various things: charged
particles of plasma (that can be deflected by applied fields) or larger particles of material that are confined to areas around planets or somewhat beyond our solar system, including the Ort cloud, by solar system gravity. Further out they mean in nebulae, again confined to certain areas by gravity. In the vast spaces between stars and galaxies the chances of hitting a “dust” particle are probably as remote as someone in an inflatable on the ocean hitting a floating bottle with a message saying “Watch out for floating bottles”. All travel involves a degree of risk, and navigation and protective measures are necessary.

Regarding the question of energy needed to accelerate and decelerate large masses, I have shown, via my theory and the experiments of others, that mass can be generated by spin and cancelled by spin in directions at right angles. There is also mounting evidence for my view that the Universe is rotating rather than expanding. Some suggest that a rotating Universe would facilitate time travel. The reality is that time travel is illogical, but the illusion of time travel can be achieved by generating mass through spin and thus standing still relative to the rotation of everything else, thus resulting in the illusion of travel with apparently no appreciation of time passing (as I can demonstrate though other videos on the Project Camelot site, happened in 1950s California – see http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=3808996975040613718&hl=en & http://www.projectcamelot.net/ralph_ring.html) On the latter you will find that Otis Carr confirmed what I say about the polarity of mass through spin in the 1950’s.

This is also why UFOs appear to defy the laws of physics, which still apply, except insofar as objects with spin follow a
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curved path, and ideas about mass are incomplete. Mainstream science does not understand these things because governments have done all they can to keep such knowledge within their control, and because the Royal Society and Royal Institution were determined to ignore anything throwing doubt on Newton’s Laws, especially as demonstrated by the late Professor Laithwaite. See http://www.gyroscopes.org/heretic.asp.
& http://www.gyroscopes.org/1974lecture.asp. Choosing to ignore evidence on the basis that some ideas have to be right is not only unscientific, it has resulted in great injustice, and now threatens to perpetrate the worst crime ever against humanity.

Moving on to “crop” circles, it is little known that these also appear in snow in remote areas and on water. I don’t know if Doug and Dave have snowshoes, but I would love to see them make a water circle. I have one on video, and my theory explains how they are possible and why some gravitational anomalies arise in genuine crop circles; which also demonstrate phenomena that cannot be explained using a board, including microwave damage to crops and tiny iron particles that have been subjected to great heat. Russian investigators of genuine landing sites can identify spiral patterns of energy, and find that all life, including bacteria, shun these areas, which also applies at the so called “Texas Roswell”, Aurora (where an alien is reputed to be buried following a crash). Metal from the crash site has been identified as an aluminium alloy unlike anything produced on Earth at the time, and rare at any time. Any feelings associated with hoax circles are likely to be psychosomatic.

At this point Gary starts to imply that unusual phenomena can be attributed to occult or evil influence. Again there is
terrible risk of injustice in applying this in a “broad-brush” way to anything we do not yet fully understand. And the extent of the danger is now greater than at any time in our history.

I examine paranormal phenomena in my book and can suggest what may be eventually accepted scientific explanations for things like levitation, ESP etc., that almost certainly mean that some in the past have been wrongly accused of witchcraft, magic or demonic possession. My explanations of levitation and anti-gravity match those who describe their direct, close experience of alien technology. See introductory quotes in:

http://www.projectcamelot.net/ralph_ring.html

No doubt, if I were to levitate to demonstrate that anti-gravity is possible and linked to the human nervous system, Gary would cry “witchcraft”. But what of the 230 Christian saints that have levitated, as mentioned in my published book as follows (having mentioned the fact that a renowned scientist had observed human levitation):

“Apart from the recorded observations of William Crookes, which from a scientific viewpoint are very hard to dismiss, there are many of Christian origin, only a few of which are included in Mr. Hitching’s list of 20. Apparently there were, at the time the Atlas of Mysteries was compiled, 230 Catholic saints who had levitated. Two such cases are mentioned in detail and are particularly compelling. They relate to St. Teresa of Avila, who recorded the sensations in her biography, and St. Joseph of Coppertino who levitated many times over a long period, and in front of many witnesses including the Pope, Princess Maria of Savoy and King Kasimir V of Poland. More than 100 such incidents were attested by scholars…”
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Of course, Peter the Magician also levitated, and came crashing down when rebuked by St. Peter. This may, or may not, mean that evil influence can be involved. The most likely explanation is that people, or entities not yet understood, are capable of both good and evil and can use natural phenomena that are simply not yet fully understood. My life’s experiences make it hard for me to rule out the possibility of evil influence beyond my control, that seemed set on breaking my will and trying to make me blame God. The fact that I chose to resist that force when almost broken, and instead declared absolute trust in God - no matter what else went wrong - and then was filled with the Holy Spirit, can only mean that I have huge confidence that the revelations that followed were genuinely from God. Every Christian who doubts this must also doubt every similar experience. And by all means doubt, but this is so significant to the future sufferings of hundreds of millions, that if you seek to ignore rather than verify you are as guilty as terrorists, governments and others of the crimes happening now and to come.

What God has shown me is that God reveals truth at appropriate times and in appropriate ways. Einstein was only given part of the truth because mankind was not ready to have both nuclear weapons and the ability to travel the universe in the 20th century. Gary throws doubt on it now! If even Christians choose to first consider our brothers and sisters from other worlds to be most likely evil, or explained by evil (BEFORE CAREFULLY EXAMINING ALL THE EVIDENCE WITH AN OPEN MIND) they are as likely as not to condone the deployment of weapons in space, and even the use of nuclear weapons.

And in the dismissal of the Roswell incident, Gary
demonstrates that he has not considered all the evidence with an open mind, accepting the cover up story with naïve haste, because I have heard the son of the witness of the hieroglyphs insisting that what his Father saw were nothing like the sticky tape suggestion. As I have said, many ex government or military personnel (like Bob Dean and many others) are now coming forward with consistent stories, some very dramatic indeed, that should leave no doubt about government cover ups.

Which brings us on to the following, which also explains further why aliens may not have needed to travel vast distances:

4. UFOs must be real alien visitation

I have already dealt with apparent conflict with the laws of physics. But it is also apparent from the evidence that I have collected, and carefully analysed, that there has been long-term presence of aliens on this planet, quite probably using bases on our moon, Mars or the moons of other planets. Evidence of USOs (unidentified submerged objects) goes back to the 19th century and is now dramatically confirmed in stories from Russian sub-mariners (some of whom died in attempts to intercept such objects) and several other nationalities, most notably in Operation Mainbrace in 1952, where several ships of different navy’s and six British aircraft were involved in sightings of objects up to \(\frac{1}{4}\) mile across coming out of the water.

And on the question of just how real all these objects are, one British police officer says of his close distance sighting, “It was real, it was damn real!” And if you choose to believe that he was misled by evil, that it was some sort of hallucination, you have to believe that this is possible of
several hundred witnesses all observing large numbers of USO/UFOs coming out of the water in California, and thousands of people simultaneously witnessing many objects in the sky in Mexico. My evidence includes large scale multiple witnesses, and witnesses of the highest possible credibility, observing exactly the same sort of objects in the 19th century and before that were observed throughout the 20th century. And I also analyse by the existence of physical evidence, some of which accords with what has been observed in anti-gravity experiments. See Ralph Ring above and http://www.americanantigravity.com/articles/220/1/The-Ultimate-Hutchison/Page1.html

Gary himself mentions evidence that I knew of but have not mentioned in my paper simply because there is so much evidence that my paper was becoming far too long. And this includes stories of ancient red Indians (and not so ancient) seeing “flying canoes with people in” and similar stories from ancient Greece. I have found them relating to the Romans and ancient Chinese and Indian cultures. And, of course, modern stories from these and counties like Iran, where the theme appears to follow that suggested regarding Operation Mainbrace (where nuclear weapons were first deployed on an American Aircraft carrier), and of course, Roswell, New Mexico, of apparent interest in our development and deployment of nuclear weapons.

The suggestion that people who believe in God but do not belong to recognised Churches (which does include myself) are particularly susceptible to the “substitute religion” of belief in aliens, may well be true of others, but my religion is firmly based on the teachings of Christ, and my examination of the alien question is entirely based on objective evaluation of all available evidence.
Gary, on the other hand, and those creationists who choose to ignore scientific evidence, solely on the basis that their interpretation of the Bible has to be right, demonstrate the worst aspects of any religious belief. All good religion should be based on humility, not the arrogance that has driven humans to break God’s (true) laws on the basis that they alone have to be right.

Which brings me on to my final point, and Gary’s first. Can we depend on a literal interpretation of every word (from the very first verse) in the Bible to guide us to truth and wisdom?

I am going to start by saying this (then going on to defend it):

This DVD is just like the Bible: full of wonderful truth interspersed with men’s incomplete and inadequate interpretations and additions.

This document will become horrendously long if I try to examine all the evidence for this, but I think that the following are very significant:

1. From the very first verse, there is doubt about how the original meanings have been translated.

For instance, I learned recently that because the Hebrew language changed as a result of exile, the original words in the first verse should perhaps read “At the head” as opposed to “In the beginning”.

I am a long way from being a biblical scholar, but simply reading the preface to my edition of the Living Bible warns me of the problems involved in translation. There could be endless discussion on what “At the head” implies, but this demonstrates what applies to all writings: the need for
careful, intelligent and knowledgeable consideration of the likely truth behind the words. A theme that runs through both my books and scientific papers is the inadequacy of words.

In my autobiography I quote Dr. Kirsten Birkett, BSc (hons) PhD (Science & technology Studies), Director of the Mathias Centre for the Study of Modern Beliefs, and a Christian, who in her book Unnatural Enemies examines the question of conflict (or otherwise) between science and religion. The following comment of mine is particularly relevant:

“Although I might not agree with everything in Unnatural Enemies, I strongly support the comment and analysis pointing to the need for considerable discernment when reading the Bible; and I thoroughly agree that in both science and religion there has been considerable arrogance; and, of course with what she says about God revealing truth when He is ready. And I am very pleased to say that on page 23 she makes the point that I have used many words to state in various ways, with the simple statement that:

“Until you know everything in the universe, you cannot know for sure that some scientific theory is true.”

Can we vary this just a little and say that:

“Until you know everything in the universe, you cannot know for sure that God does not exist.”

This demonstrates the need for humility in science and the need for humility in demonstrating that atheism is unscientific. Perhaps I should now go on to say:
“Until you know everything about God you cannot be sure that you know everything about creation”

2. Does a literal interpretation of everything in the Bible reveal only God’s truth and wisdom?

The following extract from my autobiography answers this and adds to what I say above about interpretation:

“We were taught in RI lessons at school that the expression forty days and forty nights was common parlance at the time for any long period. Everything that is read has to be considered with knowledge and sensible interpretation. Jeremy Bowen, in a recent TV examination of the story of Noah’s Ark made some valid comments on the problems of interpretation and contradiction, but then implied that, if that story is myth the whole Bible is myth. Where is the logic in that? The Bible is a collection of writings and, as with all writing, the reader has to use discernment in deciding how to interpret it. I choose to consider the idea that an ox should be stoned if it gores someone, as barbaric, man-made law (Exodus 21 v28). Consider also verse 20: “If a man beats his slave to death – whether the slave is male or female – that man shall surely be punished. However, if the slave does not die for a couple of days, then the man shall not be punished – for the slave is his property.” I do not think that any reasonable person today would agree that this represents justice, and it has all the hallmarks of man’s feeble, expediency dominated attempts to add to the simple laws given to Moses. So to insist that every word in the Bible is literally true because it is inspired by God is a very doubtful premise; and to believe it means accepting some pretty barbaric notions. This does not, however, imply that the Bible does not contain truth.”

There are many more examples in three of the first five books (of Moses) in the Bible, that any reasonable person
would now describe as barbaric. And although we can try to interpret the Bible appropriately to try to make sense of this in various ways, the fact remains that some form of intelligent interpretation is needed, without which we would find it hard to criticise the Taliban.

The next extract explains how I was eventually able to make sense of contradictions that are impossible to reconcile on the basis that everything is literally true:

“I had also been giving a lot of thought to anomalies in the Gospels relating to Christ’s genealogy and what happened after his birth. These were clearly in conflict so one or the other had to be wrong. This had worried me for some time and threw into doubt the reliability of the Gospels. A series of TV programmes called “Jesus - the Evidence” made me consider this whole issue very carefully. At last, taking an overall view, I made sense of it all. It was clear to me that Christ was not interested in arguing that his ancestry gave him the right to claim the role of “Messiah”. He was only interested in giving people the choice of deciding to follow him on the basis of the example he set, the wisdom he spoke and, perhaps reluctantly at first, the miracles he performed. He did not want to be “King” by right, so it was of no consequence if men got his Earthly history wrong. We are saved by choosing good over bad; not by being impressed by connections. It appeared to me to be possible that God saw the errors in the Gospels as either of no consequence or even useful. When I told the Elders of the Coign and our local housegroup of this conclusion, and that I might write a book about it, they were not happy. They could not accept that anything in the Bible could be wrong.

When Humans insist that their view has to be right, trouble is likely to follow. The essence of good religion and good science is humility.”
I need to clarify that this happened over 20 years ago and the book I was thinking of writing then was not my autobiography or anything to do with science. Some Christian friends then said that some of this could be explained if where it says father it really means mother. Well, if it is wrong for whatever reason, it is still wrong, and it has either been misinterpreted at some point, or the initial truth of it is in doubt. And we cannot escape from some sensible consideration of what the truth might be, as opposed to accepting every word literally.

I put this possible interpretation about Christ’s genealogy perhaps more clearly in a later chapter as follows:

“The essential point that came across to me from the Gospels was that Jesus had no interest in maintaining his earthly heritage and rightful position as “King” nor any other recognition based on ancestry. He was also reluctant to perform miracles before the appropriate time. He did not want people to believe in him or listen to him because he was descended from David or just because his works were so impressive. He would not have insisted that the disciples learnt his genealogy off by heart. I even doubt that he would have mentioned it at all. When brought before the High Priest and Pontius Pilate he did not say, “Are you aware from whom I am descended? Have you not heard of my miracles?”

It was the disciples, after Christ’s death, resurrection and ascension, who found the need to demonstrate Jesus’ “credentials” as Messiah. Paul mentions this in 1 Timothy 1. I actually think that the errors which resulted were either held to be of no consequence by God or, perhaps more likely I think, were planned or useful in the overall context of faith having to be a matter of choice based on Christ’s teachings and example, against a background of doubt, as opposed to some fact.

The miracles were, I suspect, mainly for the benefit of the disciples, and because of Christ’s compassion. After the event, heard second hand or many years later, they can be doubted. But
the goodness of Jesus, the good sense in his teachings and the love manifest in his life and death are unquestionable to those whose hearts are right; and then the miracles and the existence of God are more believable.”

3. Is there any evidence that God reveals truth that clarifies or amplifies the truth in the Bible?

In the preface to Unnatural Enemies, Kirsten Birkett begins as follows:

“Perhaps the strangest thing about university courses on the history of science is how much time they spend discussing Christianity. It was certainly so in the classes I attended, and for one important reason: many of the scientists of previous centuries were Christian believers.”

This is something that I had noted from lessons in secondary school on. But it is wrong to think that God reveals truth about His creation only to Christians. Considerable scientific understanding, including the nature of light, has come from those of Islamic faith, and probably others that I am less familiar with. And I have to strongly suspect that God revealed some truth to Einstein because his ideas about time (that I can easily show are essentially correct) stemmed from a strange dream he had in his teens, and I am aware of two similar great discoveries that followed dreams. These were the discovery of the periodic table by Dmitri Mendeleev, and neurotransmitters by Otto Loewi. Wikipedea describes Loewi’s revelation as follows:

“On Easter Saturday 1923, he dreamed of an experiment that would prove once and for all that transmission of nerve impulses was chemical, not electrical. He woke up, scribbled the experiment onto a scrap of paper on his night-stand, and went
back to sleep. The next morning he arose very excited because he knew this dream had been very important. But he found, to his horror, that he couldn't read his midnight scribbles. That day, he said, was the longest day of his life, as he tried, without success, to remember his dream. That night, he had the same dream. This time, he got up, went to the lab, did the experiment, and by dawn, knew that there was a Nobel in his future. Fourteen years later, there was.”

Whilst this does not prove that this came from God (and what can?), we have to consider the possibility. And my own revelations may ultimately be an even stronger demonstration of this possibility, because I was not a scientist, being little more than a carpenter and tool sharpener with only a basic knowledge of physics. And yet today I am able to offer solutions to the greatest enigmas and mysteries of physics, holding my own in discussions with scientists from all over the World with vastly more experience than I, and finding that virtually everything new I learn confirms what God has shown me.

We know that Newton had great faith, spending more time trying to decode God’s truth concealed in scripture than on his phenomenal contributions to physics and mathematics. But the most significant thing I can quote is Pope John Paul II on Galileo:

“In 1992, 359 years after the Galileo trial, Pope John Paul II issued an apology, lifting the edict of Inquisition against Galileo: "Galileo sensed in his scientific research the presence of the Creator who, stirring in the depths of his spirit, stimulated him, anticipating and assisting his intuitions." After the release of this report, the Pope said further that "... Galileo, a sincere believer, showed himself to be more perceptive in this regard [the relation of scientific and Biblical truths] than the theologians who opposed him." 

http://www.edinformatics.com/great_thinkers/galileo.htm
I wonder how long we will have to wait for an apology for poor Giordano Bruno, burned alive for saying that the Universe contains many other worlds, and other things very similar to what I have said before knowing anything about Bruno.

The greatest danger in what Gary is saying is not to the aliens but to ourselves. It appears that the aliens are, on the whole, so advanced that our weapons are no direct danger to them [http://projectcamelot.net/bob_dean.html](http://projectcamelot.net/bob_dean.html) But they are concerned about what we are likely to do to ourselves and the planet. And if Christians will not take note of the fact that the message we seem to be receiving from them is the same as Christ’s, who will? [http://projectcamelot.net/jim_sparks.html](http://projectcamelot.net/jim_sparks.html)

God and the aliens, or God via the aliens, are offering us a way out of the mess that our greed has created. How bizarre and stupid it would be if Christians, of all people, proved to be so callous and so arrogant that they let the suffering continue for fear of being proved wrong.

Remember the joke about the man in the sinking dingy who refused help from a yacht, then a liner and finally a helicopter, because he had faith that God would save him. Who drowned and asked God why he let him perish. And God said, “Well I tried my best. I sent you a yacht, a liner and a helicopter!”

For political correctness I will not ascribe a nationality to the man with an illogical view of faith as some might. For some reason certain races seem to be singled out in this way. It seems to me that the whole human race needs to reflect on
what jokes the aliens might share about all of us, possibly amongst some tears.

It would not, however, be appropriate to finish on a note of humour. In deadly sincerity, I say that this DVD is more dangerous than any incitement to violence I have seen from any terrorist. It is seductive in playing on the emotions and minds of those who not only have simple faith and limited knowledge, but also those who believe they have some understanding of science.

I would urge all Christians everywhere to pray earnestly about this, and above all to seek to follow Christ’s teaching. And if some aliens are saying the same thing, how can they be evil? So next we must seek confirmation of this through communication, which means challenging governments in calling for the amnesty through forgiveness that the aliens apparently suggest.

Hopefully there are some Christians who also understand what all those episodes of *Star Trek* were about.

Robert F. Beck
[www.einsteins-revolution.com](http://www.einsteins-revolution.com)
13th October 2007
Appendix 2
Reincarnation and Christianity
(prepared by R. F. Beck as guidance for NPA members interested in religious discussion)

In my recent paper published in the General Science Journal (http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Essays/View/4057) I included the following as an informative appendix to indicate how human error can creep into religion (blue text):

Evidence of historical misconception

The possibility of human error causing misconception that has resulted in prejudice against the possibility of reincarnation is suggested by the following quotes, which seem to indicate that Jesus said that John the Baptist was Eli’jah:

"For all the prophets and the law prophesied until John; and if you are willing to accept it, he is Eli’jah who is to come." (Matthew 11:13-14) RSV

"And the disciples asked him, 'Then why do the scribes say that first Eli’jah must come?' He replied, 'Eli’jah does come, and he is to restore all things; but I tell you that Eli’jah has already come, and they did not know him, but did to him whatever they pleased. So also the Son of Man will suffer at their hands.' Then the disciples understood that he was speaking to them of John the Baptist." (Matthew 17:10-13) RSV

Note reference in the Old Testament to this:

"Behold, I will send you Eli’jah the prophet, before the great and terrible day of the Lord comes." (Malachi 4:5) RSV

So the reason for prejudice about reincarnation in western, Christian culture seems to stem from the Council of Nicea
ignoring Christ’s own words and St. Matthew’s understanding of what He said.

Roger Anderton provided the following from the website below it (green text):

The teaching of reincarnation is against the Old Testament; therefore, Jesus was not teaching that John the Baptist was Elijah reincarnated. So, what did Jesus mean when He said that John the Baptist was Elijah? We see in Malachi 4:5 this prophecy, "Behold, I am going to send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and terrible day of the Lord." Jesus is referring to the prophecy concerning Elijah. We see that the coming of Elijah was in the spirit of Elijah, which is so stated in Luke 1:13-17. [snip] So, we see that John the Baptist was in the spirit of Elijah, but not actually Elijah reincarnated.

(from http://carm.org/bible-difficulties/matthew-mark/was-john-baptist-really- Elijah)

I explained how science now makes sense of the apparent contradiction as follows (again green text):

Read the science and you may see that information about not just DNA, but ideas and emotions, can be encoded at the smallest level. This IS the 'spirit', but the work of Stevenson leaves little doubt that some or possibly all of this information is transferred from one who has died to a new born human.

It is a matter of interpretation what 'actually reincarnated' should mean. People who remember past lives as children generally lose that memory as they grow older. So it seems that the new life has some of the 'essence' of the person who died (their 'spirit'), but also the ability to develop a new individuality. In my case, although many points of congruence can be identified between myself and Epicurus, I feel that I have 'moved on' to be able to think differently. He was happy to overcome the fear of death by ruling out any kind of awareness after death, whereas I find greater comfort in knowing that death is not the end for all eternity.

Thus reincarnation appears to be different to cloning. Information from the DNA and personality of the dead person seems to be mixed with those inherited from parents. So what you have
quoted below is a case of religious ideas having a vague but poorly understood link to reality. But NOW we have the advantage of amazing discoveries in SCIENCE......

An argument that Anderton fails to mention in his favour is what the site he refers to tells us that John the Baptist said of himself:

"And this is the witness of John, when the Jews sent to him priests and Levites from Jerusalem to ask him, "Who are you?" And he confessed, and did not deny, and he confessed, "I am not the Christ." And they asked him, "What then? Are you Elijah?" And he said, "I am not." "Are you the Prophet?" And he answered, "No." (John 1vs 19-21).

Perhaps Anderton thought it wise not to mention this, because if he is claiming that John the Baptist spoke with authority, he would have to equally believe John’s words about Jesus, “And I have seen and have borne witness that this is the Son of God.”

But although Luke 1v15 tells us that John may have known this from birth, being filled with the Holy Spirit in his mother’s womb, we know from Stevenson’s research, and I can bear witness to the fact, that inherited childhood knowledge can be drowned out either permanently or until something may later trigger the memory, as in my case. If someone had said to me at any time from my late teens until about two years ago that I was Epicurus, I would have responded that this was absolute nonsense.

So is John the Baptist, who may have forgotten as I did, to be given more credibility than Jesus?

But there is also a simple matter of historical accuracy that makes a nonsense of choosing to believe either the Council of Nicea’s ruling or modern interpretations as provided by Anderton. If we were interested in the most reliable account of anything historical, such as what Queen Elizabeth the first really thought about men, would we prefer the ponderings of someone claiming to be an authority hundreds or thousands of years later (eventually), or would we prefer to trust what either she is recorded as saying or what her contemporaries are recorded as saying or concluding
about this?

Regrettably, when people choose something to believe as unshakable truth, they reject the most reliable source, and even scientific evidence, because being wrong is unacceptable. This applies to both believers and atheists, the latter thus believing ‘religiously’. Atheism is actually more unscientific that the choice to believe something that may not be true. The former fails the important test of scientific thinking, which is keeping an open mind for greater or clearer truth. The latter includes acceptance that clarity will come later.

So it is far more logical to take note of what Christ is recorded as saying, accepting that whatever he meant will ultimately become clearer. In this respect we must note that Matthew did not record Christ saying that John the Baptist was ‘inspired’ or ‘filled’ with the spirit of Eli’jah; the text says, “...he is Eli’jah.”

And this is made even clearer when Matthew later tells us, “Then the disciples understood that He was speaking to them of John the Baptist.”

Thus if Jesus were just a man, this would be the most trustworthy account of what this man believed. But if Matthew’s account is more trustworthy than those hundreds or thousands of years later who like Anderton think they know better, we have to take account of all Matthew said, especially where supported by the other gospel writers, and whatever other contemporary sources can be found, such as the Dead Sea Scrolls, and conclude that Jesus Christ is more likely to have greater authority than any man, whether in modern times or as his contemporaries.

The science provided in my recent paper can help to bring this further clarity by demonstrating that both the physical body in terms of DNA and characteristics of personality, such as ideas and emotions, can be encoded and passed on. But we have to ask, “Passed on to what?” If there is matter in the form of ovum, sperm, foetus or child that receives this information, then we might conclude that the resultant person is BOTH the reincarnated
Thus John the Baptist WAS Eli’jah, but he was also the product of Elizabeth’s and (possibly) Zechari’ah’s genes. The ‘spirit’ or ‘soul’ of Eli’jah took on new cellular form in combination with the cells of what was to become John the Baptist.

John may not have known or remembered from childhood that he was Eli’jah, but as Luke tells us that, “...he will go...in the spirit and power of Eli’jah”, and we know from 2Kings1v8 and Matthew3v4 that he chose to dress exactly as Eli’jah did, clearly something about Eli’jah was coming across in John.

This may or may not be correct or complete, but as it is what science now suggests, it is both a matter of good science and the humility appropriate to not just Christianity but the other major religions to expect greater understanding to come through scientific or spiritual endeavours to find the truth, rather than from the huge arrogance of assuming that one’s faith or viewpoint cannot be wrong.

Robert F. Beck
1st April 2012

The following correspondence between myself and Professor Jim Tucker, who worked with the late Professor Stevenson and continues his work, adds weight to my analysis (Patrick/Kevin are an amazing case of bodily damage being carried forward to the next life mentioned in Chapter one of Professor Tucker's book):

Dear Robert,

Thanks for sharing this with me, and I apologize for being slow to respond. You may be interested in my discussion of consciousness and quantum physics in my new book, Return to Life (http://www.amazon.com/Return-Life-Extraordinary-Children-Remember/dp/1250005841/ref=sr_1_1? s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1363092033&sr=1-1).

Best wishes to a fellow explorer,
Dear Professor Tucker

I think that further understanding of why Patrick spoke of Kevin as he did might be revealed via my conclusion in this document http://www.einsteins-revolution.com/ReincarnationAndChristianity.pdf as follows:

"The science provided in my recent paper can help to bring this further clarity by demonstrating that both the physical body in terms of DNA and characteristics of personality, such as ideas and emotions, can be encoded and passed on. But we have to ask, “Passed on to what?” If there is matter in the form of ovum, sperm, foetus or child that receives this information, then we might conclude that the resultant person is BOTH the reincarnated person from the past AND the newly created person."

Thanks. I agree that each child we study is both a new individual and perhaps the continuation of a previous one.

All the best,

Jim

Jim B. Tucker, M.D.

Bonner-Lowry Associate Professor of Personality Studies
Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences
University of Virginia Health System
Appendix 3
Contour map of Rome showing the Pleiades

The blue dots are based on a star map of the Pleiades in Wikipedia. The north and east on the star map coincide as exactly as I can make them on this scale with the relief map. Alcyone appears to have been specifically included within the confines of Rome by 1st century Republican walls that headed straight towards the two remaining major stars, Atlas and Pleione (just off the page to the left). Merope lies quite centrally on the Aventine hill, only the northern tip of which appeared on the contour map of the hills that I found.
Appendix 4
Further evidence that Sitchin was right

From Sitchin's official website I found:

NEW DISCOVERIES CORROBORATE SITCHIN

New scientific discoveries continue to corroborate findings first suggested in Zecharia Sitchin's books.

**Homo sapiens:** The successful deciphering of a female Neanderthal genome was reported in the journal *Science* on February 13, 2009. The project, undertaken by scientists at the Max Planck Institute in Leipzig, Germany, aimed to verify when that failed species of humans and Homo sapiens (= Modern Man) branched off, and whether the two bad ever interbred. *The New York Times* pointed out that the new findings "document two important sets of genetic changes - those that occurred between 5.7 million years ago, when the human line split from the line leading to chimpanzees, and 300,000 years ago when Neanderthals and the ancestors of modern humans parted ways."

In *The 12th Planet* (1976), describing the Anunnaki's genetic engineering to fashion The Adam, Zecharia wrote: "Man is the product of evolution; but modern Man, *Homo sapiens*, is
the product of the 'gods'. For, some time circa 300,000 years ago, the Nefilim took ape-man (Homo erectus) and implanted on him their own image and likeness." In The Earth Chronicles Time Chart (The Wars of Gods and Men, 1985) Zecharia wrote: 300,000 years ago: The Anunnaki toiling in the gold mines mutiny. Enki and Ninhursag create Primitive Workers through genetic manipulation... Homo sapiens begins to multiply."

The Deluge: In The 12th Planet and Divine Encounters Zecharia suggested that the biblical Flood was a giant tidal wave caused by the slippage of the ice sheet off Antarctica, causing the abrupt end of the last Ice Age circa 13,000 years ago. Two recent studies corroborate both aspects of Zecharia's take on the subject: A study of ancient temperatures in the journal Nature of 26 February 2009 concludes that while warming at the end of the last Ice Age was relatively gradual in Greenland (north Atlantic), it was "rapid and abrupt" in Antarctica (south Atlantic), about 13,000 years ago.

A study of ancient sea levels published in Science of 6 February 2009 concludes that (a) Antarctica's ice sheet collapsed abruptly and (b) that due to the topography of the continent and its surrounding sea beds, the tidal wave was at least three times higher than hitherto calculated, reaching its maximal impact some 2,000 miles away. A diagram accompanying the article shows the area of maximal tidal impact in the Persian Gulf, the Mediterranean Sea and northward therefrom - the very Lands of the Bible and Mount Ararat.

Sitchin and the above study may, however, have been
somewhat out with the date of 13000BP (which could only be very approximate), but in fact the following possible correction to that puts the event closer to the 3600 cycle that Sitchin interprets for Nibiru:

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/04/120403135516.htm

Note in particular:

"Previous research could not accurately date the sea-level rise but now an Aix-Marseille University-led team, including Oxford University scientists Alex Thomas and Gideon Henderson, has confirmed that the event occurred 14,650-14,310 years ago at the same time as a period of rapid climate change known as the Bølling warming." and "The new sea-level evidence suggests that a considerable portion of the water causing the sea-level rise at this time must have come from melting of the ice sheets in Antarctica, which sent a 'pulse' of freshwater around the globe."

$4 \times 3600 = 14,400$ so this latest dating supports the idea that Nibiru was the cause very closely, and that if Nibiru is real, its return is due.
Appendix 5
More about the Pleiades

Very interesting developments have occurred regarding a possible link between Oak Island and the Pleiades, as I suggested might have been discovered by Roosevelt in Chapter 5. A recent History Channel series entitled *The Curse of Oak Island* presented the theory of Norwegian, Petter Amundsen, based on his decoding of Shakespeare to reveal the involvement of Sir Francis Bacon in concealing Rosicrucian secrets in the text. Amundsen demonstrated, quite convincingly in my opinion, coded references to the Rosicrucians, and a link to Oak Island via the constellation Cygnus, in that its major star, Deneb has its zenith directly over Oak Island and the constellation matches pretty well with a well defined cross of stones on the Island known as Nolan's cross.

Amundsen's theory also predicted that Nolan's cross represented part of the Kabbalistic Tree of Life, the probability of which was demonstrated in the penultimate episode of *The Curse of Oak Island*, which showed Amundsen with current treasure hunters finding a new stone very close to where Petter predicted the 'Kingdom' stone should be. Petter tells me that the unconvincing pacing out of the distance was staged for the camera; he actually found the stone using GPS on a previous visit.

When I tested this, however, by looking up the geometry of the Tree of Life and plotting it on a tracing of Oak Island from the satellite map, aided by paused graphics from my recording of the relevant episode, I found a possible discrepancy. Petter's Tree of Life put point 4 (the 'Mercy' point) in the northern tip of the swamp. Mine put it outside the swamp, even when I refined my accuracy on finding precise dimensions of Nolan's cross. His Tree of Life seemed foreshortened, and the reason seemed to be that Petter may have been confused by a stone that should not be there, or in the wrong position, meaning that the new stone that was
found was not the 'Kingdom' stone, but the 'Foundation' stone.

Having put this to Petter, he kindly provided links to his original Norwegian series of videos setting out his theory in much greater detail, thankfully with recently added subtitles. After watching all four of these, which left really no doubt in my mind that he was on the right track, the reason for the foreshortening of Petter's Tree of Life was clear. The decoding implied that a ratio of 5:8 was significant. So Petter used this to determine the overall dimensions of his Tree of Life. Petter could well be right, but a geometrically correct Tree of Life means not only were the Lagina Brothers and metal detecting experts looking in the wrong place when they found only one copper coin instead of the ancient Jewish Menorah, as decoding also vaguely implied, but that the only additional stone found so far is the 'Foundation' stone. And this is where it gets really interesting in the context of this book!

I had thought of checking how Cygnus and the Pleiades relate on the large map of the heavens belonging to my astronomy keen Daughter, and found that although well separated, it is immediately apparent that Cygnus does point towards the small, remote target of the Pleiades. The probable significance of this to Oak Island came via further research, which told me that the Babylonians and Sumerians referred to the brightest star in the Pleiades, Alcyone, as 'The Foundation Stone'! And the implication that Nolan's cross points us to the Pleiades, fits with one interpretation of Petter's decoding, which he thought implied the humanly formed Menorah, but equally could mean that which the Menorah was almost certainly intended to represent – the Pleiades.

Can we relate this to my suggestion that Roosevelt learned something from Oak Island that prompted him to change the proposed location of the Pentagon to what Wayne Herschel identified as corresponding to a pyramid-like structure with north pointer on Mars? See drawing on next page to observe possibly yes.
Thus, noting the north point in this drawing, we can see a triangular relationship quite similar if not precise in one angle, to Herschel's in respect to Alcyone, indicated by broken green lines, with the orientation to suggest that the stone with a face = the face on Mars, the 'foundation' stone or point = Alcyone in the Pleiades, and the Masonic symbol = the feature on Mars looking just like the Pentagon in Washington.
That Francis Bacon was leader of the Rosicrucians in England and Europe is confirmed here:

http://www.rosicrucian.org/about/mastery/mastery08history.html

I also found, quite fortuitously (or through guidance), while putting some unread copies of New Scientist in order, that the implication that Shakespear had significant understanding of the new science of the day is discussed. (19 April, 2014, p41-46). As Petter suggests, we have to suspect Bacon's influence here. It is surely his wide interests that take five pages to discuss, rather than the poorly educated Shakespear.

I provide the following links to Petter Amundsen's original series, which demonstrate much clearer evidence than hitherto contained in this book to suggest secret information found and passed down by the Templars through the Rosicrucians and Freemasons that was of such a nature as to require very careful concealment indeed:

EP1: https://vimeo.com/94648237
EP2: https://vimeo.com/94648236
EP3: https://vimeo.com/94648239
EP4: https://vimeo.com/94648238

To my mind this has to be hugely more contentious than who wrote Shakespear or the location of any physical treasure or artefact. It has to be what would have been considered as a fantastic secret at the time, as this quote from my book indicates:

"The National Geographic Channel programme entitled The Templars' Lost Treasure contained much to suggest this possibility. It mentioned the great interest in Poussin and his work by influential and powerful people during his lifetime and since. It tells of a letter between mutual friends of Poussin and the King of France, which seems to suggest that the painter held the key to
some fantastic secret. The following is the relevant extract from the letter:

“He and I have planned certain things about which I will be able to fill you in shortly, things that because of Monsieur Poussin, will give you advantages that Kings would find it difficult to extract from him; and perhaps after him, nobody will rediscover for centuries to come. And these are things so difficult to search for that nothing on Earth could be a better fortune and cannot be equalled”.

Everything I have discovered points to the secret being something that would be so obviously heretical at that time that it could not be revealed until the right time in terms of knowledge and flexibility of belief systems.

Much of my research regarding UFOs had suggested that now is that time. And the Pleiades kept cropping up most out of all the constellations and stars. But I cannot easily quote many specific cases now, as I did not make written records, because I expected to find that the Pleiades were just one of many sources of visitors to our planet. Looking up 'Nordic aliens', however, provided me with the following reasonable summary of the nature of the evidence, which I know, and this confirms, is very well known to all in ufology:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nordic_aliens

One specific case that I can easily mention is that of Facebook friend Miriam Delicado, summarised on her website as follows:

“Miriam Delicado, while driving with friends along a British Columbia highway in 1988, experienced an alien abduction and subsequent communication with aliens known as the Tall Blonds. While on board their craft, the Tall Blond aliens relayed to Miriam messages for her to share with others, messages, which she
realized later, were remarkably similar to the Hopi Prophecies of peace and salvation.”


She convinces me via both the instincts and life experiences that tell us this, and remarkable similarities between the truths that each of us has been guided to reveal. I had heard of her story a few years ago, but not as told by her as completely as in this video. On hearing it now, it is clear that I could not have chosen better than her witness to both the specific context of this particular point in this book and the overall message of reassurance and link to the Christian message.

The latter is clear, because she was told that the only way for humanity to avoid terrible catastrophes is via love. And the former is because she has been contacted by so many people with similar stories to her own, that it demonstrates a similar widespread phenomenon of contact as my evidence points to in ancient times, as made clear in confirming research below.

She leaves me in no doubt that she and I are part of the same programme of placement or selection of people intended to bring enlightenment at this time. She and I were shown the same catastrophes, and as I suggested in Chapter 17 of my autobiography, that they can be avoided. Both of us had experiences that meant waiting 20 years before the message we were given made complete sense and could be acted upon.

Petter did not have the benefit of what I knew in this respect, so I have done further research, firstly to see if it is possible to confirm that the stars shown on the Freemasons' first degree tracing board are indeed considered to be the Pleiades, as Wayne Herschel contends. I found a talk given by a Freemason to Freemasons

First, note what was chosen for the cover, as background to the masonic symbol - the Pleiades

Then on page 14:

"The seven stars shown on the First Tracing Board and the Royal Arch Tracing Board are generally accepted to be the Pleiades".

On page 26:

"There are (somewhat amazingly), seven references to the Pleiades or the seven stars in the Bible:

**Job 9:9** He is the maker of Arcturus (The Bear) Orion, and the Pleiades, and the chambers of the south.

**Amos 5:8** Seek him that maketh the seven stars and Orion, and turneth the shadow of night into the morning, and maketh the day dark with night: that calleth forth the waters of the sea, andoureth them out upon the face of the earth: The LORD is his name.

**Revelation 1:16** And he had in his right hand seven stars: and out of his mouth went a sharp two edged sword: and his countenance was as the sun shineth in his strength.

**Revelation 1:20** The mystery of the seven stars which thou sawest in my right hand, and the seven golden candlesticks. The sevenstars are the angels of the seven churches: and the seven candlesticks which thou sawest are the seven churches.

**Revelation 2:1** Unto the angel of the church of Ephesus write; These things saith he that holdeth the seven stars in his right hand, who walketh in the midst of the seven golden candlesticks.

**Revelation 3:1** And unto the angel of the church in Sardis write; These things saith he that hath the seven Spirits of God, and the
seven stars; I know thy works, that thou hast a name that thou livest, and art dead.

**Job 38:31** Canst thou bind the sweet influences of Pleiades, or loose the bands of Orion?"

The quotes from Revelation do imply the link I suggest between the Menorah and the Pleiades, and that is more clearly confirmed here:

[http://hans.wyrdweb.eu/about-pleiades-or-how-a-fool-destroyed-paradise/](http://hans.wyrdweb.eu/about-pleiades-or-how-a-fool-destroyed-paradise/)

Next I looked at what evidence there is for various cultures considering now or in the past that the Pleiades are linked to visitors to this planet or human creation.

We see from all I provide below (based on only a few hours research, that might mean that even more can be found) that from all the Americas, northern Europe including Britain, the middle east and Mongolia, the consistent message from these geographically remote locations in history is that all these peoples link the Pleiades to non-human visitations and involvement of such visitors in human creation and development. Again quoted material is in blue; my writing now is in black:


“The Pleiades are venerated by indigenous peoples universally, who nurture a deep relationship with this sacred cluster. For example, Cherokee and Hawaiian cosmologies speak of the peoples’ origins from the stars, specifically the Pleiades. Navajo tradition mentions consciousness that emanates from the stars. Other Native American tribes hold knowledge of origins from the
Milky Way as well as from other indigenous constellations.”

Indigenous Education Institute, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, and UC Berkeley WIPCE 2005 3

http://www.alunajoy.com/98may.html

“In the beginning, the Maya understood that they came from the Pleiades, or “Muchuchumil” as they are known by the Maya. The relationship with the Pleiades and the Mayan world is written in the sacred books of the Maya. It is in the consciousness of the grandmothers and grandfathers who had it passed on to them. It is an important record. The elders say the universe comes from the Pleiades.”

http://blog.world-mysteries.com/science/the-pleiades-enigma/

“Is the Star cluster Pleiades where the Human Race originated? My good friend, Dr. James Gillihan, a Cherokee man who was raised by the Lakota, shared wonderful Lakota traditions with me. Also, my good friend and mentor, Cherokee elder and wisdom keeper, John Red Hat Duke, enlightened me about many of the Cherokee and Hopi traditions. I was very blessed by both of these friendships. The Lakota and Hopi both have oral traditions related to their origination from the Star cluster Pleiades. When the Hopi see the seven stars overhead (seven stars of Pleiades?) then the members of the Two Horn Society begin to sing their creation songs. Dr. A.C. Ross, in his book, “Mitakuye Oyasin: We are all related”, shares with us some information about the Pleiadians, and their similarities with the Lakota Sioux. He said that the Pleiadians communicate mostly through mental telepathy, and the Lakota also communicate through mental telepathy especially during ceremonies.

Throughout the Early World, the star-people were linked with the Pleiades. These stars played an important role in many
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religions. Several early calendars were regulated by them and they were universally linked with periodical renewal. Hammurapi, who ruled Babylon somewhere between 1900 and 1704 B.C.E., was one of the greatest, and most well known, Babylonian rulers and, commencing from his dynasty onwards, the last month in his country’s calendar, later called Adar, was known as Arakh-Sibuti – the month of the ‘Seven Stars’, or Pleiades. After the end of the Pleiades month, the New Year began and during the rituals of renewal, the priests read the Creation text which explained that after the creation of man, the Annunaki built Babylon’s great temple the Esagali. The Annunaki were claimed to have taught primitive people about agriculture and their apparent link with the Pleiades may be the reason why these stars were universally associated with the agricultural cycle and why they were shown on early Middle Eastern plaques with agricultural activity. One Assyrian plaque shows the Pleiades above seven figures who appear to have been based on the Annunaki leaders.

The Pleiades were linked with the Creation in many early cultures and some people believed that they came from these stars and that they will return there when they die. The Festival of the Dead, when departed souls were believed to return to Earth, was popular in many countries, such as amongst the Celts, in Mexico and Peru, and it was celebrated around the 1st November. The Celts called it Samain and it began with the rising of the Pleiades when the gates of the Otherworld were open and when new fires were lit. This festival was later adopted by the Church and its observed around the world as All Hallows. Its also now popularly celebrated as Halloween.”

Not of this World by Peter Klosimo (1970)

In Chapter 4 The Sons of the Pleiades, we are told of a traveller's account of a visit to a Buddhist monastery at Tuerin in Mongolia, and having a strange, small representation of a dead being explained by one of the monks as, “A high Lord who came from
the stars”, whilst pointing to a representation of the Pleiades on the wall. This followed a strange experience by the traveller called John Spenser in the tombs, where he thought he saw life sized versions of the dead people and non human.

Later in the chapter, on page 61, we are told that Professor John A. Mason of Pennsylvania University felt obliged to recollect the myths of pre-Inca origin in which there are frequent allusions to the descent of a divinity from the Pleiades. Klosimo goes on to tell us that on the Island of Yeu in Vandea (Italy or France?) there are dome shaped rocks which represent the Pleiades, and in Peruvian legends these stars are recorded as “celestial gates”. On page 62 we are told that the Mig-Maze near Leigh in Dorset was also a representation of the Pleiades (see below).

http://www.coven-of-cythrawl.com/frisians.htm (I would prefer another source about the Frisians, but have not found one yet. What is important is the information, not the source)

“The Frisians believed that it was their own forebears who had constructed the stone circles of the British Isles, and the megalithic remains which are found all over Europe.

It has often been said, usually by Frisian scholars themselves, that Frisian religion was primarily astral in orientation. Though the early megalith builders certainly venerated the sun and moon, they reserved their greatest religious awe for the stars. In particular, they venerated, or worshipped, the star-cluster of the Pleiades, or Seven Sisters as they called it (they seem to have equated it with the Great Bear in some way during the early period). Worship of the Pleiades was common throughout ancient civilisation, which is strange because it is hardly a distinctive feature of the night sky, unlike, say, Sirius, which was also widely venerated.”
The Pleiades are called Kimah in the Old Testament. They are associated with the story of Noah and with the Biblical Flood as referred to in the set of Jewish scriptures known as the Babylonian Talmud: “When the Holy One decided to bring the Deluge on the Earth, He took two stars from Kimah and brought the Deluge on the Earth.”

“The Pleiades are featured in the star-lore of many ancient cultures. For example, at Lascaux in central France, a cave painting from 16,500 years ago shows the Pleiades in their usual place in the constellation of Taurus the Bull.”

In a previous episode of the series The Curse of Oak Island, the current team of investigators were shown unearthing new samples of coconut fibre from Smith's Cove, which have been confirmed as such via a scanning electron microscope by the University of Acadia (Nova Scotia) and carbon dated to 1260-1400 AD. The persecution of the Knights Templar started in 1307, giving us yet more reason to consider this source to be by far the most likely explanation for the mysterious finds on this Island, which hopefully via the great efforts of Petter Amundsen and my no doubt spiritually guided observations, are becoming less mysterious.

The find also supports past accounts of the intentional 'booby trap' flooding of the pit via Smiths Cove. The fact that this has resisted all attempts to overcome it by people far better equipped than the Templars, suggests to me that the only 'treasure' intended to be reclaimed (by humans up to now?) from the 'money pit' has already been found, and was coded information requiring
knowledge passed down by the Templars to decipher. But there is more to be found at so far inaccessible levels, as revealed by boring. Is the truth that humans will need help to find it?

I now tend to agree with one of the points made by Professor Nicholson, querying why the Templars would make their wealth inaccessible to themselves, because they would have needed such wealth, whether it was to continue with the original whole point of the order, to protect Jerusalem, as Professor Nicholson suggests, or as I suggest, after 1307, to also or alternatively ensure that their secret knowledge was safely disseminated.

But it turns out that my research was inadequate to rule out the likelihood of the Menorah being found on Oak Island. In *The Curse of Oak Island*, Petter said that the Menorah was taken from the Temple to Jerusalem, which of course makes no sense because the Temple was in Jerusalem. So I stopped researching what actually happened when I found that the Menorah had been taken to Rome, because it could not have just been a slip of the tongue by Petter; this also made no sense in the context of his argument; the implication was that his Biblical knowledge was inadequate.

But I know now that Petter's actual words had been edited very poorly. It is a long story, but in short the Menorah eventually found its way via other destinations to Constantinople, where the Christians agreed to return it to Jerusalem. Some may be as interested as I was to read Petter's fuller account emailed to me as follows:

“From my book:
Back to Rome. Josephus has this to say about Vespasian’s Temple of Peace: “The triumphal ceremonies being concluded and the empire of the Romans established on the firmest foundation, Vespasian decided to erect a temple of Peace. This was very speedily completed and in a style surpassing all human conception. For, besides having prodigious resources of wealth on
which to draw, he also embellished it with ancient masterpieces of painting and sculpture; indeed into that shrine were accumulated and stored all objects for the sight of which men had once wandered over the whole world, eager to see them individually while they lay in various countries. Here, too, he laid up the vessels of gold from the temple of the Jews, on which he prided himself.” What a museum it must have been. The treasure was kept in Rome for several hundred years. Then the Vandals attacked in 455. Theophanes Confessor (c. 760-817) informs us: “Gaiseric, with no one to stop him, entered Rome on the third day after the murder of Maximus, and taking all the money and the ornaments of the city; he loaded them on his ships, among them the solid gold and bejewelled treasures of the Church and the Jewish vessels which Vespasian’s son Titus had brought to Rome after the capture of Jerusalem. Having also taken the empress Eudoxia and her daughters, he sailed back to Africa.” So the treasure got moved to Carthage. In The Tempest the seafarers that were soon to be caught by the storm, went from Italy to Carthage before they reached Prospero’s island. Could there be a link here? The Vandals did not enjoy their treasure very long. In 533 AD the Vandals were overtaken by Byzantine General Belisarius. Sean Kingsley convincingly speculates that the temple treasure was stored in St. Polyeuktos church after it had been paraded through the streets of Constantinople in a triumphal parade not unlike the one in Rome in 71 AD. Another chronicler, Procopius of Caesarea (500-565), writes about the spoils shown in the 534 AD parade: “And among these were the treasures of the Jews, which Titus, the son of Vespasian, together with certain others, had brought to Rome after the capture of Jerusalem.” But it did not stay there very long either. Procopius continues: “And one of the Jews, seeing these things, approached one of those known to the emperor and said: ‘These treasures I think it inexpedient to be elsewhere than in the place where Solomon, the king of the Jews, formerly had placed them. For it is because of these that Gaiseric captured the palace of the Romans, and now the Roman army has captured the Vandals.’ When this had been brought to the ears of
the Emperor, he became afraid and quickly sent everything to the sanctuaries of the Christians in Jerusalem.”

So I would still encourage treasure hunters to continue searching for the Menorah on Oak Island, because it may be that this was one of the Templars' treasures that they considered should eventually be found there, I conjecture because its discovery would make even clearer that they were telling us awesome truth about the Pleiades, and identifying themselves as the custodians of this knowledge.

Perhaps us humans have already received help in understanding what Oak Island is intended to tell us, because the clear similarities between Miriam Delicado's calling and mine imply the same alien (I prefer angelic) influence. I have felt strongly guided whilst doing the research for this appendix, and encouraged via two very significant dates in my life cropping up. One might be coincidence; two seems intentional.

And what all this provides is yet further reassurance for Christians in times to come that may be very challenging to our faith without this knowledge. I hope that those Christians who find this hard to accept will just keep the information in the back of their minds until it becomes obvious why they need it.

Above all, put together that abductee Miriam Delicado was told the vital importance of love, and abductee Jim Sparks was told that the fate of life on this planet depends on the forgiveness of those who have denied us the technologies that can save it. If you deny the possibility that Christ taught these beings long before he taught us, or that Christ and these beings can all be part of the way God acts to create and guide us, then you remain part of a conspiracy of silence that enables the greed and lust for power that pushes us to disasters that will wipe such evil from the planet.

Blessed are those who choose righteousness above being right.